

A.No. 656/26

30.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Kislaya Parashar and Sh. Sonu Gautam, Ld
counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal with connected appeal on **17.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 662/26
Ramesh Kumar Vs. MCD

30.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Suman Kapoor, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **25.09.2026**.

Since the appellant has already applied for regularization on 19.07.2026 and till then, that application is decided, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 05.03.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

...contd.2

: 2 :

The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 396/25 & 399/25

30.07.2026

Present : Ms. Anju Lal Ji, Sh. Vidit Gupta and Sh. Chirag Khurana,
Ld. counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondent joined
through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the intervener in
appeal no. 396/25.

An application Under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf
of the intervener in appeal no. 396/25. Copy supplied.

Reply, if desired, to this application be filed with advance
copy to the Id. counsel for the intervener.

In appeal no. 399/25, the appellant has placed on record
fresh application filed before the MCD for obtaining fire
safety NOC.

Put up for arguments on the application under Order I
rule 10 CPC as well as appeal on **07.08.2026**, the date
already fixed.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 583/26

Nutan Properties Pvt. Ltd. Vs. MCD

30.07.2026

Present : Sh. Pulkit Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application seeking correction of the typographical error in the order dated 13.07.2026 in the address of the subject property, wherein it was mentioned as 'South Ex. Part-I', instead of 'South Ex Part-II'.

I have perused the record.

The correct address of the property is G-10, South Ex. Part-II and not South Ex. Part-I. Same be now read as 'South Ex. Part-II' in place of 'South Ex. Part-I' in the order dated 13.07.2026.

The application stands disposed of.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **29.10.2026** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 372/19 & 398/19

30.07.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Id. counsel for the appellants no. 1 to 5, 9, 12, 16 and 17.
Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Abhinav Tyagi, Id. counsel for the appellants no. 6, 7, 8, 9, 13, 14, 15 and 18 through VC,
Sh. Sharadandu Dwivedi, Ld. counsel for the appellants no. 10 and 11.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent no. 1 in appeal no. 372/19 joined through VC.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 1/MCD in appeal no. 398/19.
Ms. Vibha Mahajan Seth, Sr. Advocate along with Dr. Gaurav Manuja, Sh. Anand M. Mishra and Sh. Uttam Kumar, Ld. counsels for the respondent no. 2.

Further arguments heard partly.

At request, put up for further arguments on **18.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 848/19 : Shashi Bala vs. MCD
A. No. 94/20 : Shashi Bala and Anr. vs. MCD

30.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.
 Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the
 appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.
 Previous cost has also not been deposited.
 Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/30.07.26

At 2.35 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
 Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.
 None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in
 the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had
 appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous date as
 well. Even the previous cost has also not been deposited.
 It is already 2.35 PM. It appears that the appellant(s) is/are
 not interested in pursuing the aforesaid appeals. The
 aforesaid appeals are dismissed in default as well as non-
 prosecution.
 Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy
 of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 111/21

30.07.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Satish Sangwan has been suffering from viral fever and is not in a position to come to the court.

In the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **06.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 145/21, 146/21 & 147/21

30.07.2026

Present : Sh. Kunal Khanna, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with some of the appellants in person.
Ms. Manjusha Jha, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **15.09.2026**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 466/22

30.07.2026

Present : Sh. Mehul Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Id. counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard partly.

Put up for further arguments on **16.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 520/23

30.07.2026

Present :

Sh. Prag Chawla, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Sh. S. Adil Hussain and Ms. Rani Prajapati, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. M. Nafees Bukhari Sarim and Sh. Mudabbir Maqsood, Id. counsel for the R-2/LRs of R-2.

An application under Order XXII rule 3 CPC has been filed by the appellant to bring on record LRs of the respondent no. 2, who as per his death certificate, passed away on 07.02.2026.

Though the application should have been filed under Order XXII rule 4 CPC, but infacts, same is considered as under Order XXII rule 4 CPC. The application is in time and the Id. counsel for the legal heirs submits that these are the three legal heirs of late respondent no. 2 and he has no objection, if the application is allowed.

Infacts, the application is allowed and the legal heirs of R-2 are impleaded.

The amended memo is taken on record. let the vakalatnama for legal heirs be filed on the next date of hearing.

Copy of the amended memo of parties be supplied to the respondent.

Put up for arguments on **03.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 665/23

30.07.2026

Present : Sh. Devraj, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **05.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 668/23
Shyam Sunder Sood Vs. MCD

30.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the
appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.
Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/30.7.26

At 2.40 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Id counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning
in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.
None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the
previous date as well.

It is already 2.40 PM. It appears that the appellant is not
interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is
dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 291/24 & 323/24

30.07.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Verma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Simran Auluck, Ld. counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Ms. Jyoti Yadav, Adv. in appeal no. 291/24.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in 323/24
Sh. Kamal Kishore, Ld. counsel for the intervener along with intervener.

Ld. proxy counsel seeks pass over in the matter as the main counsel is held up before the Hon'ble High Court. In view of the heavy cause list, the pass over is not possible.

One of the case file i.e. 323/24 is also not traceable. Registry is directed to trace the file and to put up the same on the next date of hearing.

The appellant was directed to file the certain documents and pleadings vide order dated 31.05.2024 and the intervener has pointed out that this order is yet to be complied with. The appellant is directed to comply with that order positively by the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **19.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 565/24

30.07.2026

Present : Ms. Swati, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ranjeet Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Kuldeep Singh Grewal is out of station. Same is strongly opposed by the respondent and it is stated that after taking interim protection, the appellant is taking adjournments on one pretext or other.

In view of the same, the interim protection granted to the appellant is hereby vacated.

Put up for arguments on **16.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 731/24

30.07.2026

Present : Sh. Ali Akib, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Ayaz Ahmad is not available today because of bypass surgery of his father.

Even none has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **20.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 1003/24

30.07.2026

Present : Ms. Swati, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Saurabh Sharma, Ld. counsel for the intervener joined through VC along with intervener.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Kuldeep Singh Greval is out of station.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **18.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 58/25

30.07.2026

Present : Sh. Vakul Sharad Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant as he has recently been engaged and he needs some time to go through the record.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **17.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 89/25

30.07.2026

Present : Sh. Sourav Ghosh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant as he needs some time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

Same is opposed.

This appeal has been filed against the two demolition orders dated 28.06.2022 and 22.09.2022, which is not maintainable against both the orders simultaneously and separate appeal for each order is required to be filed.

At this stage, Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he does not press this appeal for demolition order dated 22.09.2022 and this appeal may be treated only against the demolition order dated 28.06.2022 and he be given liberty to file separate appeal against the other demolition order.

In view of the submissions made by Id. counsel for the appellant, now this appeal is treated only against the demolition order dated 28.06.2022. Appellant is at liberty to file appeal against the demolition order dated 22.09.2022 as per law and the aspect of limitation in filing the purported appeal shall remain open.

.....contd.2

: 2 :

There is no interim protection in the matter. Let the Action taken report be filed by the respondent/MCD on next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **19.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 165/25

30.07.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the Id. counsel for the appellant on the ground that he is not ready with the arguments today.

Even none has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **18.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 311/25

30.07.2026

Present : Sh. Sandeep Sharma and Ms. Narinder Kaur, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Arguments heard partly.

The appellant has relied upon the property tax receipts of 2002-03 as well as the contents of the sale deed to show that the ground floor is prior to 08.02.2007.

The appellant is directed to file the PTR of the year 2006-07 in respect of the subject property to show the extent of covered area shown in the PTR at the ground floor on the next date of hearing with advance copy to the other side.

At request, put up for arguments on **19.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 351/25

30.07.2026

Present : Sh. Subodh Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant
joined through VC.
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Vijender Pal Singh has been suffered from fever and body-ache and is not in a position to come to the court today.

In the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **08.10.2026**. Appellant is directed to file the written arguments, if the counsel of the appellant is not available on the date fixed.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 367/25

30.07.2026

Present : Sh. Tarun Kumar Makhija, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Brajnandan Lohia, Id. counsel for the intervener.

An application seeking waiver of cost filed on behalf of the appellant. There is no ground to waive the cost. The application is dismissed. The appellant is directed to deposit the cost during the course of day.

Reply to the application under Order I rule 10 CPC has already been filed on behalf of the appellant. Copy supplied.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between

....contd.2

: 2 :

the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

Part arguments on the appeal heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **17.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 598/25 & 599/25

30.07.2026

Present : Proxy counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Dalip Rastogi is held up in some other court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **01.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 614/25

30.07.2026

Present : Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

It is stated by the Id counsel for the appellant that he has applied for certified copy of the MCD record and the same has not yet supplied to him and he seeks time to argue after getting the certified copy.

At request, put up for arguments on **16.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 754/25

30.07.2026

Present : Sh. Abhiraj, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. K.D. Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

The appellant has placed on record certain status reports and some documents on 12.02.2026 vide two separate list of documents. Copy has been supplied.

The appellant has not filed the documents directed on the last date of hearing and seeks adjournment today as the main counsel is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

It is stated by the Id. counsel for the respondent that the appellant is directed to supply the copies of the order dated 09.10.2025 and 06.12.2025 as the copy of the order dated 09.10.2025 is not legible and the copy of the other order has not been supplied. Let the same be so supplied.

At request, put up for the purpose fixed on **17.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 64/26

30.07.2026

Present : Md. Azam Ansari, Ms. Azeema and Sh. Afjal Ansari, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Ms. Harita Mehta (joined through VC) along with Ms. Jyoti Kumar, Ld. counsels for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the appellants submits that he is representing only appellants no. 1 to 4, 7, 29 and 30 and may be discharged from vakalatnama on behalf of the remaining appellants. He cannot be discharged without formally informing them.

Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the status report and to argue the matter.

The respondent is directed to file status report in respect of how the show cause notice dated 10.10.2016 and the show cause notice dated 29.03.2017 were served upon the Mr. Kamran, the then owner/builder of the property.

At request, put up for arguments on **03.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026

A.No. 174/23

30.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 None for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.07.2026