

A.No. 720/26

18.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Mohan Kaushik, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s).

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **01.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 722/26
Chanda Vs. MCD

18.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Gurpreet Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Sonu Singh, Advocate in person.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **02.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Second and third floor of the property bearing no. RZ-49F, Pankha Road, Dabri, Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 17.08.2023. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also

....contd.2

: 2 :

made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 724/26
Neelam Kohli Vs. MCD

18.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Dr. Gaurav Manuja, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Uttam Kumar, Advocate.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the vacation notice dated 11.08.2026, which is not appealable before this Tribunal.

The appeal is dismissed with liberty to challenge the demolition/sealing order as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 725/26

18.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ikrant Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Kritika Kharab and Sh. Saksham Mittal, Advocates.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **08.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 726/26
Rohit Kumar Ohlayan Vs. MCD

18.08.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Brijender Dhull, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **15.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. DDA Flat no. K-337, Ground Floor, Sarita Vihar, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 05.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 728/26
M/s Gulati Silk Store Vs. MCD

18.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 16.09.2026.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. bearing no. 5641-5643, Katra Anup Singh, Gali Hazi Ali Jaan, Nai Sadak, Delhi-110006 in pursuance to a vacation notice dated 13.07.2026 based on unauthorized construction file no. 125/86/UC/EE Building/CZ/2015 dated 08.07.2015. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well

....contd.2

: 2 :

as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 729/26
Jai Prakash Aggarwal Vs. MCD

18.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Neeraj Kumar Shah, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Mohd. Kasim, Adv. along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 25.09.2026

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Flat no. D-10/74, First Floor, Sector-07, Rohini, Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 28.07.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme

...contd.2

: 2 :

Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 715/24, 716/24 & 717/24

18.08.2026

Present : Ms. Ankita, sister of the appellant joined through VC.

Files are taken up today on the application(s) for change of date as well as an application(s) seeking initiation of contempt proceedings.

The next date of hearing in the matters is 10.09.2026. The appeals are of the year 2024. In view of the heavy pendency, number of cases and tight schedule of dates, change of date is not possible. The application(s) seeking change of date are dismissed.

Let notice of the application seeking initiation of contempt proceedings be issued to the respondent for the date fixed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **10.09.2026** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 374/26

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Sachin Bandooni, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with proxy counsel in person.
Sh. Karan Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The appellant states that his tenant was misusing the property who has now been evicted and the appellant is ready to pay the misuser charges and to use it for the permissible purposes only in future.

The MCD is directed to temporary deseal the property to take measurement and to calculate the misuser charges and to file the status report about the misuser charge before this Tribunal on or before next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting status report and further proceedings on **29.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 426/26

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Ajay Chaudhary, Id counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

The respondent claims that the jurisdiction of the subject matter lies with the Monitoring Committed appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks time to address arguments on this aspect.

At request, put up for further arguments on **01.10.2026**.

AE(B) concerned is directed to be present on the date fixed.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 27/26 (M)

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Ravi Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the MCD.

None has appeared for MCD despite service, waiting and calls. It is already 4.30 p.m.

This is an application seeking restoration of appeal dismissed for non appearance on 24.08.2022 and this application was filed on 29.05.2026 after 4 years. It is stated for the appellant that he was making efforts to arrange the amount of misuser charges of almost Rs. 15 lacs and therefore, could not pursue the appeal.

In these facts, the appeal be restored to its original number subject to a cost of Rs. 5,000/- to be deposited with Registry of this Tribunal.

Let fresh notice be issued to the MCD with directions to produce the record for next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **21.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 479/26

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Manish Malik and Sh. Satinder Singh Chowdhary, Ld
counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. A.K. Tripathi, Id. counsel for the intervener.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with
Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the
appellant.

Reply to the application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed
on behalf of the appellant. Copy supplied.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under
order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that
all the averments made in the application is correct, then
also in this proceeding between the appellant and the
MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he
cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of
Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs
MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the
matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third
person can join and become a party to such proceedings
and in such proceedings the application under order 1
Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between
the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to

....contd.2

: 2 :

be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

At request made by Id counsel for the appellant, put up for arguments on the appeal on **04.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 510/26

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Lalit Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The respondent seeks time to produce the record.

One last and final opportunity is given to the respondent/
MCD to file the record, failing which the DC concerned is
directed to be present in the court with explanation and
record on next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on **23.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 518/26

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Om Batra and Sh. Parth Yadav, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Nitin Bharti, AE(B)
Sh. Shaurya Vardhan Kuthiala, Id. counsel for the private respondent no. 2 to 4.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Reply to the application seeking condonation of delay filed by the private respondents. Copy supplied.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks some time to file the rejoinder to this reply. Let the same be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

The private respondents are directed to file reply to the appeal as well within 4 weeks with advance copy to the Id counsel for the appellant.

Put up for arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay on **03.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 531/26

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Shagun Mehta, Ld counsel for the appellant along
with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld counsel for the respondent seeks some time to file the
record.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on **01.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 562/26

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Samman Vardhan Gautam, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Khushi Sharma, Adv.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Nishant Walia, Adv.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Arguments heard on the interim application.

Matter is also listed today for arguments on the appeal as well, but Id counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that he has been provided the status report today only and has to inspect the record as well.

In these facts, request is allowed.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **06.10.2026**.

Till then, the interim protection granted by the Hon'ble High Court is extended with directions that the appellant will not seek any adjournment on the date fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 589/26 : Naresh Salwan and Anr. Vs. MCD

A.No. 342/26 : M/s Bansal Marbel Centre and Anr. Vs. MCD

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Naveen Arora, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Record produced in appeal no. 589/26.

Arguments heard. Files perused.

In both these appeals, the appellants have challenged the sealing orders dated 22.12.2025 passed in respect of property no. A1/16, Ground Floor, WHS, Kirti Nagar, New Delhi in appeal no. 342/26 and in respect of property no. A1/17, Ground Floor, WHS, Kirti Nagar, New Delhi in appeal no. 589/26.

In both the cases, show cause notices were issued by the Asstt. Commissioner, MCD, who is not a competent authority to take action under Section 345-A of DMC Act. Further, the appellant has relied upon an order dated 21.07.2026 passed in appeal no. 291/2026 by Hon'ble Judicial Committee in support of the submissions that the subject property cannot be sealed.

In these facts, both the sealing orders dated 22.12.2025 are set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply and documents to be submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

.....contd.2

: 2 :

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 07.09.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

Let the properties be desealed within 2 weeks from today.

The appeals stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 246/19

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Madhur Sapra, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard.

This appeal was dismissed in default on 04.11.2025 and was restored on 17.12.2025 on an application of the appellant, but notice of this application for restoration of this appeal was never issued to the private respondent no. 2, who as such, is not aware whether this appeal has been restored or not.

Infacts, let Issue notice to the respondent no. 2 for **29.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 468/22
Samunder Singh and Ors. vs. DDA

18.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/18.08.26

At 3.50 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Id counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous date as well. Even the cost imposed has also not been deposited. It is already 3.50 PM.

It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 42/18

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharmvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **12.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 906/18

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ranjeet Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent
joined through VC.
None for the intervener.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **13.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 367/20 & 368/20

18.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ranjeet Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent
joined through VC.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated
calls since morning.

There is nothing on record to explain the absence of the
appellant.

However, in the interest of justice one more opportunity is
granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the
matter on the next date of hearing subject to a cost of Rs.
5000/- in each appeal to be deposited with the Registry of
this Tribunal.

Put up for arguments on **18.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 383/21 & 479/22

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Id. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta,
Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is held up in some other court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **19.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 85/23

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. I. Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Id. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is held up in some other court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay as well as appeal on **18.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 357/23

18.08.2026

Present : Ms. Sana Ansari and Sh. I. Ahmad, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD.
Sh. Madan Lal Sharma, Sh. Rakesh Lakra and Ms. Shivani Kher, Id. counsels for the private respondents no. 2 to 8.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **01.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 777/23

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Anmol Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **19.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 162/24
Sheela Devi vs. MCD

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Pankaj Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pranjal Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Sh. Sanjay Rathi, Ld. counsels for the respondent no. 2 joined through VC and Ms. Shivani Kapoor and Sh. Deepanshu, Advocates

Arguments heard.

The appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 31.01.2024 passed in respect of unauthorized construction in the shape of entire ground floor to a property situated in Khasra no. 670/1 and 2, near DDA Land, Siraspur, Delhi-110042.

The main grievance of the appellant is that the show cause notice and the demolition order were issued in the name of one Manoj Rana, who also replied the show cause notice, but thereafter, did not join the personal hearing and has not even challenged the demolition order and the same clearly show the connivance between respondent/MCD and Mr. Manoj Rana.

Same has been disputed by the Id counsel for the respondent as well as Id. counsel for Manoj Rana, who claim that the appellant has forged and fabricated the documents of the property and has no concerned with the subject property which otherwise is old and occupied and

...contd.2

: 2 :

only minor repairs were carried out in the property. It is also claimed that the name of the appellant was written on the property only after the show cause notice was served but before the demolition order was pasted at site. I have perused the record.

This tribunal cannot adjudicate the issue of ownership nor same is a subject matter of this appeal. The appellant has challenged the demolition order only on the ground that she being the owner and occupier, neither the show cause notice was issued in her name nor the demolition order was issued in her name and no opportunity of personal hearing was provided.

It is also relevant to note that Manoj Rana did not prefer to file any appeal against this demolition order.

In these facts, the demolition order dated 31.01.2024 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply and documents to be submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 07.09.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

With the above observation, the appeal stands disposed of.

....contd.3

: 3 :

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 277/24 & 523/24

18.08.2026

Present : Ms. Rashmi Kaushik, Id. proxy counsel for Sh. Amit Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 277/24.
None for the respondent in appeal no. 523/24.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Amit Sharma is held up before Hon'ble Chandigarh High Court..

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **27.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 373/24

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Anil Kumar Jain and Sh. Yash Saini, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An application under Section 151 CPC filed by the appellant to place on record the additional documents.

Without prejudice to the rights of the respondent, the documents are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

Written submissions also filed on behalf of the appellant.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **14.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 698/24

18.08.2026

Present : Ms. Vishalakshi Goel and Sh. Archit Mittal, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant, who joined through VC.
Sh. Dharmvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **15.10.2026**.

The appellant is at liberty to file the written submissions, if any, at least three days prior to the date fixed.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 222/25

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Mayur, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsels for the respondent.

Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant seeks some time to file the documents as mentioned in the last order. Let the same be so filed with advance copy to the other side.

At request of the parties, put up for arguments on **26.11.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 299/25

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Manmohan Singh, Sh. Rajeev Kumar Bhola and Sh. M.C. Upreti, Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Nandan Goel, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Sh. Manish Kumar, Ld. proxy counsel for the intervener along with intervener in person.

Arguments heard on the application seeking condonation of delay.

Put up for orders on **20.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 344/25

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Nitin Bansal, Ld counsel for the appellant alongwith
appellant in person.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Reply filed by the appellant to the status report dated
09.07.2025 along with two applications to place on record
the additional documents and seeking discovery of
documents from the MCD.

Copy supplied.

Let the reply, if desired, to these applications be filed,
with advance copy.

Put up for reply and arguments on these applications on
06.11.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 385/25

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Gaurav Deshraj, Ld counsel for the appellant
alongwith appellant in person.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Written statement filed in CS No.1069/2022 has been
filed alongwith list of documents by the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **16.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 548/25

18.08.2026

Present :

Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Mayank Bansal, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Reply to the application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC filed.
Copy supplied.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

...Contd.2

: 2 :

Arguments concluded on behalf of the appellant.

It is already 4.30 p.m.

Put up for further arguments on **24.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 661/25

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Ravi Bassi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application u/s 5 of the Limitation Act has been filed by the appellant. Copy supplied.

Put up for reply and arguments on the said application as well as appeal on **30.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 56/26

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Mohit Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application u/s 5 of the Limitation Act has been filed by the appellant. Let the copy be supplied to the respondent.

Put up for reply and arguments on the said application as well as appeal on **02.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 241/26 & 242/26

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Id. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Id counsel for the respondent

The record has already been produced. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **18.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 332/26 & 333/26

18.08.2026

Present : Sh. Sunil Kumar, husband of the appellant in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to bad health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **26.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026

A.No. 607/19

18.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. H. R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.08.2026