

A.No. 744/26

25.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Rahul Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **16.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 746/26

25.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Lalit Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Vinayak, Adv.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The appellant has already applied for regularization of the subject property after passing the impugned order.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **29.10.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. A-196, Gujranwala Town, Model Town, Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 01.07.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of

....contd.2

: 2 :

India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 752/26

25.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Atul Sharma, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Parth Dixit, Adv. in person.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **01.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 753/26

25.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Atul Sharma, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Parth Dixit, Adv. in person.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **01.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 754/26

25.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi , Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **02.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 755/26

25.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Anish Kumar Maggo, Ld counsel for the appellant
joined through VC.

Heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the order of dangerous building dated 04.06.2026, which is not appealable before this Tribunal. The appeal is dismissed with liberty to challenge the same before appropriate forum/Civil Court as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 757/26

25.08.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Bharat Deepak, Sh. Vipin Kumar and Sh. Anurag Tomar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **22.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 386/22

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellants.
Sh. Ranjit Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant. The next date of hearing in the matter is 29.09.2026.

Let notice of this application be issued to the MCD as well as intervener for the date fixed i.e. **29.09.2026**.

In the meantime, MCD is directed not to reject the regularization application of the appellant on the ground of non-compoundable deviations existing at the terrace of the third floor till next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 105/25

Ramdev Sharma & Ors. Vs. MCD

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Awijit Paliwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld counsel for the appellant Sh. Awijit Paliwal appeared joined through VC in the morning and submits that he wants to withdraw the present appeal and will appear after 2.00 pm.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/ _____

At 4.00 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC after 2.00 pm

It is already 4.00 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default as well as for non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 384/25

25.08.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Id. Proxy counsel.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

As per the status report, the property was temporary desealed on 29.05.2026 to enable the appellant to demolish the unauthorized construction and was resealed on 05.06.2026. During this period, the appellant partially demolished the property at second floor.

The appellant submits that the time was short and he could not demolish the entire unauthorized construction and may be given some more time to demolish the unauthorized construction.

The appellant further seeks pass over in the matter as his counsel is held up before some other court. In view of the heavy cause list, the pass over is not possible.

At request, put up for arguments on **28.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 79/26 & 81/26

25.08.2026

Present :

Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

As per the status report, the projections at the front side have been demolished, but the projections at the rear side still exists. The photographs have been annexed with the status report.

The appellant is willing to remove iron jaal on the rear side of the property.

In these facts, let the property be temporary desealed to enable the appellant to remove the same. The respondent is also directed to apprise the appellant about any other non-compoundable deviation still existing in the property at the time of temporary desealing so that same can also be removed during this period.

Let the property be desealed for 15 days started from 07.09.2026 and be resealed on 22.09.2026 at 4.00 pm with directions to the MCD to file the status report after inspection at the time of resealing, on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting status report and arguments on **22.10.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 284/26

25.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 27.11.2026. The appeal is of the year 2026. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **27.11.2026** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 328/26

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Harshit Bhardwaj, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Id. proxy counsel in court.

Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent seeks pass over in the matter as he is held up in some other Court. In view of the heavy cause list, the pass over is not possible.

At request, put up for arguments on **08.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 337/26

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Subhang D. Patle, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with one Proxy counsel.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.
Let it be collected.

At request, put up for arguments with the connected appeal on **08.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 388/26

Sanjeev Kumar Sehrawat Vs. MCD

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Zahid Hanif, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record has already been submitted.

Arguments heard.

The appellant has challenged the impound demolition order dated 19.05.2025 passed in respect of unauthorized construction in the shape of raising of brick wall and RCC column at the ground floor in property being part of Khasra no. 1214, Rangpuri Village, New Delhi, on several grounds including non-service of show cause notice and demolition order.

I have perused the office record. The demolition order was duly delivered to the addressee through speed post on 24.05.2025, however show cause notice sent through speed post returned unserved with the report 'address insufficient' and was also pasted.

There is no photographs of pasting of the notice nor any witnesses joined.

The Commissioner, MCD in its circular itself has given certain directions for service through pasting vide circular dated 15.04.2010 Which is as under :-

.....contd.2

“It is observed that the service of show cause notice upon owner is found unsatisfactory and unreliable and, as such, most of the appeals, filed against the demolition orders, are allowed by the courts for lack of service of show cause notice upon owner. It has, therefore, been decided that pasting of notice should be supported by photographic evidence. The JE concerned will ensure the photographic evidence of pasting of notice and such evidence will form part of U/C and sealing files. EE(B) of respective zone shall ensure the uploading of information regarding unauthorized construction on MCD website soon after the passing of the necessary orders for demolition”.

Even another circular bearing No.LAW/SOUTHDMC/ 2016/1227 Dt. 06.12.2016 was by the Chief Law Officer, SDMC which is reproduce as below:-

‘It has been observed that the Executive Engineers of SDMC are passing demolition order/sealing order without verifying the service of show cause notice. The Appellate Tribunal has also observed that this is very careless attitude or some deliberate act to give benefit to the property owner as they knew very well that case will not stand to the scrutiny of the Court on this ground alone. Therefore, the Quasi Judicial Authority should ensure that service has been done properly before passing any order under DMC Act.

....contd.3

: 3 :

Further the service, as far as possible should be done by way of speed post and a copy of internet delivery report with postal receipt should be kept on record and where service is not possible and pasting is to be done, photograph of pasting be taken and signatures of witness be obtained with their name and address.

In number of cases, the service of show cause notice of demolition or sealing is done by way of pasting or speed post, but necessary proof with regard to its service by pasting and signatures of two witnesses is not taken by the department. In case of service by speed post, the tracking report showing the service of the same upon the owner/builder is not placed in the u/c file, with the result the stay order are passed and finally appeals are allowed.

All concerned are hereby requested to kindly take note of the aforesaid orders and to ensure the compliance of such orders.”

In these facts, the demolition order dated 19.05.2025 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply and documents submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 14.09.2026 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

....contd.4

: 4 :

With above observation, the appeal stands disposed of
Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 31/26 (M)

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Savita Rustogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Prithi Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent
Sh. M.F. Khan, Id. counsel for the respondent i.e. R-2 and R-3

This is an application seeking review of the judgment dated 15.05.2026.

Ld counsel for the respondent submits that copy of the application was not received with notice.

Let the same be supplied today itself.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Put up for reply, if any and arguments on **09.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 717/26

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning. No adverse order is being passed today.

Be awaited for appearance on behalf of the respondent/MCD and record for **09.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 36/26 (M)

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Markandey Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant/
applicant along with applicant.

This is an application under Order IX rule 9 CPC seeking
restoration of the appeal.

Let notice of this application be issued to the respondent
for **01.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 282/20, 281/20, 279/20, 280/20 & 146/24

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Puja Kumar Singh, Id counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 146/24.
Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant in other appeals..
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent. In appeal Nos. 281/20, 279/20, 280/20 .
None for respondent in appeal No.282/20.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Id counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 146/24 joined through VC.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning in appeal no. 282/20. No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel in appeal no. 282/20 on the next date of hearing.

At the respect made by the Id. counsels for the appellant, put up for arguments on **19.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 970/13

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Gourav Kumar, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Anubhav Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant submits that civil matter between the parties is now fixed for 15.09.2026 for final arguments.

The appeal pertains to the year 2013. However, at the request made by the ld. proxy counsel (as main counsel is out of India), put up for final arguments as last and final opportunity, on **07.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 100/17 & 281/18

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Id counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 100/17.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal and Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Sachin Goel, Architect Assistant in person in appeal no. 281/18.

It is stated for the MCD that the meeting of the Standing Committee has not yet been held after the last date of hearing.

Infacts, be awaited for the report in respect of the decision of Standing Committee for **27.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 342/17

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. R.K. Kashyap, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

It is stated for the MCD that the regularization application is still pending and the site has to be physically inspected as informed to the counsel by the office.

Let the AE(B) concerned is directed to be present in the court with status report qua the regularization application of the appellant on next date of hearing

Put up for awaiting status report and arguments on **22.09.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 528/19

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Aditya, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

The respondent filed status report along with photograph on 07.08.2019 in the civil suit No.516/19 pending before Ld. Senior Civil Judge (South), Saket Court, copy of which was also supplied to the appellant.

Appellant and Respondent are directed to file those photographs filed alongwith the status report filed in civil court.

Put up for further arguments on **06.10.2026**.

The appellant is directed to file the record of the regularization application filed in 2019 of the third floor.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 535/23 & 533/23

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. G.D. Mishra, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant on the ground that he is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **26.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 127/24

25.08.2026

Present : Appellant (who himself is an advocate) in person.
None for the respondent/MCD.
Sh. Nitin Kalra, Ld counsel for the intervener.

Ld counsel for the appellant submits that he has not received the complete copy of the application Under Order I rule 10 CPC.

The Deficient pages supplied today in the court.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side(s).

Put up for reply and arguments on this application as well as appeal on **08.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 269/24 & 626/24

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. V.S. Rana, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Ms. Beena Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal No.626/24 joined through VC.
None for the respondent in appeal No.269/24.
Part arguments heard.

As per the respondent, the show cause notice and demolition order were served through pasting. The photographs of the pasting the demolition order are available in the office record, but there is no photographs of pasting of the show cause notice.

MCD is directed to file proof, if any, of service of the show cause notice under Section 344/343 DMC Act dated 16.11.2023, on the next date of hearing.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning. No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD in appeal no. 269/24 for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on 23.10.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 442/24, 443/24, 883/24, 884/24 & 923/24

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Manish Chawla and Tarun Chawla (who are also advocates) in person 442/24, 443/24 & 923/24..
Ms. Aditi Aggarwal, Id. counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 883/24 and 884/24.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Id counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 442/24, 443/24 & 923/24.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal and Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, Id. counsels for the respondent/MCD in appeal no. 883/24 and 884/24.
Intervener Manish Chawla and Sh. Tarun Chawla in person in appeal no. 883/24 and 884/24.
Ms. Aditi Aggarwal, Id. counsel for the R-2 to R-5 in appeal no. 923/24.

Reply to the appeal filed on behalf of the R-2 to R-5 in appeal no. 923/24. Copy already supplied.

Part arguments heard at length.

It is already 1.25 pm. No time left.

Put up for further arguments on **27.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 943/24

25.08.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal and Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, Ld
counsels for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the appellant as his counsel
is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter
on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **03.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 32/25
Kiran Pal Vs. MCD

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. S.P. Sharma , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeals stands disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 37/25

25.08.2026

Present :

Sh. Parth Mahajan, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal and Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, Ld
counsels for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

As per the appellant, the show cause notice dated 23.11.2023 was duly replied on 04.12.2023 with a reminder on 11.12.2023, but the respondent did not consider the reply and passed the impugned sealing order dated 04.12.2023 signed on 07.12.2023.

In reply to an RTI application of the appellant, the respondent replied that no reply was received from the appellant nor any personal hearing was given.

The reply filed by the appellant shows that it was received on 04.12.2023 vide diary no. 8471.

The respondent is directed to file status report and also to produce the R&I register of 04.12.2023 of the office of DC, Najafgarh Zone, the then SDMC, on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting the status report and arguments on **22.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 45/25

25.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

There is no interim protection in the matter. Let the Action taken report be filed by the respondent/MCD on next date of hearing.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

Put up for arguments on **09.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 47/25

25.08.2026

Present : Ms. Ritu Dhuria, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Simran, Adv.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Written submissions filed on behalf of the appellant. Copy supplied to ld counsel for the respondent.

In pursuance to an oral submissions made on 27.05.2025 on behalf of the MCD, the record of appeal no. 492/24 along with the office record was directed to be tagged with this appeal. That record shows that it relates to some property at Maya Puri, New Delhi, whereas the subject property is C type, Tenements, at Lajpat Nagar-IV, New Delhi

The registry is directed to remove that record from this appeal and the office record of the MCD be also sent back to the MCD.

Further, the MCD is directed to submit the record of this appeal on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **03.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 642/25

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Sudesh Goel, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Reply filed by the appellant to the application of the respondent under Order 39 R. 4 CPC. Copy already supplied.

As per the reply, the appellants have applied afresh for regularization on 20.08.2026 after rejection of the regularization application filed by the builder.

As per the DDA report, the earlier regularization application was rejected on 22.07.2026 and the appellants never applied for regularization of the subject property.

Since, the appellants have now applied for regularization afresh which is permissible under law, **status quo be maintained till next date of hearing** with directions to the respondent to give personal hearing to the appellants before deciding the regularization application.

Put up for awaiting status report and arguments on **01.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 765/25

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Rahul Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Application under Section 5 of Limitation Act filed on behalf of the appellant. Copy supplied today.

Arguments heard on the applications seeking condonation of delay. There is a delay of about 2 weeks in filing this appeal. infacts the delay is condoned.

The applications stand disposed of.

Record has not yet received. Let the same be deposited on or before the next date of hearing. It can be inspected by the Id counsel for the appellant.

Put up for awaiting the record and arguments on **09.12.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 840/25

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Daslip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is stated by the Ld. counsel for the respondent that record relating to the subject property is tagged with appeal No. 856/25 listed today.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record tagged with that appeal.

The appellant of this case is permitted to inspect and obtain certified copy of that record tagged with this appeal.

At request, put up for arguments on **08.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 856/25

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Rishabh Raj, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks some more time to file the reply to the application seeking condonation of delay.

Let the reply be filed on or before the next date of hearing with advance copy to the ld counsel for the appellant.

Put up for arguments on the said application as well as appeal on **08.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 327/26

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. M. Sufian Siddiqui, Sh. Rakesh Bhugra and Mohd. Mazhar Ahmad, Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellant in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent aonghweith Sh. Anil Kumar, AE(B).
Sh. Vinod Dahiya, Id. counsel for the respondent no 2.

Further Arguments heard at length.

Let the respondent to file on record the sanctioned building plan and completion plan of this property as well as the letters written to the concerned Police Station in September and October 2024 seeking police aid to take action against the unauthorized construction in the vicinity of Udyog Nagar Industrial Area, Rohtak Road, Peeragarhi, Delhi.

Put up for further arguments on **09.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 383/26, 384/26 & 385/26

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Dushyant Bhargava, Ms. Bhawna Sharma and Sh. Nitish Gaur, Ld. counsels for the appellants along with appellant Md. Noor Alam and Md. Aftab in person.
Sh. Kamal Kishore Okharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard at length.

Put up for further orders on **30.10.2026**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 551/26

25.08.2026

Present : Ms. Khyati Bansal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Gaurav, Ld. proxy counsel for the intervener.

Adjournment sought on behalf of the appellant on the ground that main counsel is held up in some other Court. An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener. Copy supplied.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Put up for arguments on application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC as well as appeal on **07.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 220/24 & 236/24

25.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in
 appeal no. 220/24.
 Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in
 appeal no. 236/24.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal
is allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026

A.No. 461/24 & 1081/24

25.08.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, the present appeals are dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.08.2026