

A.No. 684/26

07.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ankit Verma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **07.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 686/26

07.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Rajesh Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **07.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 687/26

07.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Abhimanyu Mahajan, Senior advocate for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Anubha Goel, Advocate.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/NDMC through concerned Chief Legal Advisor and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **09.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 688/26  
Prem Sheela Saini Vs. MCD

07.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dharendra Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **25.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. A-70, South Ex. Part-II, New Delhi in pursuance of the impugned demolition order issued in pursuance to the show cause notice dated 11.03.2024. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Registry is directed to send the copy of this order to the Dy. Commissioner concerned, who shall send the acknowledgement of this order to this Tribunal within a week after receiving the copy of the order.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 689/26

07.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Vikram Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The demolition order records that the appellant has raised fresh construction after demolishing the existing structure. No ground for interim stay is made out.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **24.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 696/26

The Salvation Army (Thr. Lt. Col. Robert Masih, Chief Secretary) Vs.  
MCD

07.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi and Sh. Savinder Singh, Ld counsels  
for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to  
the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer  
and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the  
presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in  
person along with the record of the proceedings, status  
report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal  
on **01.10.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken  
against the property of appellant i.e. H-15, Green Park  
Extension, New Delhi-16 in pursuance of the sealing  
order dated 09.07.2026 This interim order shall not come  
in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble  
High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It  
is also made clear that no encroachment on the public  
land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise  
any further construction in the property in question.

....contd.2

: 2 :

Registry is directed to send the copy of this order to the Dy. Commissioner concerned, who shall send the acknowledgement of this order to this Tribunal within a week after receiving the copy of the order.

Copy of this order is given dasti as requested.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 698/26  
Sunita Gupta Vs. MCD

07.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Pulkit Luthra-I, Sh. Pulkit Luthra-II and Sh. Harshit Luthra, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **05.10.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. property no. 1/9642, Bahal Gali, Hanuman Road, Pratap Pura, West Rohtash Nagar, Shahdara, Delhi, in pursuance of the sealing order dated 17.03.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

....contd.2

: 2 :

The appellant is directed to file affidavit in respect of ROW (right of way) in front of the subject property.

Registry is directed to send the copy of this order to the Dy. Commissioner concerned, who shall send the acknowledgement of this order to this Tribunal within a week after receiving the copy of the order.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 869/24  
Sanjay At Chhotu and Ors. vs. MCD

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Harsh Hardy, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application for urgent as well as early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

Submissions heard. Application perused.

Till next date of hearing, operation of the impugned vacation notice dated 05.08.2026 is stayed. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

Registry is directed to send the copy of this order to the Dy. Commissioner concerned, who shall send the acknowledgement of this order to this Tribunal within a week after receiving the copy of the order.

Put up for the date fixed i.e. **13.08.2026** for the purpose fixed.

Copy of this order be given dasti as requested.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 172/26

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Pushkar Aryal, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.  
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Ld counsel for the respondent seeks copy of the these two application seeking early hearing and condonation of delay. Let the same be supplied today itself.

Put up for reply and arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay as well as on the appeal on **22.09.2026** the date already fixed.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 248/26, 249/26, 250/26 & 251/26

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Ms. Shivani Panwar, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 249/26. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record. Ld. counsel accepts notice of early hearing application.

Status report is filed by the MCD in appeal no. 249/26., copy supplied.

Files are taken up today on applications of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

Issue notice of these applications in the other three appeals to the respondent through their counsels for **10.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 256/26

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Santosh Kumar Srivastava, Ld counsel for the  
appellant.  
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated  
calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

The record has already been deposited. It can be  
inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

At request, put up for arguments on **27.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

At This stage, Ms. Sidhi Arora, Ld counsel for the  
respondent appeared and filed vakalatnama and status  
report.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 287/26 & 288/26

07.08.2026

Present : Mohd. Elahi and Ms. Dimple, Ld counsels for the appellant.  
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The sealing record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

It is stated that the record of demolition proceedings is already tagged in appeal no. 304/26 listed on 07.09.2026.

At request, put up for arguments on **07.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 514/26 & 515/26

07.08.2026

Present : Ms. Aditi Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.  
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

The sealing record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the ld counsel for the appellant.

Time sought on behalf of the respondent to file demolition record. Let the same be filed and be deposited within 2 weeks.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **27.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 525/26  
Karuna Vara Prasad Vs. DDA

07.08.2026

Present :           None for the appellant.  
                  Sh. Sanjay Sharma and Sh. S.G. Ashthana, Ld counsel  
                  for the respondent.

Reply filed by the DDA. Copy placed on record.  
None has appeared for the appellant despite waiting till  
3.00 pm.

As per this reply the unauthorized construction has  
already been demolished by the DDA on 28.07.2026.

In view of this status report, the appeal has become  
infructuous. Same is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with  
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record  
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 549/26

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Chaitnya Kharbanda, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Atun Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Memo of appearance filed.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **16.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 618/26 & 619/26

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Kuldeep Singh Grewal Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Abheet Mangleek, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and also to apply for regularization.

At request, put up for arguments on **02.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 625/26

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Sangam Vashisht, Ms. Gurvinder Kaur and Sh. Gaurav Tyagi, Ld. counsels for the appellant.  
None for the respondent.

Ld. counsels appearing on behalf of the appellant seeks pass over in the matter as the main counsel is on the way. In view of the heavy cause list, the pass over is not possible.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

Put up for arguments on **09.10.2026**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 677/26  
Jagdish Singh Tanwar Vs. MCD

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Memo of appearance filed.

Time sought to file the status report and record. Let the same be so filed.

At request, put up for arguments on **22.10.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. WZ-153, Narayana Village, Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 15.07.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

Registry is directed to send the copy of this order to the Dy. Commissioner concerned, who shall send the acknowledgement of this order to this Tribunal within a week after receiving the copy of the order.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 150/18, 373/18 & 611/24

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Abhishek Sharma and Ms. Mayank Ahuja, Ld  
counsels for the appellant along with appellants.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Id. counsel for the respondent.

Written arguments filed in all the three appeals. Copy  
supplied.

Part oral arguments also heard at length.

Put up for further arguments on **30.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 115/20

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Hamid Ali, SPA holder of the appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama of Sh. Rajiv Duggal, filed, same is taken on record.  
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

SPA holder of the appellant seeks some more time to trace and file the documents to show that the construction was prior to 2014.

Same is strongly opposed.

However, in the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address arguments on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **12.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 369/20 & 370/20

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Sanam Malhotra, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Jai Gupta, Id. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta,  
Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks time to obtain the  
certified copy of the record and to argue the matter.

Even, the main counsel for the respondent is held up  
before Hon'ble High Court.

At request, put up for arguments on **23.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 331/21

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Sanjay Mishra and Sh. Kartikey Mittal, Ld counsel for the appellants.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel f for the respondent.

Previous cost has been deposited.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant on the ground that he is not ready with the arguments today.

Same is strongly opposed as the appellant is enjoying the benefit of interim order since the year 2021 and despite cost, the appellants are not arguing the matter.

In view of the same, interim protection is hereby vacated.

Let the Action taken report be filed by the respondent/MCD on next date of hearing.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **05.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 840/23

07.08.2026

Present : Appellant in person.  
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent  
joined through VC.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The appellant seeks some time to engage a new counsel.

At request, put up for arguments on **22.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 220/24 & 236/24

07.08.2026

Present : Ms. Sidhi Sharma and Ms. Shreya Bhardwaj, Ld counsel  
for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in  
appeal no. 220/24.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in  
appeal no. 236/24.

Written submissions filed on behalf of the respondent in  
appeal no. 220/24.

Arguments heard at length.

At request, put up for orders on **24.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 252/24  
Kusum Vs. MCD

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Surendra Mishra, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

The appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 20.02.2024 passed in respect of the unauthorized construction in the shape of ground to second floor with projection on municipal land in property no. D-66/4, Gali no. 4, Vijay Colony, New Usman Pur, Ghari Mandu, Delhi on several grounds including that the show cause notice dated 15.02.2024 was received only on 21.02.2024 where the demolition order was passed a day before.

I have perused the record. The show cause notice was served through pasting as mentioned at page 2/C of the office record.

The Commissioner, MCD in its circular itself has given certain directions for service through pasting vide circular dated 15.04.2010 Which is as under :-

*"It is observed that the service of show cause notice upon owner is found unsatisfactory and unreliable and, as such, most of the appeals, filed against the demolition orders, are allowed by the courts for lack of service of show cause notice upon owner. It has, therefore, been decided that pasting of notice*  
....contd.2

: 2 :

*should be supported by photographic evidence. The JE concerned will ensure the photographic evidence of pasting of notice and such evidence will form part of U/C and sealing files. EE(B) of respective zone shall ensure the uploading of information regarding unauthorized construction on MCD website soon after the passing of the necessary orders for demolition”.*

Even another circular bearing No.LAW/SOUTHDMC/ 2016/1227 Dt. 06.12.2016 was by the Chief Law Officer, SDMC which is reproduce as below:-

*‘It has been observed that the Executive Engineers of SDMC are passing demolition order/sealing order without verifying the service of show cause notice. The Appellate Tribunal has also observed that this is very careless attitude or some deliberate act to give benefit to the property owner as they knew very well that case will not stand to the scrutiny of the Court on this ground alone. Therefore, the Quasi Judicial Authority should ensure that service has been done properly before passing any order under DMC Act.*

*Further the service, as far as possible should be done by way of speed post and a copy of internet delivery report with postal receipt should be kept on record and where service is not possible and pasting is to be done, photograph of pasting be taken and signatures of witness be obtained with their name and address.*

...contd.3

: 3 :

*In number of cases, the service of show cause notice of demolition or sealing is done by way of pasting or speed post, but necessary proof with regard to its service by pasting and signatures of two witnesses is not taken by the department. In case of service by speed post, the tracking report showing the service of the same upon the owner/builder is not placed in the u/c file, with the result the stay order are passed and finally appeals are allowed.*

*All concerned are hereby requested to kindly take note of the aforesaid orders and to ensure the compliance of such orders.”*

There is no permission of pasting and the notice was pasted on the same very day when it was issued without making an effort to serve the appellant personally. The demolition order was sent through post on 21.02.2024 and similarly the show cause notice could have also been sent through post, but no such effort was made.

In these facts, the demolition order dated 20.02.2024 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply and documents to be submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant. The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 01.09.2026 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

....contd.4

: 4 :

With the above observation, the appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 428/24

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Mohit Gulati, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Kunal Madan has undergone some surgery.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **17.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 532/24 & 71/25

07.08.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.  
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 71/25.  
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 532/24 joined through VC.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **01.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 694/24

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent joined  
through VC.

Fresh Vakalatnama filed on behalf of the appellant and  
some time is sought to inspect the record and to argue  
the matter. The vakalatnama is taken on record

At request, put up for arguments on **26.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 1012/24

07.08.2026

Present : Ms. Vanshika Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Sanjay Sharma is hospitalized.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **06.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 396/25 & 399/25

07.08.2026

Present : Ms. Anju Lal along with Ms. Shalu Lal, Ld counsels for the appellant.  
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Id. counsel for the intervener.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

,,,contd.2

: 2 :

Ld counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant has already applied for Fire NOC with the MCD and her application has been forwarded to the Delhi Fire Services and is likely to be decided within 2 weeks and arguments be deferred

Copy of that letter has been placed on record.

Put up for arguments on **10.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 490/25

07.08.2026

Present : Ms. Aditi Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
None for the intervener.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **27.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 558/25

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. O.P. Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Advait Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Part arguments heard.

The respondent imposed a penalty of Rs. 93,958/- upon the appellant as noted in the order dated 07.09.2022 passed by the Monitoring Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. The G-8 receipt of payment of this amount has also been annexed by the appellant.

The respondent is directed to file the status report as to on what account this amount was received, on next date of hearing.

At request, put up for awaiting status report and further arguments on **27.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 568/25

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. R.R. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant along with  
appellant.  
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with  
Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the  
appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the  
record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **26.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 609/25

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. O.P. Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard

Put up for further arguments on **25.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 714/25 & 715/25

07.08.2026

Present : Appellant Chandan Lal in person and he is also appearing on behalf of his brother Sh. Daya Chand Yadav.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the appellant in the matter as he and his brother want to engage a new counsel.

At request, put up for arguments on **27.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 732/25

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Raj, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for arguments on **27.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 768/25

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Anil Daggar, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **25.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 150/26, 151/26, 152/26, 153/26 & 154/26

07.08.2026

Present : Ms. Minati Murari, Ld. counsel for the appellants joined through VC.  
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 150/26.  
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 152/26 and 154/26. Fresh Vakalatnama filed in appeal no. 154/26, same is taken on record  
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 151/26 and 153/26.

It is stated by the Id counsel for the respondent that this court does not have jurisdiction to entertain these appeals as the property has been sealed on the joint inspection carried out by SDM, DPCC, BSES etc, on the directions of Monitoring Committee appointed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

Let the status report in this regard be filed on **17.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 339/26 & 406/26

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Rajat Sang, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.  
Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 339/26.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Id. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 406/26.  
Ms. Kamal Sant, Id counsel for the intervener.

Status report is filed by the MCD in appeal no. 406/26, copy supplied. The record has also been produced in 406/26. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Intervener has filed certain documents in appeal no. 339/26. Copy supplied.

The appellant has already started demolishing the projections on the municipal land at first and the fourth floor, which were not sealed and has today sought permission to temporary deseal the second and third floor of the subject property to enable him to demolish the non-compoundable deviations on these two floors

Statement of the appellant recorded separately.

Let the second and third floor of the subject property be desealed temporarily on 17.08.2026 at 3.00 pm and reseal on 01.10.2026 at 3.00 pm.

....contd.2

: 2 :

The MCD shall inspect the property at the time of resealing and shall file the status report in respect of non-compoundable deviations, if any left in the property.

The appellants shall not create any third party interest and not part with the possession in the subject property.

At request, put up for awaiting the status report and arguments on **23.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026

A.No. 519/19, 520/19, 521/19, 522/19, 523/19, 6/20, 7/20, 8/20, 9/20 & 10/20

07.08.2026

Present : Sh. Vishesh Issar, Ld counsel for the appellants joined through VC.  
Sh. Ravi Bhushan Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal nos. 519/19, 520/19, 521/19, 522/19, 523/19.  
Sh. Prithish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 6/20, 7/20, 8/20, 9/20 & 10/20 joined through VC.  
Sh Ankit Verma, from LAC for the intervener Neera Sharma, alongwith Intervener in person.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed by intervener.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order I Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application are correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD, the applicant has no right to participate and she cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order I Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order I Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, all the appeals are disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
07.08.2026