

A.No. 758/26

01.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Tarun Kr. Malhotra, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **24.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 759/26 & 760/26

01.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Gaurav Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **09.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 761/26

01.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Gaurav Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **17.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 762/26

01.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. H.S. Sodi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **28.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 774/26

01.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellants.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **17.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 779/26

01.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Kavya Pahwa, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **07.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 784/26 & 785/26
Ranjan Kumar and Ors. vs. MCD

01.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellants along with appellants.
Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **09.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the properties of appellants in pursuance of the impugned order(s). This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 724/22, 725/22 & 726/22

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Balbir Singh son of the deceased appellant.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Gursimran Singh, Id. counsel for the intervener joined through VC.

Son of the appellant seeks some more time to file the appropriate application to implead the legal heirs of the deceased appellant.

At request, put up for awaiting such application and arguments on **04.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 362/26

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Shubham Asri, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.
Sh. Naveen Yadav, Id. counsel for the intervener.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener. Copy supplied.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

None has appeared for the respondent/MCD repeated calls since morning. Let notice be issued to the CLO for ensuring the presence of the counsel for the MCD on the next date of hearing.

Put up for appearance on behalf of MCD and arguments on the aforesaid application as well as appeal on **04.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

At this stage, Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the MCD has appeared and he is apprised about the today's order. At the request made by Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, the notice need not to be issued to the CLO.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 423/26 & 489/26

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Kazi Naimuddin, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Puneet Bhatnagar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
None for the respondent.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

None has appeared for the respondent/MCD repeated calls since morning. Let notice be issued to the CLO for ensuring the presence of the counsel for the MCD on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting the appearance on behalf of MCD and arguments on **09.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

At this stage, Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the MCD has appeared and he is apprised about the today's order. At the request made by Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, the notice need not to be issued to the CLO.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 534/26
Rita Nahata Vs. NDMC

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dattatray Vyas, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Devender Kumar, JE(EBR), NDMC and Sh. Ashok Kumar, ASO, NDMC.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.
Let it be collected by the appellant.

The certified copy of the record has been produced.

I have gone through this record which relates to the property no. K-63 which belongs to one Ambika Shukla.

Ld counsel for the appellant has clarified that the appellant has no concerned with the property no. K-63.

The subject property is K-61, B.K. Dutt Colony. The respondent is directed to produce the record of this property on or before the next date of hearing and it can be inspected by the Id counsel for the appellant.

At request, put up for arguments on **02.12.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. K-61, B.K. Dutt Colony, Delhi, in pursuance of the impugned order. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear

.....contd.2

: 2 :

that no encroachment on the public land is protected.
The appellant is directed not to raise any further
construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 639/26

01.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Ms. Shivani Pawar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. Sarthak Kapoor, Ld. counsel for the intervener Krishan Singh.

File is taken up today on an application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener Krishan Singh.

Let notice of this application be issued to the appellant for the date fixed i.e. **17.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 752/26
Ashok Dixit Vs. MCD

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. I.S. Saroha, Sh. Atul Kumar Sharma and Sh. Parth Dixit, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Ms. Neetu, Adv.

Record has already been submitted.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

The appellant has challenged the impugned demolition order dated 24.04.2026 passed in respect of unauthorized construction in the shape of erection of temporary parking shed in the set back area by flat no. 2, 4 and 7.

The appellant is the owner of the flat no. 7 and it is stated that the said temporary parking shed booked by the MCD does not belong to him as he has a parking-slot in A Block bearing Slot no. 4 and the appellant apprehends that the respondent by issuing notice to him for the booked property which does not belong to him, intends to take action against the parking-slot of the appellant in Block A.

The photographs of the subject property filed at page no. 40 has been compared with the photographs shared by the AE(B) with the Id counsel for the respondent and the appellant confirms that this property does not belong to him.

....contd.2

: 2 :

In these facts, the appeal is disposed of with directions to the respondent/MCD to not to take any action against the parking-slot no. 4 in Block A without following the due process of law and not in pursuance to this demolition order.

The MCD is at liberty to take action as per law against the booked structure which is towards the gate no. 1 of the society.

Appeal file be consigned to record room.

Record of this appeal and the MCD record tagged with this appeal be tagged with appeal no. 753/26 till further orders.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 753/26

Brijesh Kumar Singh vs. MCD

01.09.2026

Present :

Sh. I.S. Saroha, Sh. Atul Kumar Sharma and Sh. Parth Dixit, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Ms. Neetu, Adv.

Part arguments heard.

The appellant has disputed the service of show cause notice.

The MCD record shows that the show cause notice was served upon the owners of Flat no. 4 and 7, but there is no service proof of flat no. 2 owned by the appellant.

MCD is directed to file the status report in respect of service of show cause notice upon the appellant, who is the owner of flat no. 2 in this society, on next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting status report and arguments on **09.10.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

01.09.2026

A.No. 38/26 (M) & 39/26 (M)
Madan Lal Singla vs. MCD
01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sachin Mishra, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Case files of appeal no.220/24 and 236/24 are taken up today on the two separate applications seeking correction in the judgment dated 25.08.2026.

Ld counsel for the applicant/appellant submits that though the correct property address is mentioned in para 2 of the judgment dated 25.08.2026 as property no. D-21 and D-22, but in para 11 of this judgment, same has wrongly been mentioned as 'D-21 and D-21' and it is requested that same be corrected.

Submissions heard. I have perused the record.

The submissions made by the ld counsel for the appellant/applicant are found correct.

Same being a typographical and clerical error is now corrected and the address in para 11 of the order dated 25.08.2026 is now read as 'D-21 and D-22' instead of 'D-21 and D-21' and the judgment dated 25.08.2026 is now modified/corrected qua the address of the property.

The applications stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 381/17 & 434/17

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Abhishek Tyagi, Sh. Ankit Bhardwaj and Sh. Biswajit Singh, Id counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal No.381/17.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal No.434/17.

Ld. counsel for the appellant requests for adjournment on the ground that he has recently been engaged and seeks some time to file the vakalatnama and to go through the record.

The appeals pertain to the year 2017. However, at request made by the Id counsel for the appellant, put up for arguments as last and final opportunity on **06.11.2026**. Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 47/18

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Diwanshu Sehgal, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Mohd. Amzad Hussain, Adv.
Ms. Simran Auluck, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Ms. Eesha Goel, Advocate in person along with Sh. Vinod Bansal, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

As per this status report, the appellant did not permit the physical inspection of the property on 06.08.2026 and 14.08.2026, whereas on 28.07.2026 which was date fixed by the Tribunal, it could not inspected due to heavy rain and now the regularization application of the appellant has been rejected for non-compliance of the Invalid notice.

The appellant on the other hand claims that none turned up for the MCD for inspection on 28.07.2026 and thereafter no fresh notice was issued in respect of inspection for the other two dates mentioned in the status report.

The appellant was supposed to permit the MCD for inspection even after 28.07.2026 which was the date fixed by this Tribunal for which no notice was required.

However, infacts, let the regularization application of the appellant is reopened and the property be physically inspected on 14.09.2026 at 3.00 pm and the appellants shall cooperate during this physical inspection.

: 2 :

Let the status report be filed on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **02.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 427/18

01.09.2026

Present : Ms Sana Ansari along with Sh. I. Ahmad Sh. , Ld counsel
for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated
calls since morning. Even on the last date of hearing,
none has appeared for the respondent/MCD.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the
presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **20.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 25/21

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Jatin Rajput, Ld counsel for the appellants.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that appellant intends to get the construction regularized and will take steps in this regard.

Infacts, put up for arguments subject to outcome of the said purported regularization application, on **10.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 297/21

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Amit Kumar, son of the deceased appellant.
Sh. S. Adil Hussain, Id counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An application to bring on record legal heirs of deceased appellant is pending.

The appellant as per the death certificate passed away on 15.11.2025 and the application has been filed on 11.02.2026 which is in limitation. Same is allowed and the five proposed legal heirs are impleaded as appellants in this case. Let the amended memo of parties be filed.

At request made by son of the appellant, put up for arguments on the appeal on **02.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 392/21

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Harsh Gulia, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
None for the respondent/MCD.
R-4 in person and she is also appeared for R-3.

Ld. proxy counsel seeks pass over in the matter as the main counsel is held up before some other court. In view of the heavy cause list, the pass over is not possible.

Even, none has appeared for the respondent/MCD despite repeated calls and despite service of the notice issued for today.

Let notice be issued to CLO to be present in the court and also for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **04.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 38/22 & 45/22

01.09.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent/MCD despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD to appoint a new counsel and also for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **08.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 770/23
Rishi Kumar Gupta Vs. MCD

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. B.S. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

The appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 30.10.2023 passed in respect of unauthorized construction in the shape of ground, first and second floor in property no. 2119 (Part), Main Road, Khari Baoli, Delhi-110006 on several grounds including that his reply was not considered, nor any personal hearing was given.

Record shows that the show cause notice dated 18.09.2023 was served through affixation on 10.10.2023 and was replied by the appellant on 17.10.2023 which is available at page no. 26/C to 24/C of the office record. However, the demolition order which was passed after 13 days of receiving the reply, states that no reply has been received.

Though, the reply was not given within 3 days from the date of service of show cause notice but was given prior to passing of the demolition order and should have been considered.

....contd.2

: 2 :

In these facts, the demolition order dated 30.10.2023 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply dated 17.10.2023 submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 21.09.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

With above observation, the appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 118/24

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sanjay Manchanda and Sh. Rahul Miglani, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Nishant Walia, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Prithish Sabhawal, counsel the respondent joined through VC.
Intervener in person.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent seeks pass over in the matter for 12.30 pm as the main counsel is held up before some other Court. Ld counsel for the appellant submits that he is already pre-occupied in Hon'ble High Court at 12.30 pm. Hence, the matter is adjourned.

At request, put up for arguments on **04.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 490/24

01.09.2026

Present : Ms. Vaishali Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

This appeal relates to first floor, SFS Flat, bearing no B-87, Triveni SFS Flats, Sheikh Sarai, Phase-I, New Delhi.

The respondent booked the unauthorized construction at first floor with projections on municipal land vide show cause notice dated 22.04.2024 followed by the demolition order dated 01.05.2024.

The photographs available in the office record show that after booking the unauthorized construction at first floor, the concerned person continued to raised construction and constructed the second and third floor as well with which the appellant has no concerned.

In these facts, let the MCD to file status report in respect of action taken against the second and third floor above the first floor of property bearing no B-87, Triveni SFS Flats, Sheikh Sarai, Phase-I, New Delhi, on next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting the status report and arguments on **12.10,2026**

Interim orders qua the property of the appellant, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 609/24

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Aditya Sachdeva, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Amit, Id. counsel for the intervener through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Raghav Saluja is hospitalized.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **07.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 733/24 & 734/24

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Arush Kapoor, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Amit Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the private respondent no. 2 joined through VC.

It is stated by the Id counsel for the respondent/MCD that the regularization application of the appellant has been rejected and communicated to the appellant.

It is stated by the Id counsel for the respondent no. 2 that he received the copy of the appeal yesterday only and needs some time to file the reply.

Record shows that the appellant was directed to supply the copy to the R-2 on the last date of hearing i.e. 01.06.2026 and it was not supplied for 3 months.

Ld counsel for the appellant submits that same could not be done inadvertently. Infacts, a cost of Rs. 5,000/- in each appeal to be deposited with the Registry of this Tribunal, is imposed upon the appellants for causing delay in the matter.

Reply, if any, to the appeal be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

At request, put up for arguments on **09.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 997/24

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. K.N. Singh and Sh. Prabhnoor Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Nitesh, Id. counsel for the intervener.

Ld. counsel for the intervener submits that the copy of the appeal was provided only in first week of August, but copy of the application under Order I rule 10 CPC has not been provided to him. Same is supplied today.

Let the reply to this application be filed with advance copy to the Id counsel for the appellant.

Put up for arguments on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC on **10.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 1027/24

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Piyush Pahuja, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Nandan Goel, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Part arguments heard.

The appellant claims that the subject property bearing flat no. A-110, Subhadra Colony, Sarai Rohilla, Delhi is a C type tenement whereas the respondent claims that it is a A Type tenement on the basis of a letter written by L&DO office on 06.05.2024.

Let the MCD to file status report as to on what basis, it is claimed that the subject property i.e. A-110, is a 'A' type tenement, on the next date of hearing.

In the meantime, a notice be issued to the L&DO to clarify with record whether the subject property is a 'A' type tenement or a C type tenement.

Put up for further arguments on **10.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 150/25

01.09.2026

Present :

Sh. Vishal Bansal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. R.M. Jha, Ld. counsel for the intervener along with son of the intervener.

An application seeking condonation of delay filed on behalf of the appellant. Copy supplied.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener. Same does not bear the signature of the intervener. Copy supplied.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

The intervener as per the sale deed dated 15.12.2022 purchased the front side half portion of this property consisting only of one and a half floor. Further, the property tax return of the year 2024-25 filed by the intervener with this application show that the constructed area is now from ground to third floor. MCD is directed to file status report as if any action has been taken against the property of the intervener, on the next date of hearing. Put up for reply and arguments on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC and application seeking condonation of delay on **10.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/01.09.26

A.No. 332/25 & 830/25

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Vijay Sehgal, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with husband of the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Pawan Kumar, AE(B), KBZ in appeal No. 332/25.
Sh. Hardik Bedi, Id counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Ms. Ruchi Kushawaha, Adv. in appeal no. 830/25.

Part arguments heard.

At request made by the Ld. counsel for the appellants, put up for further arguments on **07.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 548/25

01.09.2026

Present :

Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Mayank Bansal, Ld counsel for the intervener joined through VC.

Part arguments heard.

The demolition order dated 02.11.2023 in respect of unauthorized construction in the shape of basement to fifth floor was challenged by the appellant in appeal no. 598/2024 which was disposed of by this Tribunal on 23.04.2025 vide which the demolition order in respect of fifth floor was upheld and for the fourth floor was set aside and was remanded back for fresh adjudication by the Quasi Judicial Authority.

The Quasi Judicial Authority thereafter passed the fresh demolition order dated 28.07.2025 challenged in this appeal.

The demolition order in respect of fifth floor has attained finality and the appellant claims that the same has already been demolished. Same is disputed by the respondent.

Let the photographs of the fifth floor of the subject properties be filed by the appellant as well as MCD to ascertain whether any construction still exists at the fifth floor of the property.

....contd.2

: 2 :

Put up for further arguments on **22.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 584/25

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Bhupendra Singh Chowdhary, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with one proxy counsel in person.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Ld counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant wants to withdraw this appeal and will make a statement in this regard tomorrow.

At request, put up for recording the statement qua withdrawal of the appeal on **02.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 624/25

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Hitlal, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pawan Rawat, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to ill-health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **03.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 14/26

01.09.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Reply to the interim application is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

An adjournment is sought by the appellant as his counsel is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **10.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 183/26

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Paramvir Chouhan, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Abhishek, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. K.D. Sharma,
counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel is not well today and time is also sought to produce the record.

At request, put up for awaiting record and arguments on **07.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 235/26

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Armaan Monga, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

It is stated by both the counsels that the matter was adjourned for 08.09.2026 on the last date of hearing and has been mentioned as 01.09.2026 in the order-sheet.

In these facts, put up for arguments on **08.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 394/26

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Abhishek Tyagi, Sh. Ankit Bhardwaj and Sh. Viswajit Singh, Id counsels for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application under Section 5 of Limitation Act filed on behalf of the appellant. Copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks time to file the reply to this application. Let the same be so filed with advance copy to the Id counsel for the appellant.

Put up for reply and arguments on this application on **07.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 727/22

01.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Id counsel for the respondent.

Court notice to the appellants received back with the report 'no such person at this address since last 15 years'.

There are two appellants and the registry issued notices to both of them at the address of the appellant no. 2. Let fresh notice be issued to the appellant no. 1 at the address mentioned against his name for next date of hearing.

MCD is also directed to produce the record on the next date of hearing.

Put up for appearance of appellant and awaiting record on **16.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 596/26
Lakshmi Pandey vs. MCD

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Shashank Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The appellant has disputed the service of show cause notice at his property stated to be done by way of affixation as per the photograph at page 6/C of the office record.

The respondent was directed to file the fresh photograph of the entrance gate of first and second floor and the status report in this regard filed today show that the entrance of the residence of the appellant at property no. D-248, Second floor, Karampura, New Delhi is altogether different from the entrance shown at page 6/C of the office record.

The show cause notice was not properly served.

In these facts, the demolition order dated 16.11.2023 passed in respect of second floor of the property no. D-248, Karampura, New Delhi, is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply and documents to be submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant. The appellant shall appear before the Quasi

....contd.2

: 2 :

Judicial Authority on 21.09.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

With above observations, the appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 357/23

01.09.2026

Present : Ms. Sana Ansari and Sh. I Ahmed, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
None for the private respondents no. 2 to 5.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026

A.No. 636/23

01.09.2026

Present : Sh. Yogendra Singh for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.09.2026