

A.No. 734/26

21.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ojas Singh Sachdeva, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **25.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 735/26 & 736/26

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Lokesh Bhardwaj, Sh. Jatin, Ms Geetika Ojha, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant Sh. V.P. Johri.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **31.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 737/26

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. S.M. Pandey, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant

Submissions heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the show cause notice dated 30.12.2019, which is not appealable before this Tribunal.

The appellant claims that the demolition order was never provided.

Issue notice to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law Officer only for the limited aspect for supply of demolition order to the appellant for **31.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 740/26
Poonam Khanna Vs. MCD

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Gurmit Singh Hans and Ms. Gurman Kaur Dua, Ld
counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **22.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e property bearing no. G-135, LIC Colony, Paschim Vihar, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 12.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 741/26

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Pawan Sharma and Sh. Dinesh Bhardwaj, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer in respect of sealing order dated 28.07.2026.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **01.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 742/26

21.08.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **31.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 711/24

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Saurabh Jain and Sh. Mohan Kumar, Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellant Tanuj Agrawal.

File is taken up today on two applications (i) u/s 151 CPC for early hearing/advancement of the date of hearing and (ii) u/s 151CPC seeking modification/recall of the order dated 19.08.2026.

Let notice of these applications be issued to the respondent for **31.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 1074/24
Suresh Chander Vs. MCD

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Kushal Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Id. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

The appellant has already deposited the misuser charges on 05.08.2026 and it is stated for the respondent that the subject property shall be desealed within two weeks.

The respondent is directed to desal the property by 31.08.2026, failing which the appellant is at liberty to remove the seal and to use the property only for the purposes as permitted under MPD-2047.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 138/26

Nirmal Garg Vs. MCD

21.08.2026

Present : Ms. Sonia Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal , Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Narender Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the respondent
no. 3 to 8.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that she has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and she may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 307/26

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Baldev Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
appellant.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been submitted been produced.
It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the
counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the
record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **08.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 334/26

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Piyush Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ankit, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been tagged with appeal no. 588/26 titled as Jai Devi Vs. MCD listed today.

It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

At request, put up for arguments on **16.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 529/26 & 530/26

21.08.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. Ompal Singh, Ld. counsel for the applicant/intervener alongwith intervener Sh. Mam Chand.

Status report is filed by the MCD in both the appeals, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed in both the appeals on behalf of the intervener. Copy supplied.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **01.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 547/26
Shamaila and Ors. vs. MCD

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Syed Jabir, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ankit, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta,
counsel the respondent.
Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with
Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the
appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the
status report and to inspect the record and to argue the
matter.

At request, put up for arguments on 02.12.2026.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken
against the property of appellant i.e. first floor of property
no. 6198A, Katra Baryan, Chandni Chowk, Delhi in
pursuance of the impugned order. It is made clear that
there is no protection to the ground, second and third of
the subject property. This interim order shall not come in
the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble
High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It
is also made clear that no encroachment on the public
land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise
any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 559/26

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Naginder Benipal, Sh. Udit Vaghela, Sh. Sarthak Sethi and Sh. Jaskaran Singh, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with one proxy counsel in person.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Part arguments heard.

MCD is directed to disclose how the inspection on 04.08.2022 was conducted at the site and is also directed to file the original postal receipts dated 12.08.2022 along with Track Consignment Report, if any, available to show that the impugned rejection order was sent and served upon the appellants, on next date of hearing.

At request, put up for further arguments on **06.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 588/26

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Parveen Anand, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been submitted. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **16.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 600/26 & 601/26

21.08.2026

Present : Mohd. Uwaiz and Sh. Yash Tripathi, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ankit, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD in both the appeals, copy supplied.

The record has been produced in both the appeals. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the status report and also to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **01.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 731/26

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Kunal Mittal, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Nitin Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Part arguments heard on the interim application.

Till next date of hearing, status quo be maintained in respect of the subject property. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

Put up for further arguments on **04.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 353/18

21.08.2026

Present : Mohd. Ayan, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent joined
through VC.

Ld. proxy counsel seeks pass over in the matter as the
main counsel is held up before some other court.

In view of the heavy cause list, the pass over is not
possible.

Put up for arguments on **09.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 37/15

21.08.2026

Present : Mohd. Fahad, Ld. proxy counsel counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel/LAC Sh. Amanpreet Singh is hospitalized. None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning. The appeal pertains to the year 2015.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **01.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 1092/15

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Anuj Kumar Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Sagar Dhama, Ld counsel for the respondent.

On the last date of hearing, the respondent was directed to reopen the regularization application of the appellant in the other appeal no. 375/17.

It is stated for the appellant that he filed application for reopening the regularization application, but thereafter has not received any communication from the respondent.

Let the AE(B) is directed to be present in the court with status report on next date of hearing as to what steps he has taken in respect of the regularization application of the appellant as directed vide order dated 31.07.2026.

Put up for awaiting status report and arguments on **08.10.2026,**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 39/16

21.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Dharmvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

The appeal pertains to the year 2016. However, in the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing subject to deposit a cost of Rs. 5,000/- with the Registry of this Tribunal.

Put up for arguments on **08.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 374/21 & 375/21

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Mahender Mishra, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **30.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 634/22

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Sanam Malhotra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. S. Adil Hussain, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Record of this appeal has been sent to Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, (HQ), Tis Hazari, tagged in appeal no. 328/21.

Registry was directed to issue Robkar to summon that record, but same has not been done.

Registry is directed to comply with the order dated 12.03.2026.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on **04.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 18/24

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Gagan Sahni, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. S. Adil Hussain, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with one proxy counsel.

The respondent was directed to place on record the record of the sealing order dated 31.10.2022. The respondent has today filed the photocopy of the said record and it is stated that the original record is tagged with appeal no. 711/22, which has already been disposed of.

Let the record tagged with that appeal be tagged with this appeal.

The appellant has placed on record a copy of the order dated 02.06.2026 passed by the Quasi Judicial Authority when the two appeals no. 830/23 and 831/23 were remanded back for fresh decision.

Vide this fresh order, the Quasi Judicial Authority has given protection to the basement and the ground floor under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 and now the demolition order remains for the first and second floor.

The present appeal is challenging the sealing order dated 31.10.2022 which is in respect of basement to second floor. Since, protection has been given by subsequent

....contd.2

: 2 :

order dated 02.06.2026 to the basement and ground floor under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011, the respondent is required to desal the basement which was sealed in pursuance to the sealing order dated 31.10.2022.

The respondent is directed to desal the same within 2 weeks from today.

Ld counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant also intends to challenge the demolition order dated 02.06.2026 in respect of the first and second floor.

On request, put up for arguments on this appeal which now remains only for the first and second floor on **04.12.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 292/24

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. S.B. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent
joined through VC along with Sh. R.K. Singh, Adv.

Part arguments heard.

At request of the Id counsel for the respondent, put up for
further arguments on **07.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 433/24

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Shah Nawaj, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Akib Ali is not available today due to ill-health.

Similar request for adjournment is made on behalf of the respondent.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **02.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 452/24

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. R.K. Lamba, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application seeking condonation of delay filed on behalf of the appellant.

Let the copy of the said application be supplied to the Id counsel for the respondent today itself.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Put up for reply and arguments on this application on **27.11.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 527/24
Soni Vs. MCD

21.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ranjit Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/21.8.26

At 2.20 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ranjit Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous date as well.

It is already 2.20 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 817/24

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. S.K. Srivastava, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellants in person.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Satish Chandra, Id. counsel for the intervener with intervener. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for appellant on the ground that he has recently been engaged in the matter. He seeks some time to file his vakalatnama. Let the same be so filed.

At request, put up for arguments on **02.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 851/24

21.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Prithish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **04.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 105/25

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Awijit Paliwal, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with son of the appellant.
Sh. Ankit, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant wants to withdraw this appeal.

At request, put up for recording the statement qua withdrawal of the aforesaid appeal on **25.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 117/25

21.08.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Nandan Goel, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that Sai Enclave G-2 and G-3 is in the list of 1531 colonies for which the Government has now taken a decision to regularize and some time may be given to the appellant to apply for regularization of the property.

Let the appellant do so within 8 weeks and subject to outcome of the regularization application, put up for arguments on this appeal on **10.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 202/25

21.08.2026

Present : Ms. Ashi Nigam, Ms. Rashmi Gaur and Ms. Munika Tondwal, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Varun Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

The appellant in support of the arguments that the booked deviations are prior to 08.02.2007, has relied upon self-assessment property tax return form for the year 2004-2005 filed as annexure–G to this appeal.

As per this PTR the extended area of 19.61 sq.mtr was constructed in 1999 which has now been booked by the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the MCD has questioned the validity of this documents.

MCD is directed to produce the entire property tax record of the subject property bearing C-5D/98A, Janakpuri, New Delhi on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting aforesaid property tax record and arguments on appeal on **01.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 358/25

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Jatin Kumar, Ld proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. K.D. Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The appellant has not deposited the previous cost. Let the same be deposited today itself.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Amit Kumar is not available today due to bad health.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments **on 04.12.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 424/25

J.S. Tanwar Vs. MCD

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dheeraj Tanwar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied. As per this report the toilet at the terrace of the fourth floor has been removed by the appellant. The photographs filed with the status report show that the toilet has now been removed.

1. Arguments heard.
2. This is an appeal challenging the demolition order dated 12.11.2019 passed in respect of unauthorized construction in the shape of ground to fourth floor with toilet on the fifth floor in property no. WZ-300-C, Narayana Village, New Delhi.
3. The appellant has challenged this order primarily on the ground that the construction up to fourth floor is old and prior to 01.06.2014 and is protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011. The same was booked on false complaint made by the younger brother of the appellant who himself was raising unauthorized construction in his portion mark-B in this property.

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

4. Ld counsel for the respondent on the other hand has argued that the appellant has failed to show that this construction upto fourth floor is protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 and even if the toilet at the fifth floor has been demolished, the entire construction from ground to fourth floor is also liable to be demolished and the appeal should be dismissed.
5. I have perused the record. The appellant filed a civil suit against his brother Sunil Tanwar clamming unauthorized construction raised by him. In that suit the said brother in his written statement stated that the father of the parties constructed portion mark-A & C consisting of five floors in 1994-1995. The appellant is in position of portion mark-C. It shows that ground to fourth floor were in existence prior to 01.06.2014.
6. The appellant has also relied upon a rent agreement dated 21.10.2003 vide which the fourth floor was let out to the tenant. This also shows that the fourth floor is in existence prior to 01.06.2014. The appellant has also relied upon electricity bills which show that the electricity at the third floor was energized on 24.05.2010 which was prior to 01.06.2014.

Contd...3/-

: 3 :

7. Further, the MCD record shows that the property was booked on 01.11.2019 because of a court case and no ongoing construction was found at site.
8. In these facts, the appellant has shown that the ground to fourth floor are existing prior to 01.06.2014 and are protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011.
9. Hence, the impugned order dated 12.11.2019 is kept in abeyance in respect of property of the appellant till this Act is in force. The respondent is at liberty to take action once the Act ceases to be in force. Appeal stands disposed of.
10. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 634/25

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Mohit Kr. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. S.G. Ashthana, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Respondent has already filed reply to this appeal on 17.04.2026.

Ld counsel for the appellant submits that copy of the same has not been provided to him.

Copy for the appellant placed on record today. Let the same be collected from the record.

At request, put up for arguments on **01.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 847/25

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Siddharth Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD
Sh. Sitab Ali Choudhary, Sh. Gufran Ali, Sh. Sadik, Sh. Wahid Ali Choudhary, Ld. counsels for the respondent no. 2.

Reply to the appeal filed on behalf of the respondent no. 2. Copy be supplied to the Id counsel for the respondent/MCD as well as to the appellant.

Though, the appeal has been filed by the appellant belatedly, but there is no application seeking condonation of delay.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks time to take instructions in this regard.

At request, put up for arguments on **09.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 860/25

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Shakti and Sh. Suryanash Mishra Ld. Proxy counsel
for the appellant.
Sh. Tarun Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record has not yet been submitted.

It is stated for the respondent/MCD that as per this status report, the record is tagged with the appeal file bearing no. 467/24 decided on 08.07.2025.

Though, the details of this appeal has been given in the status report, but it has not been stated that record is tagged with that appeal.

AE(B) concerned is directed to verify this fact, since when the matter was remanded back for fresh decision in the previous appeal, the Quasi Judicial Authority cannot pass fresh order without record.

Let the AE(B) concerned be present in the court on next date of hearing with record.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on **03.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 65/26

Ved Parkash Yadav and Anr. vs. MCD

21.08.2026

Present :

Sh. Naginder Benipal, Sh. Udit Vaghela, Sh. Sarthak Sethi and Sh. Jaskaran Singh, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ankit, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is held up before the Hon'ble High Court.

Ld counsel for the appellant has placed on record copy of the Gazette Notification in respect of MPD-2047 and states that stand alone godown of the appellant existing prior to 21.06.2018 is now allowed in village abadi (Lal Dora) and extended Lal Dora and therefore, in view of this notification, interim protection should be granted to the appellant.

In view of the same, **till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order.** This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

Put up for arguments on **06.11.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 132/26

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dhirandra Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record
Sh. Ankit, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent.

Fresh photographs filed on behalf of the appellant. copy supplied.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for appellant on the ground that he has recently been engaged in the matter and seeks some time to argue in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **01.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 143/26

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. H.S. Sodhi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Ld counsel for the respondent has raised objection in
respect of non-challenge to the demolition order
consequence to which this sealing order was issued.

The appellant seeks time to challenge the demolition
order.

At request, put up for further arguments on **03.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 222/26 & 695/26

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Aashish Singh, Id counsel for the appellant
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. P.K. Jindal, AE(B).

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Part arguments heard,

The appellant climes that the third floor above the said property which has more covered area then that of subject property has already been regularized and there is no reason for rejecting the regularization application of the appellant.

Ld. counsel for MCD on the other hand states that there are non-compoundable deviations at second floor to the knowledge of the appellant and without demolishing the same the existing construction cannot be regularized.

MCD is directed to produce the record relating to the regularization of the third floor and the appellant is directed to file affidavit as to whether there exist any non-compoundable deviations at second floor and whether the appellant is ready to demolish those non-compoundable deviations.

Contd...2...

-2-

Put up for arguments on **05.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 310/26 & 311/26

21.08.2026

Present : Sh. Brij Kishore Rotlela and Sh. Puran Chand, Ld
counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, ld. proxy counsel for Sh. V.K. Aggarwal ,
Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some more time to
inspect the record, which he could not do because of his
ailment.

Similar request for adjournment is made on behalf of the
respondent as main counsel is not available due to some
personal difficulty.

At request, put for arguments on **19.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No., 731/22, 732/22, 77/23 & 78/23

21.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. A.L. Agnihotri, Ld counsel for the respondent in
 appeal Nos. 731/22 & 732/22 joined through VC.
 Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in
 appeal Nos. 77/23 & 78/23.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, all the
aforesaid appeals stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026

A.No. 690/26

21.08.2026

Present : Ld proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Ld. counsel for respondents No.5 to 8 joined through VC.
None for respondent No.9.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.08.2026