

A.No. 840/26
Vijay Kumar Jaitly Vs. MCD

14.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Vishal Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **17.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. basement of D-6, Saket, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 03.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 848/26

14.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. R.S. Rawat, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The appeal against the demolition order bearing no. 28/25 is pending before this Tribunal for 16.09.2026.

At request, list this appeal also on **16.09.2026** for further proceedings.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 850/26

14.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. M.N. Siddiqui with Mr. Shahid Ali, Mr. Ahmad Saeed and Mr. Anwar Khalil, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **18.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 882/26

Sachin Aggarwal and Ors. Vs.MCD

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Raghav Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **21.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the sealing order dated 19.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

14.09.2026

A.No. 631/24

14.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **21.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 103/26

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. U.M. Tripathi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

The appellant seeks that the property should not be resealed on 16.09.2026 as directed since the process of demolishing the non-compoundable deviations is going on and resealing on 16.09.2026 shall hamper this process.

In these facts, MCD is directed not to re-seal the property on 16.09.2026 as directed vide order dated 17.07.2026, but is directed to inspect the property on 21.09.2026 and to file the status report in respect of non-compoundable deviations if any still existing in the property, on next date of hearing.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **23.09.2026** for the purpose fixed.

Copy of this Order be given dasti as requested.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 238/26

14.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Manoj Rana, AE(B), West Zone, Delh.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated
calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **22.12.2026**.

There is no interim protection in the matter.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 367/26

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Anil Kumar Bharghav, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Suresh Chaudhary, Ld counsel for the intervener along with Sh. Madhu Sudan Bhayana, (through VC)

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.
Let the same be collected.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener. Copy supplied to MCD and copy for appellant placed on record. Let the same be collected.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

At request, put up for arguments on **10.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 432/26 & 421/26

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Jasmeet Singh and Sh. Gavinder Nath, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Jitender Yadav, Id counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 432/26. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. Subham Sharma, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 421/26. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD in both the appeals, copy supplied.

The record has been produced in both the appeals. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **16.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 503/26

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Madhu Sudan Bhayana (through VC) and Sh. Suresh Chaudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Anil Kumar Bharghav, Ld counsel for the intervener joined through VC.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

At request, Put up for arguments with the connected case i.e. appeal no. 367/26 on **10.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 30/26 (M)

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Deepak, Ld counsel for the appellant.
This is an application seeking restoration of the appeal.
Issue notice of this application to the respondent for
03.11.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 649/26

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Anand Kumar Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant along with Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Advocate joined through VC.
Sh. Abheet Mangleek, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The appellant has placed on record the affidavit of existing construction along with measurement. Copy supplied.

MCD has already submitted its record which shows that three demolition orders were passed against the subject property on 16.01.2020, 01.02.2022 and 15.03.2024. This appeal has been filed against the two demolition orders dated 01.02.2022 and 15.03.2024 and there is no appeal against the first demolition order dated 16.01.2020.

Ld counsel for the appellant submits that this appeal may be considered only against the order dated 15.03.2024 and is not pressed against the order dated 01.02.2022.

In these facts, this appeal is confined only to the order dated 15.03.2024. The respondent is directed to file the reply to the application seeking condonation of delay only in respect of the order dated 15.03.2024.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Put up for arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay on **30.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 694/26

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Lakshay, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant in person.
Sh. Abheet Mangleek, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

It is stated for the appellant that he has applied for regularization yesterday and a copy of the payment details, which has not even deposited, filed.

Same is not an application for regularization nor payment has been made and as on day, the appellant has not applied for regularization.

There is a delay in filing of this appeal and no application seeking condonation of delay has been filed. The appellant seeks time to file such application.

At request, put up for arguments on **02.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 703/26

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Rishu Yogesh Pandey, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Ms. Shivani Panwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld counsel for the respondent seeks copy of the appeal.

Let the same be supplied today itself.

Part arguments heard on the interim application.

No case for interim protection is made out at this stage.

Put up for further arguments on **01.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 705/26

14.09.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

An application under Order VI Rule 17 CPC filed on behalf of the appellant. Copy placed on record.

Put up for appearance on behalf of the respondent and status report/record for **10.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 712/26

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Pushkar Katpalia, Id counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
None for the respondent/MCD.
Ms. Mehak Tanwar, Id. counsel for R-7 joined through VC.
Husband of R-10 in person.
Son of R-9 in person.
None for the other respondents.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Reply, if desired, be filed within 4 weeks with advance to the Id counsel for the appellant.

At request of the Id counsel for the appellant, put up for reply and arguments on **25.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 446/14 & 540/26

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Ankur Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Id counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 446/14.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 540/26.

Ld counsel for the respondent seeks time to file the status report in pursuance to the previous order as the same has not been received from the department.

Let the status report be filed in terms of the previous order on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **18.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 727/14 & 752/14

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Hemant Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC and Sh. Mahender Sharma, Id counsel for the appellant, along with appellants.
Sh. Nilesh Sawhney, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Dharmbir Singh, Consultant, NDMC
Sh. Sanjay Agarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent no. 2.

It is stated for the appellant that they have deposited the misuser charges on 01.09.2026 and 07.09.2026. The copy of the receipts supplied to Sh. Dhambir Singh, who seeks time to verify the same.

At request, put up for further proceedings on **22.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 625/16, 492/19 & 35/20

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. P.N. Dhar and Sh. Anmol Singh, Ld. counsels for the appellant in appeal nos. 625/16 & 492/19 along with appellant. L.R of the appellant in person in appeal no. 35/20.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 625/16 and 35/20.
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 492/19 joined through VC..
Sh. Vikas Khatri and Sh. Manas Khatri, Ld. counsel for the intervener in appeal no. 625/16.

Arguments heard and concluded in appeals No.625/16 and 492/19.

An application under Order XXII Rule 3 CPC to implead LR of appellant No. 2 in appeal NO.35/20 is still pending.

Ld. Counsel for the MCD submits that he has not received the copy of this application. Let the copy of this application be supplied to Ld. counsel for MCD within a week.

As per this application appellant No.2 passed away on 26.03.2025 and this application was filed on 23.05.2025 which is in time. In these facts the application is allowed and the husband and daughter of deceased appellant No.2 are impleaded as appellants in this appeal. Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

At request, put up for arguments in appeal No.35/20 as last and final opportunity on **30.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 181/17, 188/17, 1044/17, 1050/17 & 331/18

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. K.N. Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 331/18 joined through VC.
Some of the appellants in person.
Proxy counsel for the appellant in other appeals.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 1044/17.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, counsel for respondent in appeal no. 188/17 and 1050/17 through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Id. counsel the respondent
None for the respondent in appeal no. 331/18.

At the request, put up for arguments on **23.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 882/17

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sumit Rana, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Shubham Sharma, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, counsel for the respondent.

The record has already submitted.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **26.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 232/19 & 484/19

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. D.D. Joshi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent in appeal no. 232/19..
Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Id. counsel for the respondent in appeal
no.484/19.
Sh. Ishan Jain, Id. counsel for the intervener along with
intervener Mrs. Satesh Bhati.

An application seeking waiver of cost filed on behalf of
the appellant. No ground for waiving off the cost is made
out. The application is hereby dismissed.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to deposit the
cost. Let the same be deposited within 2 weeks from
today.

Put up for arguments on both the appeal on **07.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 441/21

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Gurpreet Singh and Sh. R.B. Singh, Ld counsel for
the appellant.
Sh. Umesh Burnwal, Id counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard on the applications seeking
condonation of delay.

Put up for order on this application on **23.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 66/22

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Bajaj, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Umesh Burnwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Gurpreet Singh and Sh. R.B. Singh, Ld counsel for
the intervener.

Arguments heard on the applications seeking
condonation of delay.

Put up for order on this application on **23.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 817/23 & 329/24

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Virender Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellants.
Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC in appeal No. 329/24.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal No. 817/23.

Arguments heard on the application seeking condonation of delay in filing the aforesaid appeal bearing no. 329/24. The appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 18.10.2023 which as per the track consignment report was received by him on 23.10.2023. The appeal was filed on 08.05.2024 with a delay of around 7 months. Though, there is no plausible reason, yet with an endeavour to decide the appeal on merits, the delay is condoned subject to deposit a cost of Rs. 5,000/- with the Registry. The application stands disposed of.

At request, put up for arguments on appeal on **03.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 671/24

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Manish Kashyap, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC. .
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Vishal Tyagi is held up in CLAT.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **15.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 755/24

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Manish Kashyap, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC. .
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Vishal Tyagi is held up in CLAT.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **15.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 1004/24 & 1005/24

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Manish Tanwar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

At request made by ld counsel for the respondent, the matter is adjourned.

Put up for arguments on **30.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 8/25

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Arvind Singh Chandel, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Vicky Bhardwaj, Id. counselfor R-2 along with SPA of R-2.

Previous cost has been deposited by the appellant and also paid to R-2.

R-2 seeks time to file the reply. Let the same be filed positively within 3 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Put up for arguments on **21.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 21/25, 22/25 & 23/25

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Pawan Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

At request made by the ld counsel for the appellant, put
up for arguments on **07.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 282/25

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Saurav Panwar, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Ankit Gupta, Id. counsel for the intervener joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Yogesh Pandey has been suffering from viral fever.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **21.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 848/25

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Hari Kishan Pandey, Id. counsel for the appellant
joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.
Let it be collected.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the
status report and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **22.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 850/25, 858/25 and 862/25

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 850/25.
Sh. Manav Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 858/25.
Sh. Ravi Joshi, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 862/25.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

MCD record of all the three appeals is tagged in appeal no. 858/25. The counsels for the appellant in the other two appeals can inspect and to take certified copy of the MCD record.

At request, put up for arguments on **17.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 868/25 & 869/25

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Shubham Sharma and Sh. Rehmat Ali, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Dr. S.G. Asthana, Sh. Prakash and Sh. Dalip Kumar Gupta, Ld counsels for the respondent.

Reply filed by the DDA in both the appeals. Copy supplied.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener in appeal no. 868/25. Copy already supplied to the appellant and supplied to the DDA today in the court.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks time to file the reply to this application.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Put up for reply and arguments on the application Under Order I rule 10 CPC and appeal on **15.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 111/26

14.09.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

The record has already been submitted. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as his counsel is held up in some other court..

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **04.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 148/26

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Ikrant Sharma, Ms. Pooja Kumar Gupta, Sh. Ankur,
Sh. Deepak Kumar, Ld counsels for the appellant along
with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The appellant has produced the original sale deed.
Same is seen and returned.

The appellant has further filed the copy of the judgment
dated 10.06.1959, tax receipts and photocopy of the
same sale deed.

Copy supplied.

Put up for arguments on **01.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 444/26 & 445/26

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Manderna, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Varun Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Joint Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record. It be collected.

The record has already been submitted in both the appeals. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as senior counsel is held up in Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay on **09.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 495/26

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Rishabh Kant Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Shubham Sharma, Ld proxy counsel for Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Vinod Dahiya, Id.counsel for the intervener.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener. Copy supplied.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Put up for reply and arguments on the aforesaid application on **02.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 340/24

14.09.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
None for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the application seeking condonation of delay is dismissed accordingly the appeal is also dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026

A.No. 641/24 & 509/26

14.09.2026

Present : Sh. Hemant Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 641/24 along with Sh. Sachin Rrukiwal, AE(B), AZ.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Id counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 509/26 joined through VC.

Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 641/24 has placed on record certain documents. Matters are listed for judgment and no fresh documents can be taken on record.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.09.2026