

A.No. 763/26

02.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **14.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 769/26

02.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Hari Shanker Bhardwaj, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 773/26
M/s DSIDC Friends CGHS Ltd. vs. MCD

02.09.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **03.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 776/26
Ashu Arora and Ors. vs. MCD

02.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Rajesh Sachdeva , Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **17.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. second and third floor of property no. D-6, Saket, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 03.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 777/26

02.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Hemant Gulati, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **28.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 778/26

02.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : None for the appellant.

File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **21.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 781/26,

02.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Anand Awasthi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **23.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 782/26 & 783/26
Harkishan and Ors. vs. MCD

02.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Anand Awasthi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **02.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 17.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 790/26
Arvind Singhal Vs. MCD

02.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Arush Kapoor, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **05.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. E-217, Amar Colony, Lajpat Nagar-IV, New Delhi only in respect of the lift pit from stilt to third floor in pursuance of the demolition order dated 19.06.2026. There is no protection to the fourth floor and the MCD is directed to take appropriate action against the fourth floor of the subject property. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well

....contd.2

: 2 :

as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 791/26

02.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dhananjay Kumar and Sh. Harsh Vardhan, Ld
counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to
the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer
and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the
presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in
person along with the record of the proceedings, status
report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal
on **14.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 386/22, 387/22 & 60/23

02.09.2026

Present : Dr. Gaurav Manuja, Ld counsel for the applicant/
intervener in appeal no. 386/22 and 387/22 and for the
appellant in appeal no. 60/23.
Sh. Ranjeet Pandey, Ld. counsel for the MCD joined
through VC.

Files are taken up today on the applications of early
hearing filed on behalf of the applicants.

Let the copy be supplied to the counsel for the appellant
in appeal no. 386/22 and 387/22 and MCD.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 29.09.2026. The
appeals are of the year 2022/2023. In view of the heavy
pendency, no early hearing is possible. The applications
are dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **29.09.2026** for the purpose
fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 584/25
Aftab Ahmad vs. MCD

02.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/02.09.2026

At 2.40 pm
Present :

None for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Id counsel for the respondent.
None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. Though, yesterday, Id counsel for the appellant wished to withdraw the aforesaid appeal, but today none had appeared on behalf of the appellant to make such statement.

It is already 2.40 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 209/26
Dhiraj Kwatra Vs. MCD

02.09.2026

Present : Ms. Tejashwini, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Nitin Sharma is not available today due to bad health.

I have perused the record.

The appellant has challenged the advisory for dangerous building notice dated 12.08.2024 and the prayers of the appeal are restricted only with respect of restoring the possession of the appellant in the subject property which is a shop and to pay him damages of Rs. 10 Lacs. None of these can be granted by this Tribunal, nor the advisory for dangerous building notice is appealable before this Tribunal. The appeal is hereby dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 389/26

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Amit Anand, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Suchetan, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with one proxy counsel Sh. Shivam in person and Sh. Harjeet Singh, AE(B). Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been submitted. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

The appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 07.01.2025 and the appeal was filed on 07.05.2026 and there is no application seeking condonation of delay.

The appellant seeks time to file such application.

Put up for further proceedings on **03.12.2026**.

If the application seeking condonation of delay is not filed within 3 days, the interim protection shall stand vacated.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 403/26

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Kuldeep Singh Katiyar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Subodh Hathwal, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been submitted. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Copy of the additional documents filed by the appellant on the last date of hearing is supplied to the ld counsel for the respondent from the record today.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **10.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 480/26, 494/26 & 666/26

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Suraj Lal, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellants.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent.
Sh. B.L. Gupta, Id. counsel for the intervener along with intervener.

Status report is filed by the MCD in all the three appeals, copy supplied.

The appellant has filed additional documents on 31.08.2026 in all the three appeals, but there is no application seeking permission to place additional documents on record. Copy of said documents supplied to the Id counsel for the respondent.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener in appeal no. 480/26 & 494/26 Copy supplied.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

The record has already been submitted. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

At request, put up for arguments on the aforesaid application and appeal on **15.12.2026**.

....contd.2

: 2 :

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 500/26

02.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.
Let it be collected by the appellant.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning. No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **09.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing. However, this interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 545/26

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Ankit Verma , Ld LAC for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Abheet Mangleek, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **07.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 722/26

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Gurpreet Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Jatin Sethi, Adv.
Sh. Umesh Tyagi, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

As per the status report, the record of the subject property was submitted in appeal no. 566/23 which as per Annexure-G of the appeal, has been dismissed as withdraw on 04.10.2023.

Let the file of that appeal bearing no. 566/23 be tagged with this file along with MCD record, if not sent back to the department.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on **08.12.2026**.
Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 754/26

Raj Kumar Khanna Vs. MCD

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

The record has been produced. Photocopy of the record deposited. Original record returned back

Arguments heard.

1. The appellant has challenged the sealing order dated 18.06.2026 passed in respect of misuse of first floor shop of the appellant in property no. 503, Krishna Gali, Katra Neel, Chandini Chowk, Delhi-110006.
2. The impugned order has been challenged on several grounds including that the subject shop abuts on Gali Krishna which is a Pedestrian Shopping Street (PSS) as per MPD-2021, yet the respondent chose to pass the sealing order and sealed the property by claiming that commercial activity is allowed only on the ground floor and not on the first floor and therefore the impugned order should be set-aside. The appellant also placed reliance upon a letter dated 22.05.2018 issued by Dy. Law Officer (HQ)/SDMC vide which the opinion dated 18.05.2018 given by Sh. Sanjiv Sen, Senior Advocate, Supreme Court of India, sought by the MCD was circulated for information and necessary action.

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

3. Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD on the other hand argued that only the ground floor abutting PSS can be used for commercial activity and no other floor can be used for commercial purposes and the subject property is at first floor and therefore there is no illegality in the impugned order and the appeal should be dismissed.
4. I have perused the record. The Quasi Judicial Authority in impugned order recorded that Gali Krishna is a PSS as per MPD-2021 as mentioned in annexure-C/2, serial no. 78 but commercial activity is permitted only at ground floor.
5. I have gone through MPD-2021. Annexure-C/2 which relates to PSS does not differentiate between the ground floor and the upper floors of the properties abutting the PSS. MPD-2021 differentiates between commercial streets and mixed use streets but there is no differentiation like this in PSS. Under the streets declared as PSS, the MPD-2021 does not provide that the PSS shall be commercial or shall have mixed use streets. In the absence of any such differentiation provided for the properties abutting PSS, the respondent cannot claim that only the ground floor of such properties can be used for commercial purposes and not the upper floors.

Contd....3/-

: 3 :

6. Further, the opinion given by Sh. Sanjiv Sen, Senior Advocate states that all shops which are being used for commercial purposes prior to 01.01.2006 are protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011. The respondent is also required to clarify whether the respondent still abides by this opinion.
7. In these facts, the sealing order dated 18.06.2026 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the aspect that there is no differentiation in respect of commercial and mixed use streets under the category of PSS in MPD-2021 and also after considering the opinion dated 18.05.2018 given by the Sh. Sanjiv Sen, Senior Advocate. The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 22.09.2026 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing. The property in question be desealed within one week from today.
File be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 37/26 (M)

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Shekhar Nanavaty and Sh. Jitesh Kumar Singh, Ld
counsels for the appellant.

File is taken up today on the application of the appellant
seeking modification of the order dated 07.08.2026.

Let notice of this application be issued to the
respondent/DDA for **05.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 41/26 (M)

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant/applicant.

File is taken up today on the application filed on behalf of the applicant/appellant seeking contempt proceedings against the respondent for non-compliance of the order/judgment dated 16.04.2026 vide which eight weeks were given to the respondent to comply with the directions contained in that judgment.

Issue notice of this application to the respondent for **22.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 94/23

02.09.2026

Present : Ms. Sunita Bhardwaj, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.
Sh. Shashi Pratap Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Ms. Anamika Tyagi, Adv., Sh. Dharmbir Singh, consultant, NDMC and Sh. Ashok Kumar, ASO, NDMC.

Arguments heard on the application seeking waiver of cost.

No ground is made out for waiving of cost. The application is dismissed. Let the cost be deposited today itself.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **03.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 329/23
Pushpinder Kumar & Anr. Vs. MCD

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Arjit Benjamin and Ms. Kashish Jain, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent/MCD joined through VC.
Sh. Shiv Chopra, Id. counsel for the intervener joined through VC.

Arguments heard at length.

1. The appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 23.02.2023 passed in respect of unauthorized construction in the shape of variation/deviation against Standard DDA Plan and raising of a room at common space at first floor of flat no. 6504, Block C-6, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi on several grounds including that the reply to the show cause notice dated 06.02.2023 given on 14.02.2023 was not considered, nor a speaking order was passed, nor an opportunity of personal hearing was provided.
2. Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD on the other hand argued that the reply of the appellant was duly considered while passing the subsequent demolition order on 09.03.2023 and same was not satisfactory and therefore the demolition order was passed.

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

3. Ld counsel for the intervener on the other hand argued that the unauthorized construction raised by the appellant can be seen from the photographs filed by the respondent along with status report as well as exact measurement mentioned in the status reports and therefore there are no merits in this appeal.
4. I have perused the record. The show cause notice dated 06.02.2023 was served upon the appellant on 13.02.2023 and the same was replied on 14.02.2023. The reply of the appellant along with supporting documents are available in the office record. The impugned demolition order dated 23.02.2023 records that no reply has been received which was subsequently clarified on 09.03.2023 by stating that the reply has been received but not satisfactory.
5. In both these cases, the impugned demolition orders dated 23.02.2023 and 09.03.2023 are liable to be set-aside since in the first order, the reply was not considered and in the second order no reasons were given as to why the reply is not satisfactory making it a non-speaking order.
6. Admittedly, the appellant gave reply to the show cause notice and in the impugned demolition order it was mentioned that the reply is unsatisfactory. The same does not disclose the grounds or the reasons on

Contd...3/-

: 3 :

which the reply was found unsatisfactory. The respondent being a Quasi Judicial Authority is required to pass speaking order to make the affected person aware about the reasons of the impugned order. The judgment of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court passed in the case of **Jaspal Singh Jolly Vs MCD 125 (2005) DLT 592** held that the impugned order saying reply was unsatisfactory is bad in law and is liable to be quashed.

7. In these facts, the demolition order dated 23.02.2023 and 09.03.2023 are set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply dated 14.02.2023 already submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant. The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 21.09.2026 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.
8. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 189/24, 324/24 & 439/24

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **16.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 353/24, 168/26 & 169/26

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Id. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 353/24.
Sh. Abhishek Sharma, Id. proxy counsel for respondent in appeal no. 168/26.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 169/26.

The appellant has filed complete chain of ownership documents of property no. FA-40, Abul Fazal Enclave, from ground to fourth floor and it is stated that the title documents of FA-39 are already on record. It is further stated that the amalgamation between the two properties has been removed since long and same can be verified by the MCD. Copy supplied.

The respondent is directed to physically inspect the properties and verify on each floor regarding amalgamation if any existing between the two properties and to file the status report on the next date of hearing. Put up for awaiting the status report and arguments on **23.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 932/24 & 933/24

02.09.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 932/24.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Id. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 933/24.
Sh. Pulkit Arora, Id. counsel for the intervener joined through VC.

The house tax record as directed on the last date of hearing has already been deposited.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as his counsel Sh. R.K. Mittal is unavailable today due to demise of his relative.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **07.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 934/24

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sachin, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Status report along with copy of the house tax record is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Ashish Gupta has been suffering from swine-flu.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **09.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 1048/24, 1049/24 & 1098/24

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 1048/24 & 1049/24 joined through VC.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 1098/24.
Sh. Atul Verma and Sh. Hans Raj Yadav, Ld. counsel for the intervener Lalit in appeal no. 1048/24.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant as he is not ready today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **07.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 1090/24

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sunil Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments on behalf of the appellant heard.

As the main counsel for the respondent is not available today, the matter is adjourned.

Put up for further arguments on **28.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 179/25

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

The FIR dated 12.11.2024 records that the flat of the appellant at first floor was booked on complaint and inspection. No such complaint received by the MCD against the flat of the appellant, is available in the office record which however show that the flat owners of ground, first and second floor bearing No, 66-A, B and C made complaint against unauthorized construction being raised by the owner of flat No. 66-D. The two complaints in this regard are available at page 25/C dated 01.03.2024 and at page 27/C dated 01.07.2024.

Let the concerned AE(B) be present in the court with status report as to what action was taken in pursuance to these two complaints against flat No.66-D.

Put up for further arguments on **08.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 350/25

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sumit Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Navdeep Singh and Sh Subhash Singh, Ld counsels
for the intervener.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel Sh. Deepak Aggarwal is not available today
being held up for before the Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **10.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 579/25

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sunil Tiwari, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

The appellant has challenged the sealing notice dated 04.10.2022 in this appeal, but there is no such sealing notice in respect of the subject property.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that it was a typographical error and he will amend the prayer clause.

Further, the appellant has only challenged the sealing order without challenging the demolition order.

At request, put up for further proceedings on **08.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 678/25 & 810/25

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Mohit Begi, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 678/25.
Sh. K.D. Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 810/25. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. Varun Sharma, intervener through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Ms. Bhanupriya is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **09.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 11/26

02.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated
calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **14.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 70/26

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Ankit Negi and Sh. Nitin Kasana, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

Part arguments heard on behalf of the appellant on the application seeking condonation of delay as well as appeal.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

Let notice be issued to the CLO for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **25.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 355/26 & 356/26

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Prashant, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant to file some documents and to advance arguments..

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **14.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 361/26

02.09.2026

Present : Sh. Mohinder Pal Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Arguments heard on the applications seeking condonation of delay of about 18 days in filing the aforesaid appeals. In view of the submissions made by the Id counsel for the appellant, the delay is condoned.

The application stands disposed of.

Time sought to produce the record.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on the appeal on **29.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 365/26

02.09.2026

Present : Ms Shobna Tanwar, Ld. LAC for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Ravinder Aggarwal, Id. counsel for the private respondents no. R-2 and R-3. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Ld. counsel for the private respondents submits that he has not received the legible copy of the documents filed with the appeal which otherwise were received 2/3 days before.

The appellant is directed to supply the legible copies of illegible documents.

Reply, if desired, to the appeal be filed by the private respondents within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Put up for reply and arguments on **02.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026

A.No. 404/23

02.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
02.09.2026