

A.No. 691/26 : Mithilesh Pandey vs. MCD

A.No. 692/26 : Shweta Pandey vs. MCD

10.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. M.L. Choudhary and Sh Sunjit Kumar, Ld counsels
for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to
the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer
and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the
presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in
person along with the record of the proceedings, status
report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal
on **06.10.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken
against the property of appellant i.e. property bearing plot
no. 10, Roshan Garden, Sector-01, Old Kakrola Road,
Najafgarh, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition
order dated 15.05.2026. This interim order shall not
come in the way of any order/directions passed by the
Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of
India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the
public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to
raise any further construction in the property in question.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Registry is directed to send the copy of this order to the Dy. Commissioner concerned, who shall send the acknowledgement of this order to this Tribunal within a week after receiving the copy of the order.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 695/26

10.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Aashish Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal with connected appeal bearing no. 222/26 on **21.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 697/26

10.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Vishal Gohri, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **24.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 699/26 : Sushma Agnihotri Vs. MCD

10.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : None for the appellant.

File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **26.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. third floor of the property no. F-22, Upper Anand Parbat, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 28.07.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

.....contd.2

: 2 :

Registry is directed to send the copy of this order to the Dy. Commissioner concerned, who shall send the acknowledgement of this order to this Tribunal within a week after receiving the copy of the order.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 701/26

10.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Kuldeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **13.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 702/26

10.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Nitesh Basoya, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **12.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 332/25

10.08.2026

Present : Sh. Vijay Sehgal, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with husband of the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Pawan Kumar, AE(B), KBZ and Sh. Rohit Bhati, ALO, KBZ.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Exemption application filed on behalf of Dy. Commissioner concerned. Perused. He is exempted for today only. The application stands disposed of.

As per the status report, the property has been desealed and the Quasi Judicial Authority has tendered unconditional apology. Same is accepted. The contempt proceedings are dropped.

Put up for arguments on the date fixed i.e. **01.09.2026**. It is made clear that no adjournment shall be allowed on the date fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 262/26

10.08.2026

Present : None for the parties.

Even the record has not been produced.

None has appeared either for the appellant or for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for awaiting the record and arguments on
27.11.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 269/26

10.08.2026

Purcha Yadast

Present : Sh. Arun Vohra, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Case file is not traceable.

Let the file be traced and put up on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting file and arguments on **13.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 372/26
Sitara Begum vs. MCD

10.08.2026

Present : Sh. Saif Ali, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant.
Ms. Sidhi Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that appellant, who is present in the court, wants to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and the appellant may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of appellant herself recorded separately to this effect. Her ID proof is taken on record.

In view of the statement made by the appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 398/26

Nisha Malhotra and Another Vs. MCD

10.08.2026

Present : Sh. K.N. Singh and Sh. Prabhnoor Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Rajesh Rohilla, AE(B), KBZ.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced.

As per the respondent, the appellant is required to apply for sanctioned building plan at Head Quarter, Building Department, MCD as the size of the plot is more than 400 sq. yards and the appellant is claiming FAR in respect of a part of the complete plot and the authority to sanction such building plan lies with the Head Quarter and not with the Zonal Office.

The impugned order does not mention these facts and it would have been better if the respondent had passed a speaking order apprising the appellant about the reasons of rejection of the regularization application. However, infacts, the appellant is at liberty to apply afresh with the Head Quarter, Building Department, MCD, Delhi, in this regard.

With the above observations, the appeal stands disposed of.

....contd.2

: 2 :

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 487/26

10.08.2026

Present : Ms. Khushi Anand, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Akash Tanwar, Adv., along with Sh. Pawan Kumar, AE(B), KBZ and Sh. Rohit Bhati, ALO, KBZ.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **20.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 533/26

10.08.2026

Present :

Sh. Kaushalender Singh, Sh. Vikas Thakur and Mohd. Zuhaib, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Sh. Sachin Kumar Balhara, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Jagbir Singh Khatri, junior JLO.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

The appellant has claimed that the booked property is not his property though the show cause notices and the demolition order were pasted at his property.

I have seen the photographs available in the office record and compared the same with the photographs of the property filed by the appellant at running page 48 and 49 of the appeal and there appears to be no difference in the two properties.

The appellant withdrew the Civil Suit after seeing these photographs available in the office record while stating that they do not pertain to his property bearing no. 220, Village Mungeshpur, Delhi.

The respondent is directed to file the status report specifying whether the property booked bears private plot no. 220 and 221, Village Mungeshpur with coloured photographs of the booked property on the next date of hearing.

....contd.2

: 2 :

At request, put up for awaiting the status report and arguments on **30.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 551/26

10.08.2026

Present : Ms. Laavanaya Kaushik, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Khyaati Bansal, aDv.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Krishan Kumar, AE(B), CLZ.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the status report and to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **25.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 555/26

10.08.2026

Present : Sh. Sameer Diwan, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD
Sh. Siddharth Sachar, Ld counsel for the respondent no. 3, 3(a) and 3 (b).
Sh. J.P. Singh and Sh. Shivendu Chauhan, Ld. counsels for the respondents no. 4,5 and 6. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been submitted. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Private respondents seeks time to file the reply.

Arguments heard on the maintainability of this appeal against the private respondents.

I have gone through the impugned order which has directed demolition of unauthorized construction raised by the appellant no. 1 and one Ms. Sakshi.

The private respondents no. 3, 3 (a) and 3 (b) at whose instance the impugned order was passed, are only the complainants and their presence is not required. Similarly, the respondents no. 4, 5 and 6 who are neither complainant nor a sufferer of this impugned order, are not necessary and proper parties to this appeal.

....contd.2

: 2 :

The alleged grievance of the appellants that similar unauthorized construction exists in the property in possession of the private respondents, cannot be subject matter of this appeal. Hence, the respondent no. 3, 3 (a) and 3 (b) as well as respondents no. 4, 5 and 6 are deleted from the array of the parties.

The amended memo of parties be filed by the appellant.

At request, put up for arguments on **05.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 655/26

10.08.2026

Present : Mohd. Sahil, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
None for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel has taken his ailing father to the hospital. Even none has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **05.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 673/26
Harsh Kumar Agarwal Vs. MCD

10.08.2026

Present : Sh. S.D. Dixit, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 24/15

10.08.2026

Present : Ms. Savita Malhota, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.
Sh Madan Sagar, Id counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Vasu Purohit, Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent no. 2 to 4.

Arguments advanced by the Id counsel for the appellant and respondent/MCD.

Adjournment sought for private respondents on the ground that counsel is not well. This appeal is of 2015. Private respondents are directed to file brief submissions by 10 am tomorrow with copy to the counsel for appellant. Put up for arguments and judgment on **11.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 278/19

10.08.2026

Present : Sh. K.K. Upadhayay, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

As per this status report, the village Burari since urbanized comes in the category 'G'.

Let the Administrative Officer, who has given this status report, be present in the court to explain as to how he has given this status report and also to produce the said notification as referred in the status report filed today, on the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **20.08.2026**.

Copy of this order be given dasti to the Id counsel for the respondent for compliance.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 110/21

10.08.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Ms. Parveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent
joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel Sh. Nishant has gone to take kawad.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter
on the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **27.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 188/21

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel Smarak Trust Vs. NDMC

10.08.2026

Present : Sh. Kunal Kalra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Dharmbir Singh, Consultant, NDMC.

Arguments heard at length

1. This is an appeal challenging the demolition order dated 25.02.2021 passed in respect of unauthorized construction of a room with the help of red sand stone slabs and T-iron measuring 10X8 feet in premises no. 7, Jantar Mantar Road, New Delhi.
2. The appellant has challenged this order on the ground that construction of a small room is old and only repairs and renovation were carried out as the roof was leaking during rains and the room was in dilapidated condition and the respondent without providing an opportunity of being heard, passed the impugned order and sealed the property.
3. It was argued by the Id counsel for the appellant that the property is much old as can be seen from the photographs filed by the appellant and only repairs were carried out. The respondent did not consider the reply filed by the appellant to the show cause notice, nor provided an opportunity of being heard and

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

therefore the impugned order is liable to be set-aside. It was also argued that there are many unauthorized constructions in several buildings in the vicinity but the respondent did not take any action against them and booked the subject property on a false complaint and the appeal should be allowed.

4. Ld counsel for the respondent on the other hand argued that the appellant has not challenged the sealing order in pursuance to which the property was sealed and this appeal against the demolition order seeking desealing of the property is not maintainable. It was argued that the property is within 100 meter of protected monument, namely, Jantar Mantar and the appellant did not obtain any permission as required before constructing the property. The photographs taken by the respondent while booking the property clearly show that fresh construction has been raised as the walls are not even plastered. It is a case of fresh construction and not repairs. The appellant was given opportunity of being heard and the reply was also considered and therefore this appeal is liable to be dismissed.
5. I have perused the record. The appellant replied the show cause notice dated 02.11.2020 vide reply dated 10.12.2020 and it was stated in the reply that only

Contd...3/-

: 3 :

repairing of walls has been done by plastering and by repairing the roof to stop leakage. The appellant was issued notices for personal hearing. The appellant therefore cannot claim that opportunity of being heard was not provided. Record shows that one Nitinjya Chaudhary attended the personal hearing on behalf of the appellant on 29.01.2021. Therefore the argument of the appellant that opportunity of hearing was not provided is contrary to record.

6. Coming to the merits of the appeal. The photographs taken by the respondent while booking the property clearly show a fresh construction in the shape of a room has been raised by the appellant and it is not a case of repairs as alleged. The appellant has not filed any property tax return to show that the property tax of the said room has been deposited. The appellant chose not to file any document to show that this room was an existence since long and cannot claim that there is no fresh construction and only repairs were carried out.
7. The photographs taken by respondent clearly show that this is a case of new construction as even the walls and the roof of the room are un-plastered. This construction being raised in and around the time of

Contd...4/-

: 4 :

booking is fresh construction and not protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 and is liable to be demolished. Otherwise also the appellant has not challenged the sealing order dated 23.10.2020 in pursuance to which the property was sealed and has challenged only the demolition order.

8. The appeal therefore is dismissed. The impugned order is up held.
9. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 382/21

10.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Vinay Rathi, Ld counsel for the respondent joined
 through VC.
 None for the intervener.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated
calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is
granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the
matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **02.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 432/21 & 433/21
Kavita Kumar Vs. MCD

10.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the
appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/10.8.26

At 2.25 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Id counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning
in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.
None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the
previous date as well.

It is already 2.25 PM. It appears that the appellant is not
interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is
dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 395/23, 401/23 & 402/23

10.08.2026

Present : Appellant Deepak Manmohan (who is also an advocate) in person in appeal no. 395/23 and he is also counsel in appeal no. 401/23.
Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 402/23
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Bailable warrant upon SDM Alipur received back duly served. None is present for him despite waiting and call till 12.30 p.m.

I have perused the record. This Tribunal vide order dated 24.01.2025 issued notice to the SDM to clarify about the status of Khasra No.243, 245, 241, 242, 244, 246, 283, 285, 286 and 287, Village Garhi Khusro and the documents to ascertain the ownership of the subject properties.

This Tribunal has no authority to adjudicate on the title and has to ascertain whether the impugned order of demolition is sustainable or not which has no relation to the ownership since any person aggrieved can file appeal and in fact the notice to SDM is recalled.

Put up for arguments on all pending applications, objections and the appeals on **03.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 435/23 & 101/24

10.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld. counsel for the respondent in
 appeal no. 101/24 joined through VC.
 Ms. Nisha Garg, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal
 no. 435/23 joined through VC.

Reply to the application seeking condonation of delay
filed in appeal no. 435/23 by one proxy counsel
appearing on behalf of Ms. Nisha Garg. Copy kept on
record.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated
calls since morning.

In view of the same, the interim protection granted vide
order dated 16.08.23 is vacated.

Put up for arguments as last and final opportunity to the
appellant on **25.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 181/24 & 255/25

10.08.2026

Present : Ms. Himani Kalra, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 181/24.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Id counsel for the respondent in appeal
no. 255/25 joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel Sh. Subham Srivastava is held up before
Dwarka Courts.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter
on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **16.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 254/24

10.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

There is no interim protection in the matter. Let the Action taken report be filed by the respondent/MCD on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **27.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 522/24 & 815/24

10.08.2026

Present : Sh. Imran Ahmad and Mohd Qumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Rajesh Singh and Sh. Raghunandan Nath, Ld. proxy counsel for the intervener.

It is stated by the proxy counsel appearing for the intervener that the previous counsel for the intervener Sh. Varun Goswami has been designated as 'Senior Advocate' and the intervener needs time to engage and to file vakalatnama of a new counsel.

Same is not opposed.

At request, put up for arguments on **26.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 1082/24

10.08.2026

Present : Ms. Mitali, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Ashok Kumar Garg is not available today due to death of father of his associate.

I have perused the record. Even the vakalatnama of Sh. Ashok Kumar Garg is not on record and Ms. Mitali, advocate appeared even on the last date of hearing which was five months before, but the vakalatnama has not been filed till date and without vakalatnama on record, this request for adjournment cannot be entertained.

However, in the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter subject to cost of Rs. 5,000/- to be deposited with the Registry of this Tribunal.

Put up for arguments on **26.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 93/25

10.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **03.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 231/25 & 365/25

10.08.2026

Present : Sh. Shashank Chauhan, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 231/25.
Sh. Paras Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 365/25.

Joint status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Appellant seeks time to file objections to this status report and claims that there is no construction on the fourth and fifth floor of the subject property and same has already been demolished by the MCD as per the status report filed in appeal no. 365/25 dated 17.11.2025.

I have seen that status report which records that only one RCC panel on the fourth floor has been demolished and the unauthorized construction in the shape of fifth floor still exists in the property which was raised after the demolition action. The appellant also tampered with the seal as per para 8 of that status report.

The status report filed today clearly show that there exists fourth and fifth floor in the property apart from projections on Municipal Land on all the floors.

MCD is directed to file Action taken report in respect of entire construction at fourth and fifth floor of the property on the next date of hearing.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Put up for awaiting status report and arguments on
02.11.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 17/26

10.08.2026

Present : Sh. Sushil Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Ms. Sejal, Id counsel for the intervener.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener. Copy supplied.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks time to file the reply to this application.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Put up for arguments on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC as well as on appeal on **17.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 109/26

10.08.2026

Present : Ms. Mini Mishra, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Arvind Kumar, counsel for the appellant.
Mohd. Zaid Suleman, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. Sahil Goel, Id. counsel for the intervener along with intervener.

Documents with list filed by the intervener. Copy supplied.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Arvind Kumar is not available today as his mother is on ventilator.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on application seeking condonation of delay on **03.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 281/26

10.08.2026

Present : Ms. Kritika Kharab, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Ikrant Sharma is not available today due to some religious ceremony at his home.

There is no interim protection in the matter. Let the Action taken report be filed by the respondent/MCD on next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **30.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 297/26

10.08.2026

Present : Sh. Saurabh Pandey, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Paras Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

The record has already been deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Ms. Nitasha Gupta is not available today due to bad health of her father.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **30.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 329/26

10.08.2026

Present : Sh. Akash Vashisht, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Sohail Khan is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Registry was directed to tag the record of appeal no. 322/20 which was dismissed in default on 06.12.2023. Let this order be complied.

There is no interim protection in the matter. Let the Action taken report be filed by the respondent/MCD on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **07.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026

A.No. 292/20

10.08.2026

Present : Sh. Tarun Gulati and Sh. Prashant Sharma, Ld counsels
for the appellant.
Sh. Sagar Dhama, Ld counsel for the respondent joined
through VC.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal
stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.08.2026