

A.No. 730/26

20.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Vijay Sehgal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the show cause notice dated 23.04.2026, which is not appealable before this Tribunal.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to argue on the maintainability of appeal.

At request, put up for arguments on the maintainability of the appeal on **12.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 739/26
Alok Arora and Anr. vs. MCD

20.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. R.K. Modi and Ms. Anusuaya, Ld counsels for the
appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **01.10.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Flat no. 441, Sangam Apartment, Pitam Pura, Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 17.07.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 353/24

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Submissions heard on early hearing application. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **02.09.2026** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 565/24

20.08.2026

Present : None for the applicant/appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 16.11.2026. The appeal is of the year 2024. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 1066/24 : Kajal Rani Samantha and Anr. Vs. MCD
A.No. 1067/24 : Tapan Kumar Samantha and Anr. Vs. MCD
A.No. 457/25 : Tapan Kumar Samantha and Anr. Vs. MCD
A.No. 458/25 : Kajal Rani Samantha and Anr. Vs. MCD

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. S.B. Pandey, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Files are taken up today on application(s) of early hearing and seeking withdrawal of the aforesaid appeals filed along with one copy of the order dated 13.08.2026 passed by the Hon'ble High Court, on behalf of the appellants.

Ld. counsel for the appellants submits that he has instructions from the appellants to withdraw the aforesaid appeals and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeals.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellants, the aforesaid appeals are disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 520/25
Rahul Sharma and Ors. vs. MCD

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Nikhil Rana, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Gunjan, Advocate.

Heard. File perused.

Ld counsel for the appellant has placed on record the copy of the order dated 21.07.2026 passed by Hon'ble High Court in Contempt case (C) no. 1204/22, 1355/24 and 350/25 to submit that this appeal is maintainable as the particulars of the subject property are mentioned in para 2 of this order.

The aforesaid appeal is against the vacation notice dated 03.07.2025, which is not appealable before this Tribunal. The appeal is dismissed with liberty to challenge the demolition/sealing order as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 328/26

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Harshit Bhardwaj, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Ms. Anshika, Adv. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Ld counsel for the appellant has filed an affidavit of accommodation. Copy supplied.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

As per the status report, an appeal no. 337/26 with respect to the second floor of property no. F-54, Karampura, Delhi is listed on **25.08.2026**.

Put up this appeal along with that appeal for arguments on **25.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 642/26

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Rajesh Sachdeva, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application seeking permission to repair the subject property.

Let notice of this application be issued to the respondent for the date fixed i.e. **18.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 670/26

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. S.C. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant
Sh. Atul Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Ms. Anshika, Adv. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **25.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 852/24

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Vinod Pant, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with Appellant.
Ms. Mehak Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Ravi Kumar, AE(B), West Zone.
Sh. Kamal Sethi, Ld. counsel for the intervener in appeal no. 852/24.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

.....contd.2

: 2 :

Ld counsel for the appellant has stated that the impugned demolition order has been passed in respect of entire property from ground to fourth floor, but the owners of the ground and first floor have not filed any appeal yet the MCD has not taken any action against the unauthorized construction directed to be demolished at the ground and first floor and it appears that the MCD is in connivance with the intervener who is the owner and occupier of basement and ground floor.

This submission is disputed by the Id counsel for the respondent and Id counsel for the intervener.

The MCD is directed to file the status report as to why no action is taken against the ground and first floor of the property on the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on appeal on **03.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 674/26

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Vinod Pant, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with Appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Ravi, AE(B) in appeal no. 674/26. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD. copy supplied.

It is stated that record of this appeal has already been submitted in appeal no. 852/24, which is in respect of third floor of the same property and it listed today.

Arguments heard on the interim application.

The appellant has prima facie shown that the covered area of 215.5 sq. mtrs exists at the second floor of the property no. A-45, Chander Nagar, Janak Puri, New Delhi since prior to 08.02.2007. In these facts, **the impugned demolition order is stayed** in respect of covered area of 215.5 sq. mtrs at the second floor of the property till next date of hearing. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

....contd.2

: 2 :

The respondent is at liberty to take action against the extra coverage.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **03.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 683/26

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. S.B. Pandey, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

An application filed by the appellant to place on record the additional documents. Copy supplied.

Without prejudice to the rights of the respondent, the documents are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced and perused. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

As per the status report, the part of boundary wall at ground floor has been removed. The appellant has produced the electricity bill of the adjoining property to show that the subject property is situated in a residential area.

The boundary wall only upto the height of 3 feet is permitted in residentially developed plotted area. The appellant is directed to show how he has become the owner of the property as the documents relied upon are unregistered documents and the size of the two properties is around 867 sq. yards.contd.2

: 2 :

The appellant shall not raise any construction till next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **04.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 690/26

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Piyush Chhabra, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Chetan Hasija , Ld counsel for the respondents no. 1 to 4. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. R.M. Jha and Ms. Shailja Jha, Id counsels for the respondent no. 5 to 8.
None for respondent no. 9.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Separate reply filed by the R-5 and joint reply by R-6 to R-8 along with vakalatnama and documents. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **21.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 636/19

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Varun Verma, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent
joined through VC along with Sh. S.C. Mitra, ALO.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. R.K. Pandey is not available today due to demise of his father-in-law and he has gone to Raipur. The appeal pertains to the year 2019. In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **15.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 478/15

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Anuj Garg and Ms. Kamal Sant, Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ranjit Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. S.C. Mitra, ALO.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **01.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 161/18

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Chirag Jamwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ranjit Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. S.C. Mishra, ALO and Ms. Mithilesh Kumar, AE(B).
Sh. Manish Choudhary, Id. proxy counsel for the respondent no. 2 to 5.

Part arguments heard.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent no. 5, who himself is a counsel and is also representing the respondent no. 2 to 4, is out of town.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the R-2 to R-5 to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **25.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 278/19
Ashok Kumar Tyagi Vs. MCD

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. K.K. Upadhyay , Ld counsel for the appellant along
with appellant
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The Administrative officer as directed on the last date of hearing, is not present.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

Respondent was directed to explain as to how the subject property falls in category 'G' for which the misuser charges are payable and not in category 'H', for which no misuser charges are payable.

The appellant has placed on record the property tax return to show that the subject property being part of Khasra no. 564/1, Extended Lal Dora, Village Burari, Delhi, is subject to property tax under category 'H'.

The respondent on the other hand has claimed that entire village Burari comes under category 'G' and has relied upon the list of colonies with their category which shows that 'Burari Extension Colony Wards 104' falls under 'G' category.

The subject property is not a party of Burari Extension colony and is a part of extended lal dora, village abadi, Burari, Delhi.

....contd.2

: 2 :

The appellant on the other hand has relied upon the list of MCD rural colonies wherein Burari colony has been shown under category 'H'. As per this list, all the colonies of Burari ward are under 'H' category.

Even the sale deed of the appellant show that the stamp duty for registration of the sale deed has been paid under 'H' category.

In these facts, there is no material to believe that the subject property falls in 'G' category as claimed by the MCD. Subject to undertaking furnished by the appellant that he shall not use the property for any purpose other than those permissible under MPD-2021, the subject property be desealed within 2 weeks from today.

With the above observations, the appeal stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 22/22

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **23.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 170/22, 259/22, 372/22 & 378/23

20.08.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld. counsel for respondent in appeal No.372/22.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in all other appeals.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Gaurav Arora, is not available today due to bad health.

Perusal of the record reveals that the appellant has been taking repeated adjournment on one pretext or the other. However, in the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matters on the next date of hearing subject to deposit a cost of Rs. 5,000/- in each appeal, with the Registry of this Tribunal.

Put up for arguments on **01.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 231/22, 275/22, 256/24 & 257/24

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Id. counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 256/24 & 257/24.
None for the respondent in other two appeals.
Sh. Puneet Goyal, Ld. counsel for the intervener along with Sh. Sunny Taneja, son of the intervener Ashok Kumar.

Part arguments on behalf of the intervener heard.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning in appeal no. 231/22 and 275/22.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as counsel is not ready with the arguments today.

At request, one last and final opportunity is granted to the parties to address arguments on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **15.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 284/22

20.08.2026

Present : Ms. Monika Singh, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Sunil Aggarwal, who is the appellant himself, is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

Perusal of the record shows that the appellant has been taking repeated adjournments on one pretext and other.

In the interest of justice one more last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing subject to cost of Rs. 5,000/- to be deposited with Registry of this Tribunal.

Put up for arguments on **20.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 530/22

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Sachin Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

The respondent was directed on 06.05.2026 to file report in respect of misuser charge payable for the subject property

This order has not been complied despite directions repeated on 03.07.2026 vide which the Executive Engineer was directed to be present with explanation.

Neither the Executive Engineer is present today nor any status report has been filed.

The notice was duly served upon the Executive Engineer for today on 12.08.2026.

Issue B/Ws of the Executive Engineer for a sum of Rs. 5,000/- and notice to the Dy. Commissioner with directions to be present in the court on next date of hearing with status report as well as explanation for non-compliance of the last two orders.

Put up for further proceedings on **18.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 758/22

20.08.2026

Present : Ms. Sana Ansari and Sh. I. Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **28.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 235/23 & 651/23

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. G.R. Verma, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellants.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 235/23.
Sh. S. Adil Hussain, Id counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 651/23 joined through VC.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Time sought on behalf of the respondent to inspect the property to file the status report as per the last order as it is stated that the previous AE/JE has been transferred.

At request, put up for filing the status report and arguments on **02.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 261/24, 262/24 & 263/24

20.08.2026

Present : None for the parties.

None has appeared either for the appellant or the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

In the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **27.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 13/25

20.08.2026

Present : Ms. Kratika Sharma, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. V.S. Sharma is not available today due to bad cold and cough.

Similar request of adjournment is made by the Id counsel for the respondent on the ground that he has recently been engaged.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **20.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 176/25

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.
Sh. Sunil Pandey, Ld counsel for the intervener along
with intervener.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **06.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 264/25

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Manmeet Singh Maini along with Ms. Tanya, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Ms. Agraza, Id. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashok Kumar, counsel for the respondent no. 3.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments as last and final opportunity to the parties, on **26.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 456/25 & 524/25

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Rakesh Ahluwalia, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 456/25.
None for the respondent in appeal no. 524/25.

Part arguments heard.

None has appeared for the respondent in appeal no. 524/25 despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD in appeal no. 524/25 for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **30.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 620/25 & 621/25

20.08.2026

Present : Ms. Manu, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Grand son of appellant in person.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Ms. Parul Agarwal has been suffering from fever.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **02.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 719/25

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dinesh Kumar Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. R.K. Singh, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Anupam Sharma, counsel the respondent.

Appellant has placed on record copy of letter dated 14.05.2026. Copy supplied today to the ld. proxy counsel for the respondent.

On request, put up for arguments on **26.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 780/25

20.08.2026

Present : Ms. Ira Gupta and Ms. Tanu Yadav, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The appellant has placed on record a copy of the vacation notice dated 14.08.2026 and has stated that despite interim protection, this vacation notice has been issued.

The respondent has already been directed not to take coercive action.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant as she is not feeling well.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **26.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 849/25

20.08.2026

Present : Ms. Sakshi, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant along with husband of the appellant no. 2 and appellant no. 1 through VC.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellants ----- submits that he has instructions from the appellants to withdraw the aforesaid appeals and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeals.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellants, the aforesaid appeals are disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 882/25 & 1/26

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Deepak Ahlawat, Id. proxy counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 882/25.
Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Id. counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 1/26.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Id. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 882/25 joined through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Id counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 1/26.

All the counsels appeared today seeks time to go through the record.

At request, put for the arguments on **18.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 23/26 & 67/26

20.08.2026

Present : Ms. Manu, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Kartik Gupta, Id counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 23/26 joined through VC.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 67/26. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD in both the appeals, copy placed on record. Let the same be collected.

The record has been produced in appeal no. 67/26. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Ms. Parul Agarwal has been suffering from fever.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **03.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 452/26

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Bachu Jaiswal, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Abhishek Kumar is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

There is no interim protection in the matter. Let the Action taken report be filed by the respondent/MCD on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **07.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 660/19

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. P.S. Gulia, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. RK. Pandey is not available today because of demise of his father-in-law.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **26.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 635/26

20.08.2026

Present : Ms. Shatawari Mazumdar, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Shiv Charan Garg, counsel for the appellant along with appellants.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. proxy counsel seeks pass over in the matter as the main counsel is held up in some other court. In view of the heavy cause list, the pass over is not possible.

Put up for arguments on **12.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 312-A/23

20.08.2026

Present : Appellant in person along Ms. Ruby, Adv.
Sh. Vijay Tyagi, Ld counsel for the respondent joined
through VC.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **27.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 468/19 & 469/19

20.08.2026

Present : None for the appellants.
 None for the respondent in appeal no. 468/19
 Sh S. Adil Hussain, Ld counsel for the respondent in
 appeal no. 469/19.
 Sh. G.R. Verma, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, the
present appeal stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026

A.No. 299/25

Amerjeet Kaur & Anr. Vs. MCD

20.08.2026

Present : Sh. Manmohan Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Nandan Goel, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Mankandeep Singh along with Sh. Sourabh Yadav, Id counsel for the intervener.

1. Vide this order I will dispose of the application seeking condonation of delay in filing this appeal.
2. The appellants have challenged the demolition order dated 05.01.2016 and the appeal was filed on 08.05.2025 and there is delay of more than 9 years.
3. The appellants as per the application seeking condonation of delay received the demolition order on 08.01.2016 and filed reply to it on 11.01.2016. The MCD thereafter did not take any action against the subject property till vacation notice dated 22.04.2025 was issued. The MCD slept for more than 9 years for issuing the vacation notice. The appellants claim that they were under bonafide belief on the assurance given by the officials of MCD that no coercive action shall be taken against the subject property and therefore did not file the appeal till the vacation notice was received and therefore the delay should be condoned.

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

4. The same has been opposed by the respondent on the ground that even as per this application, the appellants were aware about the demolition order dated 05.01.2016 since 08.01.2016 and did not bother to challenge the demolition order and there are no grounds to condone this inordinate delay of more than 9 years.
5. I have perused the record. The appellants after service of demolition order on 08.01.2016 filed their reply to it on 11.01.2016 and the respondent did not take any action in pursuance to this demolition order for more than 9 years and issued a vacation notice dated 22.04.2025 after 9 years. The MCD acted in pursuance to the demolition order after waiting of 9 years and no reason has been given by MCD as to why it did not bother to take action for 9 years. If MCD fails to take action for 9 years, a common person is bound to believe that no coercive action shall be taken in pursuance to demolition order. The appellants as such did not challenge the order, till the vacation notice was issued after 9 years.
6. It is also relevant to note that the intervener filed a civil suit no. 4/15 against the appellants and MCD seeking injunction to not to raise unauthorized construction in

Contd...3/-

: 3 :

the subject property and interim injunction application filed by the intervener in that suit was dismissed in favour of the appellants and the Ld Civil Court did not restrain the appellants for raising the construction in the property. This order of the civil court was challenged in appeal by the intervener and that appeal was also dismissed. The same is sufficient to create an impression with the appellants that there will be no demolition action against the subject property.

7. The MCD itself waited for more than 9 years for taking action against the subject property and now cannot claim that the delay should not be condoned. The reasons given by the appellants are plausible and in facts the delay is condoned subject to cost of Rs. 5000/- to be deposited with the Registry of this Court.

Put up for arguments on appeal on **27.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.08.2026