

A.No. 775/26
Shobhna Jain Vs. MCD

03.09.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Rohit Jain, Id counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Gaurav Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 07.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 780/26

03.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sugam Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **25.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 789/26

03.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Shiv Charan Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Raghav, Adv.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **23.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 792/26
Satyajit Kumar and Anr. vs. MCD

03.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms.Nandita Rao, Sh. Jai Shankar and Sh. Manoj Kumar
Makhija, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **16.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. flat no. 205, Dharam Kunj Apartments, Sector-09, Rohini, Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 29.04.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 793/26, 794/26 and 810/26

03.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Kislaya Parashar and Ms Deepali Verma, Ld counsel
for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to
the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer
and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the
presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in
person along with the record of the proceedings, status
report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal
on **09.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 796/26

Bharat Bhushan and Ors. vs. MCD

03.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sachin Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant along with some of the appellants.

Submissions heard. File perused.

This is an appeal challenging the order and notice issued in respect of the subject property no. 4150-4151, Gali Jain Mandir, Arya Pura, Subzi Mandi, Delhi-110007 issued under Section 348/349 of DMC Act relating to the building being declared as dangerous and requires demolition.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 06.08.2026 passed in W.P.(C) no. 9565/25 dismissed the writ with granting liberty to the appellants to approach this Tribunal and therefore, this Tribunal has jurisdiction to entertain this appeal and further this appeal is maintainable under Section 347-B (p) of the DMC Act.

I have perused the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court.

On the submissions made by the Id counsel for the MCD before the Hon'ble High Court, the petitioners agreed to approach this Tribunal and there is no order passed by

.....contd.2

: 2 :

the Hon'ble High Court in respect of maintainability of this appeal before this Tribunal which is competent to hear the appeals against the orders mentioned under Section 347-B of the DMC Act.

Arguments heard on the aspect of maintainability.

Section 347-B (p) of the DMC Act relates to any order or notice issued under this Act in respect of planned development. The order under appeal is in respect of a building being declared dangerous and required to be demolished. This order is not mentioned under any clauses of Section 347-B nor is covered under sub-clause (p) as argued by the appellant. The appeal is not maintainable before this Tribunal and is hereby dismissed.

Copy of this order be given dasti to the Id counsel for the appellant as requested.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 797/26
Arun Babu Vs. MCD

03.09.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Pratyush Sahrma and Sh. Binish Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. C-151, Pkt. C, Third Floor, Sarita Vihar, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 05.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 798/26

Puneet Syal Vs. MCD

03.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Umang Tyagi, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Sanyam Dahiya, Adv.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **30.10.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. B-524, Ground Floor and Second Floor, front portion and terrace, New Friends Colony, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 23.06.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

03.09.2026

A.No. 804/26

03.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Raghunandan Shama, Ms. Usha Devi and Sh. Nishant Kaushik, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Id counsel for the respondent appearing on advance notice.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the order declaring a building dangerous, which is not appealable before this Tribunal.

On request, put up for arguments on the maintainability of this appeal on **18.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No.805/26

Keshav Lal Dua and Anr. Vs. MCD

03.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Shagun Mehta, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal with connected appeal on **01.10.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 12.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

03.09.2026

A.No. 806/26
Jagpal Singh vs. MCD

03.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ashish Shankar Kulshrestha, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The appellant is directed to produce the original rent agreement and a proper site map of each and every floor of the property giving details of the proper dimension.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 28.04.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme

.....contd.2

: 2 :

Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 808/26

03.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. B.S. Mathur, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **24.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 809/26
District 321-A Lions Service Trust Vs. MCD

03.09.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Submissions heard. File perused.
Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.
The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.
Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **05.11.2026**.
Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. known as Lions Hospital, opposite B Block, New Friends Colony, Sarita Vihar, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 05.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 565/24

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. Kuldeep Singh Grewal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing and an application seeking restoration of the interim protection vacated on 30.07.2026, filed on behalf of the appellant.

Issue notice of this application to the respondent for the date fixed i.e. **16.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 821/25

03.09.2026

Present : Ms. Manu, Proxy counsel for Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.vn

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.
Let it be collected.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **20.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 392/26
Jyoti Singh vs. MCD

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. Intjar Ansari and Sh. Vishal, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. Seen and returned.

As per the documents annexed with the status report, the subject property was sealed as per the direction of the Monitoring Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and the jurisdiction lies with the Hon'ble Judicial Committee.

Hence, this appeal is dismissed being not maintainable.

The appellant is directed to approach the Judicial Committee.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 397/26

03.09.2026

Present : Ms. Shakshi Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Mukesh Shamra, Ld. counsel for the respondent.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld counsel for the appellant has placed on record the reply to one RTI application received from MCD, as per which the standard DDA Plan of the society where the subject property is located, is not available with the MCD. Copy supplied.

The appellant has contended that since the MCD does not have the standard DDA plan of the subject property, how can same be booked for violation of the standard DDA plan.

Ld counsel for the respondent seeks time to clarify on this aspect.

At request, put up for arguments on **18.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 455/26

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar Verma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The regularization application filed by the appellant on 23.04.2026 annexed as Annexure A-11 with this appeal is still pending before the respondent. Further, the record shows that the apart from the property of the appellant, the property of the complainant bearing no. 8299, Duplex Flat, above the flat of the appellant was also booked.

MCD is directed to file the status report in respect of regularization application of the appellant as well as demolition order if any passed against the flat no. 8299 and whether any appeal has been filed by that flat owner and if not, whether any action has been taken in pursuance of the demolition order, if any passed against that property, on the next date of hearing.

The regularization application be positively decided, if not yet decided, by the next date of hearing after following the principles of natural justice.

.....contd.2

: 2 :

Put up for awaiting status report and arguments on
10.12.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 607/26

03.09.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **05.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 623/26

03.09.2026

Present : Ms. Mehak, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Prince Kumar, Ld. proxy counsel for Ms. Vasu Singh,
counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is held up before the Hon'ble High Court.

At request, put up for arguments on **27.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 703/26

03.09.2026

Present : Ms. Aakriti Maheshwari, Id counsel for the appellant/
applicant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing
filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 14.09.2026. The
appeal is of the year 2026. In view of the heavy
pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is
dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e **14.09.2026** for the purpose
fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 1110/16, 14/17, 15/17 & 16/17

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. G.D. Mishra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Original record of the MCD has not been deposited.

Ld counsel for the respondent submits that record shall be submitted today itself as the concerned officer is on the way.

It be deposited with Registry today itself and can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Put up for arguments on **30.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 843/17
Vipin Chhokar Vs. MCD

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. Rahul Sehgal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellants submits that he has instructions from the appellants to withdraw the aforesaid appeal as the appellant wants to demolish the unauthorized construction in the property of his own and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeals.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellants, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 687/19

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. Raghav Kapoor, Sh. Ranu Singh and Sh. Naresh, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD joined through VC.
Sh. Vidhan Malik, Ld. counsel for the intervener Maya Devi.

Written arguments filed, copy supplied.

Part arguments heard.

The appellant has disputed the photographs relied by the intervener by stating that they do not belong to subject property. The appellant as well as MCD is directed to file photographs of the subject property taken from outside from all the side of the property.

Put up for filing photographs and further arguments on appeal on **25.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 319/21 & 402/21

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sanam Malhotra, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Id. counsel for the respondent through
VC along with one proxy counsel in the court.

Ld counsel for the appellant has filed the fresh site plan with affidavit of the existing construction in the subject property. Copy supplied.

Let the AE(B) concerned to verify the extent of construction as mentioned in the affidavit and to file report on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting report and arguments on **27.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 168/23, 408/25, 425/25, 426/25, 427/25, 428/25, 429/25 & 430/25

03.09.2026

Present :

Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeals no. 168/23 and 408/25.

Sh. Vikas Manchanda, Sh. Saksham Manchanda and Sh. Saad Iqbal, Ld counsel for the appellants in appeals no. 425/25, 426/25, 427/25, 428/25, 429/25 & 430/25 along with appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent in appeal no. 168/23 and 408/25.

Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent through VC in appeals no. 425/25, 426/25, 427/25, 428/25, 429/25 & 430/25

Sh. Rahul Mehta, Ld. counsel for the Intervener along with intervener Sh. Deepak Arora, Intervener in person in appeal no. 168/23.

It is stated for the appellants that the policy in respect of the subject flats is still pending for approval before the Hon'ble LG and outcome of the decision of the Hon'ble LG shall have bearing on the outcome of these appeals.

Same is disputed by the learned counsel for the intervener. However, it is admitted that the same is pending since long before Hon'ble LG.

Be awaited for outcome of the said decision and arguments on **08.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 308/23

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. Hariom Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Intervener in person with counsel Sh. Deepak Sharma.

An adjournment is sought by the Id counsel for the appellant as he is not feeling well.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **18.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 516/23

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. Satender, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Jatin Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Ms. Parul Agarwal, Id.counsel for the intervener.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Manish Kumar has been suffering from fever.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **17.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 784/23

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. Harsh Vardhan Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Kartik Gupta, Ld. counsel for the intervener joined through VC.

Compliance report filed by the MCD as per the last order.
Copy supplied.

The postal receipt through which the show cause notice was sent to the appellant has been annexed with this report.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **03.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 107/24

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. A. Qureshi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Prabhakar Mani Tripathi, Ld counsel for the
respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought by ld counsel for the appellant
as he is not feeling well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter
on next date of hearing.

MCD is directed to file the status report as to whether the
property no. 1405-1407, Gali Kasim Jaan, Balli Maran,
Delhi-110006 is lying sealed or same has been tampered.

Put up for awaiting the status report and arguments on
16.12.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 272/24

03.09.2026

Present : Ms. Dolly Nair, Ld counsel for the appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record
Sh. Prabhakar Mani Tripathi, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant as she has recently been engaged.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **08.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 366/24

03.09.2026

Present : Wife of the appellant in person.
 Sh. Prabhakar Mani Tripathi, Ld counsel for the
 respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
counsel is held up before some other court.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is
granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the
matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **16.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 1071/24

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. Vimal Dhingra and Sh. Gourav Kumar, Ld counsels
for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant
as he is not ready today.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is
granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the
matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **25.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 61/25 & 407/25

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sahil Anand, Id. proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Appellant in person.
None for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Kunal Mittal is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

Even none has appeared for the respondents despite repeated calls since morning.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **16.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 132/25
Suman Chauhan Vs. MCD

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant
Sh. K.D. Sharma , Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD in
appeal no. 132/25. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is
taken on record,
Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Id counsel for the intervener.

Arguments heard. File perused.

The appellant has challenged the impugned demolition order dated 28.07.2025 passed in respect of the property no. 60, Nangli Rajapur, New Delhi-13. This order records that the appellant despite claiming that there is a sanctioned building plan, failed to produce the same and even the office record of the MCD does not have any sanctioned building plan for this property.

Undisputedly, there is a sanctioned building plan of the subject property which has been challenged in the other appeal bearing no. 210/25. The impugned demolition order has been passed for the entire property on the premise that there is no sanctioned building plan. Since, there is a sanctioned building plan which was not considered while passing the demolition order, the demolition order dated 28.07.2025 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply and documents to be submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

....contd.2

: 2 :

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 28.09.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

With above observation, the appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 210/25

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Id counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Prince Kumar, Id. proxy counsel for Ms. Vasu Singh,
Id counsel for the respondent/MCD.
Sh. Naresh Sharma, Id. counsel for the DDA.
Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Id counsel for the respondent no. 2.

Part arguments heard.

Ld counsel for the appellant submits that the sanctioned building plan in respect of the subject property has been revoked by the MCD.

MCD is directed to file record regarding rejection/ revocation of the sanctioned building plan on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting the record and arguments on **18.11.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 400/25

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. Rajesh Kumar Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

Certified copy of the record was not applied by the appellant and he wrongly applied for the written statement and documents which was rejected.

The appellant seeks time to apply for the certified copy of MCD record and to go through the same before advance arguments.

Even none has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **14.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 4/26 & 5/26

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. Anubhav Chandel, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Id. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Mridul Jindal, Ld. counsel for the intervener Anil Kumar.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Manoj Kumar is not available in post-lunch sessions.

Even, main counsel for the MCD is not available in the post-lunch sessions.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **15.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 10/26

03.09.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Part arguments heard.

Respondent is directed to produce the entire property tax record of property bearing Flat no. A-109, Mount Kailash, East of Kailash, New Delhi, on next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **17.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 13/26

03.09.2026

Present : Mohd. Arib, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Faij Khan, Id. counsel for the intervener joined through VC.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC has already been filed on behalf of the intervener. Let the copy of the said application be supplied to Id counsel for the appellant.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

At request, put up for reply and arguments on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC as well as appeal on **18.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 113/26

03.09.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Copy of status report supplied to the Id counsel for the appellant from the record.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **18.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 145/26 & 146/26

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Sh. Vikas Tomar, Ld. counsel for the intervener Gautam Gupta.

Arguments heard on the application filed by the intervener seeking permission to place on record additional documents which is lease deed executed in respect of first floor measuring 1400 sft.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has no objection if this document is taken on record and he has further filed on record one more lease deed executed by the father of the appellant who is owner of the ground floor. Same are also taken on record.

In view of the same, the application is disposed of.

Arguments heard.

Put up for orders on **01.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 190/26

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. A.S. Khan, Ld counsel for the appellant with appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Akshar Dabral, Ld. counsel for intervener joined through VC with Ms. Dipika Chauhan and Sh. Harshit Anand, Ld. counsels for the intervener. Memo of appearance filed.

Arguments heard on the maintainability of this appeal before this tribunal.

As per the documents annexed with the status report, the subject property was sealed as per the direction of the Monitoring Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and the jurisdiction lies with the Hon'ble Judicial Committee.

Hence, this appeal is dismissed being not maintainable.

The appellant is directed to approach the Judicial Committee.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 326/26

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. Vishvinder Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Id. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta,
Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Manish Kumar, Id.counsel for the intervener.

It is stated by the Id counsel for the appellant that certified copy applied by him has been taken by someone else and the original receipt is still with him.

Registry is directed to be careful in future.

Let the appellant to apply afresh for the certified copy.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks copy of the application under Order I rule 10 CPC. Same is supplied today in the court.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Put up for reply, if any, and arguments on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC as well as on appeal on **17.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 343/13 & 385/13

03.09.2026

Present : Sh. Nitin Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Dharmvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 343/13.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 385/13.
Ms. Urvi Mohan, Ld.counsel for the intervener.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, the aforesaid appeals are dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026

A.No. 94/23

03.09.2026

Present : None for the parties.

Previous cost paid.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.09.2026