

A.No. 700/26

M/s Klick (India) Reality Associates Private Ltd. Vs. MCD

11.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ram Chander, Sh. Himanshu, Sh. Anish, Sh. Flora Peter, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

It is submitted for the appellant that the bookings mentioned at serial no. 2, 3 and 4 of the impugned demolition order have already been removed by the appellant and appellant is ready and willing to remove even the deviations mentioned at serial no. 1, but the stilt floor is not in position of the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **07.09.2026**.

...contd.2

: 2 :

The respondent is restrained from taking any action as far as booking no. 2, 3 and 4 are concerned only if the appellant has removed the same. Otherwise, there is no stay to the demolition order and the respondent is at liberty to take action as per law.

Registry is directed to send the copy of this order to the Dy. Commissioner concerned, who shall send the acknowledgement of this order to this Tribunal within a week after receiving the copy of the order.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 704/26

M/s Work Sure Med Pharma Consultancy India Pvt. Ltd. Vs. MCD

11.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, , Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. third floor of property no. P-17, South Ex-II, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 08.07.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

....contd.2

: 2 :

Registry is directed to send the copy of this order to the Dy. Commissioner concerned, who shall send the acknowledgement of this order to this Tribunal within a week after receiving the copy of the order.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 5/14
East India Transport Agency Vs. MCD

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Anand Sharma, Attorney of the appellant.
Sh. Soin Khan, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant joined
through VC.
Sh. Dhramvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Attorney of the appellant submits that he has instructions
from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and
he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Special Power of Attorney is already placed at page no.
14 of the file.

Statement of attorney of the appellant recorded
separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the attorney of the
appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as
withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 757/25

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Subham Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant on the ground that his brother has undergone a bypass surgery recently and he is busy in looking after his brother.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **30.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 785/25

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Manpreet Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with clerk of the counsel in person.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The appellant has mentioned the date of show cause notice i.e. 24.05.2018 in the prayer instead of the date of demolition order which is 06.06.2018. The appellant is directed to amend the prayer clause.

Further, there is no application seeking condonation of delay in filing this appeal challenging the order dated 06.06.2018 and the appeal being filed on 18.11.2025.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant to do the needful in this regard as he has been suffering from chickenpox.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to do the needful and to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **30.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 24/26

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record
Ms. Harita Mehta, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Pradeep Kumar, AE(B), SSZ.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the status report and to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **01.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 186/26

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. B.S. Tiwari, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellants no. 1 and 2 in person.
Sh. Mukesh Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Pradeep Kumar, AE(B). Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld counsel for the appellant has filed some tax receipts. Copy supplied. Same are taken on record.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant as his case file has been misplaced during white-wash.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **04.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 418/26 & 419/26

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Naveen Kumar Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 418/26.. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Id counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 419/26. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. Pradeep Kumar, AE(B), SSZ, in person.

Separate status reports filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the status report and to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **01.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 420/26

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Pradeep Kumar, AE(B). Fresh Vakalatnama
filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be
inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the
record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **01.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 527/26 & 528/26

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Om Singh and Sh. Baldev Singh Pathania, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Ms. Abhilasha Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. J.S. Khatri, AE(B). Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the status report, to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **30.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 587/26

11.08.2026

Present :

Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. R.D. Chaudhary, AE(B).

Record has been produced.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

The appellant has challenged the refusal of the regularization order which rejected the regularization application on the ground of non-compliance of Invalid Notice.

Ld counsel for the respondent has placed on record a copy of the said Invalid Notice dated 15.05.2026 which resulted into refusal of the regularization.

The appellant claims that this Invalid Notice was never supplied to the appellant or the architect nor was uploaded on the portal.

Same is supplied today to the Id counsel for the appellant.

In view of the same, let the regularization application of the appellant be reopened with directions to the appellant to comply with the said Invalid Notice dated 15.05.2026 within two weeks.

Record is returned to the respondent.

Be awaited for the status report and arguments on **17.09.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

11.08.2026

A.No. 640/26 & 641/26

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Khalid Miyan, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld counsel for the respondent. Memo of appearance filed.

In the morning ld counsel for the appellant appeared through VC and submits that appellant wants to withdraw the present appeal. However, till 3.35 pm neither the appellant nor is counsel appeared before this Tribunal for making statement regarding withdraw of appeal.

In view of the above facts, put up on **14.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 643/26

Sumit Tellewar Vs. MCD

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Akhil Pal Chhabra and Ms. Ritu Chhabra, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta and Sh. Reshul Mittra, Ld. Proxy counsels for Sh. Yusuf Jawaaid (who is appearing through VC), counsels for the respondent along with Sh. R.D. Chaudhary, AE(B). Memo of appearance filed.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied. Written arguments filed on behalf of the appellant.

Arguments heard on interim application.

1. The appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 06.07.2026 passed in respect of unauthorized construction at the third floor of property bearing no. 38C, Block D-1B, Janak Puri, New Delhi and has claimed protection under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 on the ground that construction was raised prior to 08.02.2007.
2. The appellant in this regard has relied upon the property tax self assessment return form for the year 2004-2005 which show the covered area of 88.17 sq. mtr. at third floor.

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

3. The respondent in impugned order did not rely on this PTR and instead relied upon the PTR of the year 2005-2006 which show a covered area 68.12 sq. mtr. at third floor. There is no reason mentioned in the impugned order as to why the PTR of the year 2004-2005 was ignored.
4. The MCD record show that during the inspection the built up area at the third floor was found to be 111.23 sq. mtr. Ld counsel for the appellant argued that the appellant being layman could not take the proper measurement of the covered area at the time of filing property tax return and the covered area mentioned in the PTRs of 88.17 sq. mtr. is rough measurement. There is a difference of almost 23 sq. mtr. in the exact covered area as per inspection report and as per PTRs filed by the appellant and this difference of 23 sq. mtr. which is equivalent to 247 sq. feet cannot be said to be a mistake.
5. In these facts, the appellant has failed to show that this extra covered area of 23 sq mtr at the third floor exist prior to 08.02.2007 and is protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011.

Contd...3/-

: 3 :

6. In these fact, the interim protection only for an area of 88.17 sq. mtr. is made out. The respondent is restrained from taking any action against the area measuring 88.17 sq. mtr at third floor till pendency of this appeal. The MCD is at liberty to take action against the remaining portion.
7. Nothing said hereinabove shall tantamount to have any expression on the merits of the case.

Put up for arguments on **03.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 24/15

11.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Madan Sagar , Ld counsel for the respondent.
 Sh. P.K. Rawal and Sh. Vasu V. Purohit, Id counsel for
 the R-2 to R-4.

Written arguments filed by the private respondents. Copy
already supplied.

Arguments heard.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal
is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 263/17 & 50/19

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Vikhyat Oberio, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Jasman Singh Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Dharmbir Singh, Consultant, NDMC.

The respondent was directed to file the compliance report dated 21.11.2017.

I have perused the record.

The said compliance report is already on record and there is no need to re-file it.

Further arguments heard partly.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record to go through this compliance report as it is stated that same was not provided to him.

At request, put up for further arguments on **22.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 476/19 & 477/19

11.08.2026

Present : Ms. Khushi Shama, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Samaan Vardhan Gautam, counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Adarsh Kumar Lohia, Advocate.

It is stated by the Id counsel for the appellant that they have been recently engaged and have filed two more appeals against the sealing orders which are likely to be listed tomorrow and these two appeals may be taken up along with fresh appeals.

At request, put up for arguments on **12.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 327/21 & 401/21

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Linoy Abraham Varghese, Id. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 327/21.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Id counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 401/21.

The appellant is directed to pay the previous cost today itself.

Part augments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **04.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 263/22

11.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Parmesh Bali, Ld counsel for the respondent joined
 through VC.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated
calls since morning.

There is no interim protection in the matter. Let the
Action taken report be filed by the respondent/MCD on
next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **18.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 630/23, 632/23, 655/23 & 656/23, 338/24 and 339/24

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. P.K. Bhardwaj, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent in
appeals no. 630/23 & 632/23 through VC.
Ms. Beena Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeals no. 655/23 & 656/23.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 338/24 and 339/24.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **21.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 144/24

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Sunil Chauhan, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

The shop no. 29 was partitioned amongst the co-owners vide Partition Deed dated 19.09.2002 as mentioned in the sale deed executed in favour of the appellant. The appellant has purchased part northern portion of the property.

Let the copy of the partition deed dated 19.09.2002 be filed by the appellant on or before the next date of hearing.

In the meantime, the respondent is also directed to verify the inspection report dated 22.03.2001 filed by the appellant as Annexure-B to the appeal.

Put up for further arguments on **17.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 209/24

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent
joined through VC.
Sh. Malaya Kumar Chand, Id counsel for the intervener.

The intervener seeks adjournment on the ground that he has challenged the order of this Tribunal dated 17.02.2026 before the Hon'ble Division Bench of Hon'ble High Court after his challenge to this order before Hon'ble Single Bench was dismissed. Copy of the order passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench dated 31.07.2026 passed in LPA no. 587/2026 has been placed on record. The Hon'ble Division Bench has only issued notice and there is no stay to the proceeding before this Tribunal and therefore, request for adjournment cannot be entertained. Put up for arguments as last and final opportunity on **12.08.2026** with liberty to the parties to file written arguments with advance copy to each other.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 832/24

11.08.2026

Present : Ms. Deepali Singh, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant
along with appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel Sh. Dinesh Singh is not available today due
to high fever.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter
on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **03.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 1065/24

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Gaurav Rathore, Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Aditya Raj, Ld. counsel for the respondent No.2 and 3.

Ld counsel for the respondent no. 2 and 3 has placed on record three quotation in respect of tentative cost to built a connecting bridge at the first floor through an application. Copy supplied. Same are taken on record.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks time to file the quotations on the part of the appellant. Let the advance copy of the same be supplied.

Put up for the purpose fixed on **16.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 421/25

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Rajinder Gulalti, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is submitted by the Id counsel for the appellant that another appeal of the same property bearing appeal no. 768/25 is listed on 25.11.2026 and this appeal be also listed with that appeal.

At request, put up for arguments with connected appeal on **25.11.2026**.

There is no interim protection in the matter.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 453/25

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Amandeep Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dhramvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that W.P.(C) no. 5117/2026 preferred by the appellant is now listed before Hon'ble High Court for 22.09.2026.

In view of the above, put up for further proceedings on **02.11.2026** awaiting further orders

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 718/25

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Hira Lal, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report in terms of the last order filed by the MCD,
copy supplied.

Reply to the application seeking condonation of delay
filed on behalf of the respondent. Copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the
status report and reply and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **27.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 720/25

11.08.2026

Present : Ms. Aditi Gupta, Id. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
None for the intervener.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Aman Durga has been suffering from fever.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **17.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 753/25

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Samman Vardhan Gautam, Ld counsel for the
appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Khushi
Sharma, Adv.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Previous cost deposited today.

Part Arguments heard.

The appellant amongst other documents has relied upon
a Will of her husband dated 02.04.2009 to claim that the
ground and first floor exist prior to 01.06.2014.

Let the original Will dated 02.04.2009 be produced by the
appellant and the appellant is also directed to file an
affidavit as to whether any probate case in respect of this
Will is pending or not.

Put up for further arguments on **20.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 761/25
Ram Kanwar Nain Vs. MCD

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Chandan Prajapati, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard on maintainability of the appeal.

This is an appeal seeking removal of the property from the list of the booked properties on online portal of the MCD.

The respondent earlier passed a demolition order which was challenged in appeal no. 864/17 and that appeal was withdrawn on 09.05.2022. The demolition order still stands and has not been set aside by any authority.

The claim of the appellant that he has already demolished the booked unauthorized construction, cannot be entertained in this appeal as the appeal against the demolition order was withdrawn.

In view of the same, this appeal is hereby dismissed being not maintainable before this Tribunal.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 764/25

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Rohit Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

The appellant purchased the fourth floor vide sale deed dated 23.01.2023 which records that the fourth floor was constructed in 2012. Further, the sale deed recorded that the vendor Mrs. Parvinder Mishra purchased this property from Ms. Rajni Gupta vide sale deed dated 17.09.2010.

The appellant is directed to file the copy of the sale deed dated 17.09.2010 on next date of hearing and if the same is not filed on the next date of hearing, adverse inference shall be drawn against the appellant.

Put up for further arguments on **25.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 776/25

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Manuj Aggarwal, Id counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Shakshi Sharma, Advocate
Sh. K.D. Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the respondent on the ground that he has been suffering from fever and not in a position to argue today.

At request, put up for arguments on **17.11.2026** with connected appeal bearing no. 755/25.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 864/25

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Diwanshu, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. K.D. Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the respondent on the ground that he has been suffering from fever and not in a position to argue today.

At request, put up for arguments on **17.11.2026** with connected appeal bearing no. 755/25.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 886/25 & 887/25

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Rohit Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Adarsh Singh, Ld. Proxy counsel for the proposed
intervener.

Record has not yet been submitted by the respondent.

Let notice be issued to the Dy. Commissioner concerned
with directions to be present in the court with explanation
and record on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on **04.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 101/26

11.08.2026

Present : Ms. Vatsala Mishra, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is held up before the Family Court.

Same is strongly opposed.

In the interest of justice, one last and final more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments **on 31.08.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 246/26

11.08.2026

Present : Ms. Bhavya Sharma, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Harita Mehtra, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Ms. Niyti Rajdan has been suffering from viral fever and is unable to appear today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **06.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 284/26

11.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. R.K. Jain, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

The interim protection dated 06.04.2026 is hereby vacated.

Let the Action taken report be filed by the respondent/MCD on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **27.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 325/26
Bidya Nand Jha and Anr. Vs. MCD

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. S.K. Karan, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
appellant no. 1.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

The appellant has challenged the sealing order dated 04.10.2018 signed on 12.10.2018 on several grounds including the non-service of show cause notice.

The show cause notice dated 21.08.2018 was issued to one Ashok Gupta stated to be the builder and was sent to him through speed post. The appellants are concerned only about two shops at the ground floor bearing private shop no. 5 and 6 in property bearing plot no. 110, Gali no. 3, D-1, Bharat Vihar, Kakrola, New Delhi.

As per the status report filed today, these two shops are still sealed and seal was found intact.

The office record shows that apart from these two shops, some flats on the upper floors were also sealed. However, the appellants are not concerned about those flats nor those flat owners have filed any appeal before this Tribunal.

.....contd.2

: 2 :

However, infacts, no show cause notice was served upon the appellant, the sealing order dated 04.10.2018 is set aside in respect of shops at the ground floor bearing private shop no. 5 and 6 only, in property bearing plot no. 110, Gali no. 3, D-1, Bharat Vihar, Kakrola, New Delhi, with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply and documents to be submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant. The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 24.08.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

The respondent is directed to desal the property of the appellants i.e. Shops at the ground floor bearing private shop no. 5 and 6 only in property bearing plot no. 110, Gali no. 3, D-1, Bharat Vihar, Kakrola, New Delhi within 2 weeks from today.

With above observations, the appeal stands disposed of. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 652/24

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. Ayush Mishra, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Rajesh Kumar Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026

A.No. 24/15

11.08.2026

Present : Sh. , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.08.2026