

A.No. 738/26

24.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Jagjit Singh, Senior Advocate joined through VC along with Sh. A.N. Tiwari, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **05.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 743/26
Ramwati and Others Vs. MCD

24.08.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sanjay Kathuria, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Submissions heard. File perused.
Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.
The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.
Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **09.10.2026**.
Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. 570/8-B/2-A (Part), Nakul Gali, Vishwas Nagar, Delhi-110032 in pursuance of the demolition order dated 30.07.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 745/26
Shreeman Narayan Pathak Vs. MCD

24.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. S.K. Karn, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the vacation notice dated 14.08.2026, which is not appealable before this Tribunal.

The appeal is dismissed with liberty to challenge the demolition/sealing order as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 747/26
Meena Sharma Vs. MCD

24.08.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Harsh Vardhan, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **12.10.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. B-66, Gali no. 19, Bharat Vihar, Raja Puri, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 23.06.2025. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 748/26

24.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Harsh Vardhan Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **15.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 749/26

24.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Harsh Vardhan, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **15.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 750/26
Anil Sharma Vs. MCD

24.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Harsh Vardhan, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **12.10.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. H-1/73, Gali no. 21, Raja Puri, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 23.06.2025. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 320/26

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Mayank Maan, Ld counsel for the appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. Jayant Rao, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to advance arguments on the ground that he has recently been engaged.

At request, put up for arguments on **04.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 346/26

24.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with proxy counsel. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.
Let it be collected from record.

The record has already been produced. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **04.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 404/26

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. G.D. Mishra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld counsel for the respondent seeks time to file the status report and record.

At request, put up for awaiting the record and arguments on **03.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 542/26

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. L.K. Dahiya, Ld counsel for the appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record
Sh. Kartik, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Sh. Mayank Maan, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to file reply to the applications of the intervener as he has recently been engaged.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Put up for reply and arguments on the application under Order I rule 10 and appeal on **04.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 549/26

24.08.2026

Present :

Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with one proxy counsel in person. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

Ld counsel for the respondent accepts notice.

Regularization application of the appellant has been rejected and the appellant has applied for re-opening of the regularization application which as per the respondent should be done online.

The appellant is required to do the needful.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **16.09.2026** for the purpose fixed.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

24.08.2026

A.No. 594/26
Phoolwati Vs. MCD

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Aayush Dawar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh
Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Reply to the stay application filed on behalf of the MCD.

Copy supplied.

At this stage, Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that
he has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the
aforesaid appeal and he may be permitted to withdraw
the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded
separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the
appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as
withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 689/26
Jitender Dev Rana Vs. MCD

24.08.2026

Present :

Sh. Vikram Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Arguments heard on the interim application.

The subject property as per the site plan filed by the appellant consists of two godown, six shops and two offices apart from Kitchen and toilets. The appellant has claimed that there are only two electricity meters in the property installed since the year 2003 and 2004, which are supplying electricity to the entire built up property.

MCD is directed to verify whether these two meters are the only meters supplying electricity to this property or there are other electricity meters also.

Put up for arguments on **16.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, status quo be maintained in respect of the subject property. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

24.08.2026

A.No. 697/26

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Vishal Gohri, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Vijay Kumar, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Sunil Kumar Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter further.

At request, put up for further arguments on **16.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 605/16

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Ram Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
appellant.
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated
calls since morning.

Appeal pertains to the year 2016. In the interest of justice
one last and final opportunity is granted to the parties to
address the arguments in the matter on the next date of
hearing.

Put up for arguments on **29.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 189/17

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Nishant Anand, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant in person.
Sh. R.K. Kashyap, Ld. counsel for the MCD/respondent no. 1.
Sh. Mukesh Goyal, Ld counsel for the R-5 Pratibha Goenka, R-8 Ritika Goyal, R-9 Asha Sharma, R-10 Anju Gupta, R-11 Rajiv Jindal, R-14 Sanjay Sareen, R-15 Sunil Sareen, R-16 Akash Chopra, R-19 Suman Jain and R-21 Isha Jain.
Sh. Saksham Anand and Sh. Vinit Panchal, Id. counsels for respondent no. 20 Ms. Meera Aggarwal joined through VC.
Ms. Kriti Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 13.

Reply to the application under Order I rule 10 filed on behalf of the respondent no. 13. Copy already supplied.

Appellant has placed on record affidavit of service, postal receipts and track consignment reports in respect of the respondent no. 3, 4, 6, 7, 12, 13 and 18.

Only R-13 has appeared amongst them and filed reply.

None is present for 3, 4, 6, 7, 12 and 18.

No service report in respect of R-2 has been filed.

Record shows that the appellant earlier filed an application under Order I rule 10 CPC to implead proposed R-2 which is M/s SA Yadav Estate and Construction Pvt. Ltd. and Notice of that application was issued and someone appears on behalf of the company.

....contd.2

: 2 :

This Tribunal vide order dated 11.04.2023 observed that the impugned regularization dated 18.02.2016 is in the name of Sh. Abhya Singh Yadav and not in the name of the company and directed the appellant to take steps to implead Sh. Abhay Singh Yadav. The appellant in pursuant to that direction filed another application to implead Abhay Singh Yadav and notice of that application was issued to Abhay Singh Yadav which was received back under the signatures of one Babloo. **In these facts, issue fresh notice of that application under Order I rule 10 seeking impleadment of Sh. Abhay Singh Yadav to Sh. Abhay Singh Yadav on PF and speed post for next date of hearing.** There is no need to implead M/s SA Yadav Estate and Construction Pvt. Ltd. Sh. Mukesh Goyal, Advocate seeks time to file reply to this application under Order I rule 10 CPC as it is stated that he was admitted in ICU for about 8 days. One last and final opportunity is granted to Sh. Mukesh Goyal to file the reply with advance copy to the Id counsel for the appellant. Put up for further proceedings and arguments on **06.10.2026**. Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 705/17

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Gourav Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Ms. Amisha Grover, Ld. counsel for the intervener Ms
Kavita Grover.

MCD was directed to produce record relating to the previous booking of 2006 of the Flat no. L-48C.

It is stated for the MCD that same is not traceable.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Vimal Dhingra is out of India.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **08.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 249/18

24.08.2026

Present : Ms. Sana Ansari and Sh. I. Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent. along with Sh. Dharmbir Singh, consultant.

Part arguments heard

Put up for further arguments on **28.10.2026**.

Parties are at liberty to file written argument, if desired, with advance copy to the each other at least one week prior to the date fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 169/19, 170/19, 171/19, 172/19, 173/19 & 174/19

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Rishabh, Id. proxy counsel for Ravi Kapoor, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
None for the appellant in appeal no.174/19.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 169/19 & 174/19.
Sh, Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 170/19.
Ms. Kanta Choudhary, Ld. counsel I for the respondent in appeal no. 172/19.
None for the respondent in appeal no. 171/19 and 173/19.
Ms. Priyanka Agarwal, Ld counsel for the intervener.

Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant seeks pass over in the matters as the main counsel is held up before some other court.

In view of the heavy cause list, the pass over is not possible.

Put up for arguments on **13.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 390/19

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Vicky Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. R.K. Kashyap, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Pradeep Kumar, AZI, Keshav Puram Zone.

Property tax record produced. Let the same be kept on record.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks some time to inspect the said record.

At request, put up for arguments on **02.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 453/21 & 7/24

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Abhinav Vasudev, Ld proxy counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 453/21.
Appellant in person in appeal no. 7/24 (who is respondent no. 2 in other appeal)
Sh. Anubhav Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent through VC in appeal no. 453/21 joined through VC.
None for the respondent in appeal no. 7/24.

It is stated that the appellant no. 1 in appeal no 453/21 has passed away and some time is needed to file the appropriate application to implead the legal heirs.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant in appeal no. 7/24 on the ground that her counsel is not well today.

At request, put up for awaiting such application and arguments on **30.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 113/23

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Vishnu Kant Pandey, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Subham Sharma, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, counsel for the respondent.

It is stated for the appellant that he has applied afresh for regularization on 19.08.2026 as earlier application was dismissed for non-payment of charges.

Copy supplied.

Let the status report be filed by the MCD qua this regularization application on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting the status report and arguments on **03.12.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 736/23

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Mahender Sharma and Sh. T.D. Shukla, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard.

The appellant in this appeal have challenged the demolition order dated 04.04.2014 passed in respect of some property out of khasra no. 613, Holi Chowk, Devli Village, Delhi, copy of which was provided while disposing of previous appeal no. 80/2020 on 10.10.2023.

The order dated 10.10.2023 shows that on that day, the appellant was given liberty to withdraw that appeal and challenge the demolition orders dated 04.04.2014 and 14.02.2017. The appellants chose to file appeal only against the order dated 04.04.2014 and no appeal was filed against the order dated 14.02.2017.

The record shows that there are two bookings of two different properties in this Khasra. The first booking is of 2014 in respect of a property having a stilt and upper floor. The other booking is of year 2017 in respect of a property having basement and upper floors.

The appellants have stated that their property has a basement and this appeal has been filed against an order dated 04.04.2014 which was of the other property having no basement.

....contd.2

: 2 :

The appellants are required to challenge the order dated 14.02.2017 and they are directed to take steps to amend this appeal to challenge that order.

At request, put up for further proceedings and arguments on **01.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 163/24, 164/24 & 165/24

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Intervener in person.

The regularization application of the appellant is still pending.

It is stated for the MCD that the appellants vide letter dated 19.08.2026 (copy of which is supplied to Ld counsel for the appellant today in the court) has asked the appellants to obtain the structural stability certificate from the empanelled institution and let the appellants to comply with the same.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that the ground floor owner may object to such inspection.

It is hereby directed that the ground floor owner shall not raise any objections to the inspection being carried out by the official of empanelled institutions.

Put up for further proceedings on **04.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 401/24

24.08.2026

Present : Ms. Vani Bhardwaj, Id. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sharma , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. proxy counsel seeks pass over in the matter as the main counsel is on the way and struck up in jam due to heavy rain.

In view of the heavy cause list, the pass over is not possible.

Put up for arguments on **02.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 877/24

24.08.2026

Present : Dr. Gaurav Manuja, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Ms. Mehak Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

It is stated for the appellant that they do have the copy of the sanctioned building plan.

At request, put up for further arguments on **29.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 913/24

24.08.2026

Present : Ms. Aditi Chaudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Id counsel for the intervener.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **04.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 265/25, 269/25, 270/25, 271/25 & 272/25

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. R.K. Arora, Id counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 269/25.
Sh. Shashwat Kumar, Id. counsel for the appellant in other appeals.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Ramneek Mishra, Id. counsel for the intervener.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener in all the appeals. Copy be supplied to the appellant as well as MCD.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Application under Order VI rule 17 CPC filed by the appellant filed in appeal no. 265/25 is not maintainable in the present form as the appellant has not mentioned as to what amendment has to be done in the existing appeal. Same is dismissed with liberty to file fresh application as per law along with proposed amended appeal.

Put up for reply and arguments on the applications under Order I rule 10 CPC as well as appeal on **01.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 548/25

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Mayank Bansal, Id. counsel for the intervener.

An adjournment is sought by the Id counsel for the appellant on the ground that he is not ready for today.

Same is opposed.

However, in the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **01.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 647/25

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Rambir Chauhan, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. R.K. Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Anupam Sharma is held up in some other court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **02.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 697/25 & 698/25

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Ketan Saxena, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant
joined through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. proxy counsel seeks pass over in the matter as the
main counsel is held up before some other court. In view
of the heavy cause list, the pass over is not possible.

At request, put up for arguments on **12.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 702/25

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Devender Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Mehvish, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Ms. A. Shailly, Id counsel for the intervener.

Ld. counsel for the Intervener has supplied the copy of the appellant to the Id counsel for the appellant

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Sourav Ghosh is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **02.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 865/25

24.08.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Paras Agarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Ganpat is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **07.12.2026**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 51/26

24.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Paras Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.

Let it be collected by the appellant.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **30.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 283/26

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dinesh Kumar Gupta , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Application seeking waiver of cost filed. There is no ground to waive the cost. The application is dismissed. The appellant is directed to deposit the cost today itself. Part arguments heard at length.

At request made by the Id counsel for the respondent, put up for further arguments on **29.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 371/26
Chanchal Vs. MCD

24.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Shubham Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, counsel for the respondent.
Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/24.08.26

At 3.15 pm
Present :

None for the appellant.
Sh. Shubham Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous date as well.

It is already 3.15 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 378/26

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Piyush Chhabra , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. R.M. Jha, Id. counsel for R-2 to R-5.

Reply filed by the private respondents to the application of the appellant seeking adding of additional grounds and facts to the appeal.

Copy of the reply supplied.

The appellant has also approached the Hon'ble High Court through a Writ petition, wherein vide order dated 04.08.2026, the Hon'ble High Court has directed the MCD to decide the representation of the appellants within 4 weeks and it is stated for the appellant that he may be permitted to argue after the said representation is decided.

At request, put up for arguments on the aforesaid application as well as appeal on **09.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 408/26

24.08.2026

Present : Ms. Deepika, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Paras Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Harjeet Singh AE(B). Fresh Vakalatnama filed,
same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been submitted. It can be
inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through
the status report and to inspect the record and to argue
the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **02.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 438/26

Aisha Avika Enterprises Pvt. Ltd. and Anr. Vs. MCD

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. S. Surender and Sh. Sushrut Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Umesh K. Burnwal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Abhishek Kumar, Adv.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Arguments heard. File perused.

The respondent has taken action against the impugned property which falls in Sainik Farm without issuing any show cause notice and without passing any demolition order and it is claimed that no such show cause notice and demolition order is required to be passed for any property situated in Sainik Farm in view of the judgment of Hon'ble High Court passed in W.P.(C) no 6734/2000 dated 12.01.2001 titled as 'Rajiv Malhotra vs. UOI' and W.P.(C) no. 6455/15, titled as 'Ramesh Dugar Covender Area Development Committee Sainik Farms v. South Delhi Municipal Corporation & Ors.'.

Ld. counsel for the appellant on the other hand has argued that action has been taken against the property of the appellant 6 times without any notice and demolition order thereby violating the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India given IN RE... directions in the matter of demolition of structures (2025) 5 Supreme

....contd.2

Court Cases 1. Further, reliance has also been placed on the observations made by Hon'ble High Court in the order dated 30.07.2026 in W.P.(C) no. 19439/2025 where the Hon'ble High Court observed that the aforesaid orders passed in 'Ramesh Dugar (supra), do not convey the impression that repairs in a property in Sainik Farm are not permissible.

The Id counsel for the respondent/MCD has failed to specify as to why the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in RE... directions in the matter of demolition of structures (2025) 5 Supreme Court Cases 1, should not be followed by the MCD in cases related to Sainik Farm only because the Sainik Farm is an unauthorized colony. The MCD is supposed to issue show cause notice for any unauthorized construction even for the properties located in the unauthorized colony and should follow the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India given in this judgment.

In these facts, the MCD is required to issue the show cause notice followed by proper demolition order if required in respect of the property situated in the Sainik Farm. The MCD is restrained from taking any action without following due process of law against the subject property.

.....contd.3

: 3 :

With the above observations, the appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 475/26

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Chetnaya Puri, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Chahat, Adv.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Manish Kumar Choudhary, Ld counsel for the interven

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The regularization application of the appellant has been rejected and a copy of the refusal order is annexed with the status report.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener. Copy supplied.

Reply, if desired, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the status report and to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **28.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 586/26

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Satish Tamta, Senior counsel along with Ms. Nisha Narayanan, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Shubham Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, counsel the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record
Sh. Navdeep Dev Singh, Id counsel for the private respondent no. 3 to 5. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report and reply to the interim application is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

LD. counsel for the private respondents seek copy of the appeal. Let the same be supplied today itself.

Let the reply, if desired, to the appeal and application(s) be filed by the private respondents within 3 weeks with advance copy to the opposite side.

At request, put up for arguments on **05.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 659/19

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026

A.No. 220/24 & 236/24

24.08.2026

Present : Sh. Rahul, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 220/24.
Sh. Subham, Id. Proxy counsel for Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Id
counsel for the respondent.

Order not ready.

It is already 4.00 pm.

Put up for orders/judgment on **25.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
24.08.2026