

A.No. 803/26

08.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Dr. Kumar Datta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **08.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 811/26
Darshan Lal and Anr. vs. MCD

08.09.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sunil Choudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Praveen Singh and Sh. Siddharth, Advocates in person.
Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Shop no. 14, Sewa Nagar Market, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 17.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 812/26
Prem Nath Vs. MCD

08.09.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sunil Choudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Praveen Singh and Sh. Siddharth, Advocates in person.
Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Shop no. 05, Sewa Nagar Market, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 17.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 818/26 & 819/26

08.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ayush Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 824/26 : Mayawati Vs. MCD

08.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sunil Choudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Praveen Singh and Sh. Siddharth, Advocates in person.
Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Shop no. 31, from ground, first and second floor only, Sewa Nagar Market, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 17.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/08.09.2026

A.No. 825/26 & 826/26

08.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Kislaya Parashar, Ms. Deepali Verma and Sh. Anuj Sharma, Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellants.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **09.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 830/26

Sri Sri Jagadguru Shankaracharya Mahasamthanam Dakshinamnaya Sri
Sharada Peetham Vs. MCD

08.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **03.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. SRISIIM, Plot no. 07, Behind the Grand Hotel, near Institutional Area, Phase-2, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 19.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of

...contd.2

: 2 :

India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 832/26

08.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **11.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 833/26

08.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **29.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 834/26

08.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. B.S. Mathur, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **24.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 835/26
Shravan Kumar and Ors. Vs.DDA

08.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. H.S. Kohli and Sh. Raghav Kaushik , Ld counsel for
the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The appellant has challenged the demolition notice dated 18.08.2026 for removal of encroachment and reclamation of the DDA/Govt. land and is not an order/notice passed under Section 30 and 31 of DDA Act. Same is not appealable and maintainable before this Tribunal.

The appeal is dismissed with liberty to challenge the demolition/sealing order passed under Section 30/31 of DDA Act, as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 837/26
Harpal Singh Vs.MCD

08.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : None for the appellant.

File perused.

The matter was taken up yesterday on urgent oral mentioning by Id counsel for the appellant and the notice has already been issued to the respondent/MCD for 16.09.2026.

Put up this case on **16.09.2026** for the purpose fixed.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 299/21 & 300/21
Rajeev Gupta Vs. MCD

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

File are taken up today on applications of early hearing/preponement filed on behalf of the appellant.

As per this application, the subject property bearing no. 2, Cavalry Lane, Timar Pur, Delhi has since been regularized. The appellant seeks disposal of these appeals as they have become infructuous in view of the regularization of the subject property.

The copy of the regularization certificate dated 17.08.2026 has been placed on record which is not disputed by the Id counsel for the respondent.

In view of the same, the impugned demolition order and sealing orders are now redundant as the subject property has been regularized.

Both the appeals stands disposed of.

Let the property be desealed within a week and same be also removed from the list of booked properties on the website portal of the MCD.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 234/22

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar and Sh. Ankit Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant as he has recently been engaged and needs some time to go through the record.

Earlier the appellant sought time to pay the misuser charges and now it has been stated that the appeal shall be argued on merits.

On request, put up for arguments on **01.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 255/26
Raj Kumar Mehta vs. MCD

08.09.2026

Present : Ms. Priyam Wadhawah and Sh. Vibhash Kumar Singh,
Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report(s) are filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The appellant in the present appeal has sought cancellation of two sanctioned building plans no. 10106365 and 10106366 obtained by the respondent no. 2 in respect of property no. E-3, Sushila Road, Adarsh Nagar, Delhi.

As per the status report filed by the respondent, these two sanctioned building plans have already been revoked vide order dated 06.04.2026 copy of which has already been annexed with the status report and is supplied to the ld counsel for the appellant.

Since, the prayers sought in this appeal have already been satisfied, no cause of action survive in this appeal and the appeal stands disposed of being satisfied.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 360/26

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sunil Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.

Let it be collected by Id counsel for the appellant.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

The appellant has not filed any application seeking condonation of delay in filing the appeal and the counsel seeks time to file such application.

At request, put up for arguments on the appeal on **14.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 386/26

08.09.2026

Present : Ms. Sujata Shirolkar, Ld. LAC for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **17.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 451/26, 646/26 & 647/26

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Jai Batra, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the MCD.
Sh. Naman Gupta, Ld counsel for the intervener.

Files are taken up today on applications of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

Reply to the application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed in appeal no. 451/26 by the Id counsel for the appellant.
Copy supplied.

The next date of hearing in the matters is 06.10.2026.
The appeals are of the year 2026. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The applications are dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **06.10.2026** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 520/26

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Varun Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pranjal Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD.
Sh. Kanwaljeet Singh, Id counsel for the R-3.
None for the other private respondents despite service.

Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD seeks some time to file the status report and to produce the record.

Ld counsel for the respondent no. 3 also seeks time to file the vakalatnama and reply to the appeal. He is directed to file memo of appearance today itself.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on **26.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 609/26

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Rahul Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Abheet Mangleek, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.
Let it be collected.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **07.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 680/26

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Anurag Kumar, Id counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Praveen Singh and Sh. Siddharth Saroha, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks some time to produce the record.

Put up for awaiting the record and arguments on **14.10.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 719/26

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Deepesh Kasana, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Kunal Kalra and Sh. Yaman Yadav, Ld. counsels for the respondent

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks copy of the application under Order I rule 10 CPC. Same is supplied today in the court.

Part arguments heard.

MCD has not yet submitted the record. Let the same be so submitted on or before the next of hearing.

Put up for awaiting the record and arguments on **27.11.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 725/26

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Ikrant Sharma, Ms. Kritika Kharab, Sh. Saksham Mittal, Sh. Deepak Kumar and Sh. Ankur, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **02.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 372/16

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Bhagat Singh, LR of Sh. Jagjeet Singh-appellant
Sh. Kumar Yuvraj, Id counsel for the appellant no. 2 to 4.
Sh. Dharmvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD.
Sh. Vinod Singh Rana and Sh. Piyush Jain, Id. counsel
for the DDA.

Appellant has filed an affidavit along with certain photographs in respect of other properties in the same vicinity.

Let the copy be supplied to Id counsel for the respondent, who seeks time to file the sanctioned building plan, if any of property no. 1/57-A, WHS, Kirti Nagar, Delhi.

Let the same be so filed on the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **08.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 748/17 & 768/17

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Chanderpal Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with one of the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld counsel for the respondent has filed status report along with property-tax record of the property no. 1914/43, Nai Wala, Karol Bagh, New Delhi. The same reflects that it relates to only a shop at the ground floor measuring 9.47 sq.mtrs and not of the entire property.

Let the Asstt. Assessor and Collector be present in the court along with entire property tax record of the property no. 1914/43, Nai Wala, Karol Bagh, New Delhi and not only of the ground floor, on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on **15.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 750/18

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Anil Kumar, Id counsel for the intervener.

Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD seeks some time to file the status report in compliance to the order dated 19.05.2026 vide which the MCD was directed to decide the revocation of sanctioned building plan afresh.

Let the same be so filed on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting report and arguments on **19.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 585/19

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Adnan Naqash, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Dharmbir Singh, Consultant, NDMC.
Sh. Sushant Bali, Sh. Deepak Kandpal, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, Id counsel for the intervener along with intervener Navneet Kalra, joined through VC.

The challenge of the appellant and the intervener to the order of the Tribunal dated 04.05.2026 is now stated to be listed before Hon'ble High Court and is now listed for 26.11.2026 and Id counsel for the appellant submits that in view of the same, matter may be adjourned.

Ld counsel for the intervener submits that since there is no stay to the proceedings before this Tribunal, the appeal should be heard on merits.

Since, there is no stay to the proceedings of this appeal, put up for arguments on the appeal on **06.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 270/22

08.09.2026

Present :

Ms. Vinita Khanna, SPA holder of the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Ashwin Vaish, Id counsel for the respondent no. 2.
Sh. Paras Jain, Ld. counsel for the R-4.
Sh. Subhash Chawla, Ld counsel for the respondent no. 7
Sh. Ajay Kapoor.
None for other respondents.

Reply filed on behalf of the respondent Sh. Anand Deep Singh. Let the copy be supplied to all.

Further arguments heard at length for about one hour from the appellant.

The record of the MCD in respect of sanctioned building plan which are under challenge has not yet been submitted.

MCD is directed to file the said record on or before the next date of hearing.

Registry is directed to properly re-arrange the file by making separate file for decided applications and the replies of all the respondents to the appeal be tagged with the main appeal.

Put up for further arguments on **28.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 647/23
Lalita Sharma vs. MCD

08.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Malik and Ms. Abida, Ld counsels for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/08.09.2026

At 2.35 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Malik and Ms. Abida, Ld counsels for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous date as well.

It is already 2.35 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 410/24

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Saurabh Jain, Sh. Abhishek, Sh. Rashid Iqbal and Sh. Kamleshwar, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Adarsh Lohia, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, counsel the respondent.

Part arguments heard at length.

At request, put up for further arguments on **04.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 681/24 : Rakesh Kumar Rana vs.MCD
A.No. 682/24 : Ramkesh Kumar Rana Vs. MCD

08.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/8.9.26

At 2.45 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Id counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous date as well. Even the previous cost has also not been deposited.

It is already 2.45 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 122/25

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. T.R. Sandhu, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

Record in this case has not yet been submitted and it is stated on 03.12.2025 that file is not traceable.

The respondent is given last and final opportunity to trace and file the record on or before the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting record, arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay and appeal on **02.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 211/25

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Lokesh Yadav, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with one proxy counsel in the court.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

As per the status report, the appellant has not yet applied for regularization and it is stated for the appellant that in a cooperative society, an individual flat owner cannot apply for regularization.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Rishabh Kapoor is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on appeal on **26.11.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 253/25
08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Chinmay Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Anshul Bedi, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Vide impugned demolition order dated 28.03.2025, the respondent has asked the appellant to demolish the deviations from the sanctioned building plan dated 09.04.2023 from stilt to third floor with projections on the Municipal land in property no. 67-A, Gali no. 10, East Azad Nagar, Delhi-110051.

The copy of the sanctioned building plan is available in the office record and the existing site plan filed by the appellant does not reflect any such deviations on the ground floor, which is the concerned of the appellant.

MCD is directed to inspect the property and prepare a rough sketch and to file the status report in respect of deviations and projections existing in the property at the ground floor on the next date of hearing. The appellant shall cooperate in this inspection.

Put up for awaiting status report and further arguments on **14.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 296/25

08.09.2026

Present : Ms. Preeti Gupta, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. S.P. Sharma is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **16.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 315/25

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Bhavishays, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Id. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant wants to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Put up for recording the statement of appellant/counsel for the appellant qua withdrawal of the aforesaid appeal on **15.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 325/25

Ram Swaroop Sisodia and Anr. vs. MCD

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

As per the status report, the appellant has deposited the misuser charges of Rs. 91,665/- as calculated by the respondent.

This appeal is against the sealing order claiming misuser.

The appellant has deposited the misuser charges.

Subject to filing the appellant undertaking before the respondent that the property shall not be used for any purpose other than those permissible under MPD-2047, the property be desealed within 2 weeks from today.

In view of the above, the appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 537/25

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. S. M. Pandey, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Sachin Roorkiwal, AE(B), SZ/MCD.

Part arguments heard.

The appellant is directed to comply with the Invalid notice dated 21.04.2025 within 4 weeks from today, failing which the respondent is at liberty to reject the regularization application of the appellant.

Put up for further arguments on **15.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 557/25

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Yash Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh.V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. S.M. Pandey, Ld. counsel for the intervener along with intervener in person.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **15.12.2026**.

There is no interim protection in this case.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 815/25

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Kamal Kishore Okharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Sh. Azhar Ali, Ld. counsel for the intervener along with intervener in person.

Reply to the application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC filed, copy supplied.

On request of Ld. counsel for the appellant, put up for arguments on **13.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 80/26

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report as well as reply to the application u/s 5 of Limitation Act seeking condonation of delay, filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld counsel for the respondent has also supplied the soft copy of the demolition order and proceedings u/s 344 and 343 DMC Act to the Id counsel for the appellant.

At request, put up for arguments on **26.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 235/26

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Armaan Monga, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **17.12.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the ground floor of the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. There is no protection to the first and second floor of the subject property.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 270/26
Ravi Kumar Vs. MCD

08.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/8.9.26

At 2.20 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Id counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous two consecutive dates as well.

It is already 2.20 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 289/26

08.09.2026

Present : Ms. Alisha, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as mother of main counsel Sh. Mohit Kukreja is not well today.

Same is opposed.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

However, in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in Delhi Pradesh Citizen Council Vs. Union Of India **(2006) 6 SCC 305**, no protection can be given to the fourth and fifth floor of the subject property. The interim protection against the fourth and fifth floor is vacated and the protection shall be only up to third floor of the property.

Put up for arguments on **16.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 354/26 & 433/26

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sparsh Goel, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Adarsh Lohia, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant as he is not ready with the arguments today.

Similar request for adjournment is made on behalf of the respondent as main counsel is held up before Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

At request, put up for arguments on **17.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 446/26 & 447/26
Manpreet Kaur and Another Vs. MCD

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Tushar Lamba, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Reply to the interim application filed in appeal no. 447/26
on behalf of the respondent.

At this stage, Ld. counsel for the appellants submits that
he has instructions from the appellants to withdraw the
aforesaid appeals and he may be permitted to withdraw
the aforesaid appeals.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded
separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the
appellants, the aforesaid appeals are disposed off as
withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 249/07

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is submitted by the Id counsel for the appellant that the respondent be directed to file the copy of the notification stating that the area where the subject property is situated, has been declared a development area and now the jurisdiction lies with the DDA.

Ld. counsel for the respondent is directed to file the same with advance copy to the Id counsel for the appellant.

Put up for arguments on **23.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 585/26

08.09.2026

Present : Sh. Deepesh Kasana , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Harshita Gulati, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Yogesh Raj, counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Kunal Kalra, Id. counsel for the intervener.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks some more time to file the reply to the application under Order I rule 10 CPC. Let the same be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the Id counsel for the intervener.

Put up for arguments with connected appeal on **27.11.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026

A.No. 328/22

08.09.2026

Present : Ms. Simran Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Adarsh Lohiya, Ld proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.09.2026