

A.No. 786/26

07.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Nitin Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **24.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 787/26

07.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Hamid Ali , Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **24.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 788/26

07.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Khayati Bansal, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **25.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 795/26
Sarika Suri Vs. MCD

07.09.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Vivek Kumar Tandon, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Submissions heard. File perused.
Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.
The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.
Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **20.11.2026**.
Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. ground floor of property no. 15A/16, East Patel Nagar, Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 16.07.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 807/26

07.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : None for the appellant.

File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **09.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 813/26

07.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Madhuvendra Jha and Sh. Nishant Kumar, Ld counsels for the appellant along with some of the appellants.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the vacation notice, which is not appealable before this Tribunal.

However, Issue notice to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law Officer, only to the aspect of providing the copy of demolition order to the appellants, for **30.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 814/26
Ruchi Goyal Vs.MCD

07.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sanjay Aggarwal and Sh. Sudershan Aggarwal, Ld
counsel for the appellant.
Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the
respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and
also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the
presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in
person along with the record of the proceedings, status
report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal
on **06.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against
the property of appellant i.e. eastern side portion at first and
second floor of the property no. 1958, Gali Neelawali, Bazar
Sita Ram, Delhi-110006 in pursuance of the demolition
order dated 14.08.2026. This interim order shall not come
in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble
High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is
also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is
protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further
construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 815/26

07.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Nitesh Rana (Appellant) Sr. counsel along with Ms. Aditi Singh, Advocate along with GPA Holder Sh. Aditya Narayan.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the sanctioned building plan. The persons to whom the impugned sanctioned building plan has been issued, have not been impleaded as respondents, who are the necessary party.

In these facts, these persons Mr. Sunil Kumar Keshwani, Mr. Sidhant Keshwani and Mrs. Vanita Keshwani, residents of B-8, first floor, Vasant Marg, Vasant Vihar, New Delhi are impleaded as respondent.

The Memo of parties further show that the appellants has also impleaded SDM South, SHO, PS Greater Kailsh-I, Special Task Force and Forest department, (DSF) as respondents no. 2 to 5 who are neither necessary nor proper party to this appeal and they all are deleted from the array of the parties.

The respondent no. 6 M/s Infinity Buildewell Pvt. Ltd., is made as respondent no. 2 and persons Mr. Sunil Kumar Keshwani, Mr. Sidhant Keshwani and Mrs. Vanita Keshwani are also added respondents no. 3 to 5.

Contd...2...

-2-

Let the amended memo of parties be filed and issue notice to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the aforesaid private respondent(s), for next date of hearing.

The appellant has pressed the interim application. At this stage no interim relief is made out as the necessary parties were not impleaded by the appellant and further the construction is being raised as per sanctioned building plan. No ground for ad-interim stay is made out.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **08.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 816/26

07.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Sujata Shirolkar, Ld. Legal Aid counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **29.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 817/26
Amit Arora and Anr. Vs. MCD

07.09.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Kunal Mittal , Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Shop no. 17, Sewa Nagar Market, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 17.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 821/26, 822/26 & 823/26

07.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo and Ms. Tanisha, Ld counsels for the appellants.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **11.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 829/26

07.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Arnav Dhama, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the show cause notice dated 13.07.2026, which is not appealable before this Tribunal.

On request, put up for consideration/arguments on maintainability of the appeal on **10.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 279/23 & 280/23
Sh. Pradeep Kumar vs. MCD

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Hem Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with some of the appellants/legal heirs.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Files are taken up today on the applications under Order XXIII R. 1 r/w Sec. 151 CPC seeking withdrawal of the aforesaid appeals.

It is stated on behalf of the L.Rs of appellant that matter has already been compromised between the parties vide Compromise Deed-cum-Settlement Agreement and now they want to withdraw the aforesaid appeal. It is also stated that appellant no. 2 Sh. Sudesh has already passed away and the appellants do not wish to implead his legal heirs.

Heard.

A joint statement of all the legal heirs of the appellant/appellants (except Sudesh) recorded, separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the L.Rs of the appellants/appellants, the aforesaid appeals are disposed off as withdrawn. The pending applications also stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 304/26

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Avinash Pandey, Mohd. Mohid and Sh. Mahesh Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

An application under Order VI rule 17 CPC seeking amendment filed on behalf of the appellant. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard on the application under Order VI Rule 17 CPC filed by the appellant seeking amendment in the prayer clause of the appeal, wherein the vacation notice was challenged which is not appealable and through this amendment, the appellant intends to challenge the demolition order dated 02.09.2019.

Without prejudice to the rights of the respondent in respect of limitation, the amendment is allowed and the amended appeal is taken on record.

The application stands disposed of.

Part arguments on the appeal also heard.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks further time to file application seeking condonation of delay in filing the amended appeal.

Let the application be filed within 2 weeks copy with advance copy to the other side.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Put up for reply and arguments on the said purported application as well as appeal on **20.11.2026**.

There is no interim protection in the matter.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 508/26

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Girish Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with LI Sh. Raj Pal Singh, General Branch, South Zone.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.

It be collected by the appellant.

The misuser charges to the extent of Rs. 4,79,739/- has been calculated and informed to the Id counsel for the appellant. The appellant is at liberty to deposit the same with the respondent.

At request of the Id counsel for the appellant, put up for further proceedings/arguments on **18.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 538/26 & 539/26

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Aoun Abass, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Divyadeep Arya, Id. counsel for the intervener along
with intervener/proposed respondent Ms. Ridhima Bisht.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf
of the intervener. Copy supplied to appellant and MCD.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks
with advance copy to the other side.

Joint status report filed in both the appeals. Copy
supplied.

It is stated for the appellant\s that they have applied for
regularization of the entire third floor on 08.08.2026 and
copy of the receipt placed on record.

Ld. counsel for the MCD submits that the regularization of
the third floor is not possible since the FAR has already
been exhausted up to second floor.

Let the status report in respect of regularization
application be filed on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting status report and arguments on
25.11.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No.605/26

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Manish Gupta, Ms. Riya, Sh. Vishal and Sh. Adarsh Sharma, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Jitender Yadav, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Deepak Gaur, Sh. Vijay Kumar and Sh. S. Thiyagarajan, Advocates in person. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been submitted. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the status report and to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **28.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 684/26

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Ankit Verma, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Hem Dutt Bhardwaj, AE(B) and Sh. Manohar Kumar, ASO.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

The respondent is directed to file the status report stating for what purposes the subject property can be used being located in Sanjay Gandhi Transport Nagar since the booking states that permissible uses is residential only, on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting status report in this regard and arguments on **29.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 686/26

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Rajesh Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Abheet Mangleek, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments with other connected appeal on **21.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 700/26

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Ramchandra Madan, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Tushar Nigam and Sh. Himansh Yadav, advocates in peson.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied. The MCD has taken partial demolition action at ground and stilt floor. MCD is directed to take action against the entire unauthorized construction booked by the MCD and to file the status report on the next date of hearing.

It is stated for the appellant that the booking no. 2, 3 and 4 have already been removed by the appellant. Let the status report be filed in that regard also.

Put up for awaiting the status report and arguments on **26.11.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 718/26

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Arun Dhiman, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Part arguments heard.

The record shows that the appellant made several complaints of the unauthorized construction being raised in property no. C-4H/84, Janak Puri, New Delhi since 08.05.2023 and MCD also issued a work stop notice on 09.05.2023 against that property and thereafter booked the property of the appellant no. C-4H/82. The appellant has placed on record the photographs showing the unauthorized construction being raised in C-4H/84.

Let the MCD to file the status report in respect of any action being taken against the property no. C-4H/84, Janakpuri, Delhi i.e. any demolition order was passed, if yes, what action was taken in pursuance to that demolition order on next date of hearing.

Let the AE(B) is directed to be present in the court on next date of hearing.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Put up for arguments on **14.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 767/26

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Vijay Sehgal, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 12.10.2026. The appeal is of the year 2026. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is dismissed.

The appellant however seeks temporary desealing of the property for removal of the goods from the subject property. Heard.

In these facts, let the property be temporary desealed for 24 hours to enable the appellant to remove the goods and to reseal the same thereafter. The property i.e. 18/01, First floor, Old Rajender Nagar, New Delhi be desealed on 14.09.2026 at 12.00 noon and resealed on 15.09.2026 at 12.00 noon. The appellant shall not part with possession during this period nor shall create any third party interest in the subject property.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **12.10.2026** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 771/26
Daljit Singh and Anr. Vs. MCD

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Prashant Katara, Id.counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Parvez Bashista and Ms. Neha Kumari, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Abheet Mangleek , Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Manoj Kumar, AE(B). Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Arguments heard on the appeal.

The impugned demolition order records that the appellants did not turn up for personal hearing on 10.08.2026 and for that reason, the personal hearing was closed and the demolition order was passed on 13.08.2026.

It is admitted by the respondent that an application for adjourning the hearing on 10.08.2026 was received on account of ailment of the mother of the appellant who passed away on 13.08.2026.

The show cause notice was issued in the name of the late mother and the two appellants. The same was replied twice by the appellant on 27.04.2026 and 08.05.2026, but the impugned order does not deal with

...contd.2

: 2 :

the contentions raised in the detailed reply dated 08.05.2026.

Once, the appellants sought adjournment on 10.08.2026 which was not given and the office record shows that the notings were written on 11.08.2026 by the JE concerned and were approved by the Quasi Judicial Authority on 12.08.2026, they should have taken care of the adjournment application filed on 10.08.2026. The order however, records that none was present. Though, it is correct that the appellants sought time since 25.05.2026 before the Quasi Judicial Authority but on the ultimate date of 10.08.2026, the hearing was closed despite request for adjournment.

In these facts, the demolition order dated 13.08.2026 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply dated 01.05.2026 submitted on 08.05.2026 by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant, who shall not seek any adjournment.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 22.09.2026 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

....contd.3

: 3 :

With above observation, the appeal stands disposed of.
Record of the respondent, is returned and appeal file be
consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 772/26

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Prashant Katara, Id.counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Parvez Bashista and Ms. Neha Kumari, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Abheet Mangleek , Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Manoj Kumar, AE(B). Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **09.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 40/26 (M)

07.09.2026

Present : Ms. Shobhna Tanwar, Ld. LAC for the appellant.

This is an application seeking compliance of the judgement dated 17.03.2026.

Let notice of this application be issued to the respondent for **16.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 229/17, 230/17, 231/17 & 232/17

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Hemant Sharma, Ld counsels for the appellant through VC in appeal no. 230/17 & 231/17.
Some of the appellants are also present in person.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 229/17.
Ms. Kanta Chaudhary, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 231/17 and 232/17.
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 230/17 joined through VC.

Separate Status reports are filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

As per the status reports, the appellants have not completely demolished the non-compoundable deviations.

The appellants claim that they could not do so within one month provided by this court because of heavy rains and seeks some more time to demolish the non-compoundable deviations.

In these facts, let the property be desealed temporarily for a period of 6 weeks to enable the appellants to demolish the non-compoundable deviations in the subject property starting from 14.09.2026 at 2.00 pm and the property be resealed on 02.11.2026 at 4.00 pm.

The respondent shall inspect the property at the time of resealing and file the status report in all the four appeal. on the next date of hearing. ...contd.2

: 2 :

The appellants are directed not to part with possession of the subject property and not to create any third party interest in the subject property.

Put up for awaiting further status report on **20.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 448/21

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Rohit Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Govind Kumar, Adv. in person. Sh. Sanjay Sharma Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Sri Kant, AE, NDMC, and Sh. Dharmbir Singh, consultant, NCMC.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **03.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 586/22

07.09.2026

Present : Ms. Savita Rustagi , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment to demolish the disputed courtyard converted into additional room. Similar request was made on 11.12.2025. The appellant is given last and final opportunity to demolish the same failing which appeal shall be heard on merits.

Put up for arguments on **02.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 764/23

07.09.2026

Present : Ms.Gautami Mishra , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Mehvish, Ld proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal
Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that she is not ready with the arguments today and seeks adjournment.

At request, last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments on **04.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 85/24

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Jitendra Sharma and M.P. Sharma, Ld counsel for
the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **04.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 87/24

07.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
 Sh. Madhu Sudan Bhayana, Id. counsel for the
 respondent no. 3, 7 and 10.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated
calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for appearance of the appellant on **16.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 445/24

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Roman Rashid, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Mehvish, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Prithish Sabharwal, counsel for the respondent.
Sh. N.U. Ahmad, ld.counsel for trhe interver.

Previous cost has been deposited by the appellant.

Further pass over sought for 3.00 pm as the counsel is stated to be held up before Hon'ble High Court.

It is already 2.15 pm and passover for 3.00 pm, is not possible.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to

....contd.2

: 2 :

be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

Further arguments heard on the application seeking of delay in filing this appeal filed on 20.06.2024 challenging the demolition order dated 03.06.2024. There is a delay of about 10 days. Same is condoned.

The applications stand disposed of.

At request, put up for arguments on interim application and appeal as last opportunity to the appellant on **29.10.2026** with direction to the appellant to file the written arguments, if any counsel is not available on the date fixed. for any reason.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 810/24, 811/24 & 812/24
Afaq Ahmad and Ors. vs. MCD

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dinesh Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent/.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has instructions from the appellants to withdraw the aforesaid appeals and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeals.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellants, the aforesaid appeals are disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 1018/24, 1031/24 & 1080/24

07.09.2026

Present : Appellant Shiv Kumar in person.
Sh. Kushal, Id counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 1031/24 and 1080/24.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 1018/24.
Sh. S. Adil Hussain, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 1031/24 through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 1080/24.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant Shiv Kumar as his counsel is not well today.

Record shows that the appellant no. 2 after filing this appeal filed his separate appeal bearing no. 1080/24 against the same sealing order, but did not seek deletion from the array of the parties in appeal no. 1018/24. Since, separate appeal has been filed by the appellant no. 2, he is deleted from the array of the parties only in respect of the appeal, but not in respect of the contempt notice issued by this court on 14.02.2025 for violating the undertaking given on 02.12.2024.

At request, put up for contempt notice as well as on appeal on **02.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 244/25

07.09.2026

Present : Ms. Kamaljit Kaur, Ld counsel for the appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Sh. Gourav Kumar, Id. Proxy counsel for the intervener.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant on the ground that she has recently been engaged.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **07.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 285/25

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Anant Rao, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Ashish Porual, JE(B), Shah. North Zone.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **04.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 332/25 & 830/25

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Vijay Sehgal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **27.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue in respect of booked portion till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 333/25

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Ankit Hooda, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Atul Tanwar , Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought by the Ld. counsel for the appellant that he is not ready with the arguments.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **17.12.2026**

There is not interim protection in this appeal.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 359/25

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sushil Vashishtha Ld proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sharma and S.G. Asthana, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel has gone to cremation ground.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **14.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 494/25, 515/25 & 777/25

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Robin, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharmvir Gupta, Id counsel for the respondent in
appeals no. 494/25 & 515/25. Fresh Vakalatnama filed,
same is taken on record.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 777/25. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is
taken on record.

Reply to the interim application filed in appeal no. 777/25.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
daughter of main counsel is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter
on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **17.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 725/25

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Manish Batra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD.
Sh. Prateek, Id counsel for the respondent no. 2 along with respondent no. 2. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. Mohit Dewan, Id counsel for the respondent no. 3.
Sh. Kirish Gandhi, Id counsel for the respondent no. 4.

Reply has already been filed on behalf of the respondent no. 4. Copy already supplied.

It is stated for the R-2 that R-2 does not want to file reply to the appeal, but will file certain documents. Let the same be filed with copy to the other parties.

At request, put up for arguments on **16.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 779/25

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Kanishk Ahuja, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks time to file the certain documents to support the application seeking condonation of delay as well as vakalatnama.

At request, put up for arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay on **15.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 128/26, 156/26, 157/26, 158/26, 285/26 & 359/26

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Hari Krishan, Ld. counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 285/26.
Sh. Saurabh Sharma, Id. proxy counsel for Sh. Rajnish Ranjan, Ld counsel for the appellant in all other appeals bearing no. 128/26, 156/26, 157/26 & 158/26.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 156/26 through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondents.
Sh. Vijay Jha, Id. counsel for the intervener in appeal no. 359/26.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard in appeal no. 359/26.. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the

....contd.2

: 2 :

Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

Record of these appeal has been deposited in appeal no. 450/25 - Ankita Sharma vs. MCD which has been withdrawn on 15.05.2026. Let the record tagged in that appeal be tagged with appeal No.128/26.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as surgery of main counsel is scheduled in next week.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matters on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **18.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 219/26

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Sh. Vijay Sharma, Sh. Anshul Sharma and Ms. Shreya Sharma, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Paras Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Kartik Bhardwaj, Id counsel for the respondent no. 3 joined through VC.

Part arguments heard.

Written arguments filed on behalf of the appellant. Copy supplied.

Let the AE(B) concerned is directed to remain present in the court on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **14.12.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 336/26

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Kapil Khanna, Id counsel for the appellant joined through VC. along with appellant no. 1 in person.
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **16.12.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

.No. 498/26

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Vikas Gautam, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Nephew of the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has recently been engaged and seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **16.12.2026**.

There is no protection to the subject property except for the ground and first floor.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 209/24

07.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Mehvish, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal,
counsel for the respondent.
None for the intervener.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal
stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026

A.No. 837/26
Harpal Singh Vs. MCD

07.09.2026

Present; Ms. Bhavna and Ms. Rut Pawar, Ld. counsels for the appellant.

File taken up on oral request which otherwise is listed tomorrow because of urgency. The appellant has challenged vacation notice dated 31.08.26 passed u/s 349 of the DMC Act.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 16/09/26

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant bearing No. RZ-34 measuring 100 sq. yds. Village Sayed, Nangloi, Delhi pursuant of the order dated 31.08.2026. However, this interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear

that no encroachment on the public land is protected.
The appellant is directed not to raise any further
construction in the property in question.

Copy of the order be given dasti.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
07.09.2026