

A.No. 845/26 : Shanti Devi Vs. MCD

15.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Anil Karn, son of the appellant.

Heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **01.12.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Flat no. 573, Block E, Pkt 03, Paradise Apartments, Sector-18, Rohini, Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 24.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 846/26 & 847/26
Archana Vs. MCD

15.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Appellant in person.

Heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **01.12.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the properties of appellant i.e. Flats no. 603 and 622, Block E, Pkt. 03, Paradise Apartments, Sector-18, Rohini, Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 24.08.2026.

This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 851/26

15.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **13.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 854/26, 855/26, 856/26

15.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Kislaya Parashar and Ms. Deepali Verma, Ld counsel
for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to
the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer
and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the
presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in
person along with the record of the proceedings, status
report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal
on **09.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 860/26, 861/26 & 862/26

15.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Appellant (who himself is an advocate) in person.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **14.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 869/26

15.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : None for the appellant.

File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **19.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 880/26

15.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Nidhi Rai, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **10.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 881/26

Sunni Madina Masjid Rafiq-E-Millat Trust Regd. Vs. MCD

15.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. G.M. Farooqui and Sh. Faiz Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **21.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the ground floor of the property of appellant i.e. Madina Masjid, Shalimar Bagh, Delhi in pursuance of the demolition order dated 03.09.2026. There is no protection to any other floor of the subject property. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

15.09.2026

A.No. 883/26
Indu Aggarwal Vs. MCD

15.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Lalit Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Siddarth Arora and Sh. Anirudh Tyagi, Advocates.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Heard. File perused.

The appellant has not challenged any order in this appeal and only an action orally contemplated for 14.09.2026 has been challenged, which is not appealable and maintainable before this Tribunal.

At this stage, Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks permission to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and requests that he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

In view of the request made by the Id. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal is disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 315/25

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Bhavishya, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC. .
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld.proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

The appeal is listed today for recording the statement of the Id counsel for the appellant for withdrawal of the aforesaid appeal.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant as he is struck up in Dehradun due to land-sliding.

At request, put up for recording the statement qua withdrawal of the aforesaid appeal on **17.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 517/25 & 703/25

15.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 None for the respondent in appeal no. 517/25.
 Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Id counsel for the respondent in appeal
 no. 703/15 joined through VC.

Sealing record has already been submitted and it can be
inspected.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated
calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **18.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 36/24

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Hemant Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta m, counsel the respondent.

It is stated for the appellant that the cost shall be deposited today itself and adjournment is sought on the ground that certified copy has not yet been supplied.

At request made by Id counsel for the appellant, put up for arguments on **17.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 576/26

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Kunwar Ashuman Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Nandan Goel, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **15.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 578/26

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sanyam Chaudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent.

MCD seeks time to file the status report and record.

Let the same be so deposited on or before the next date of hearing and it can be inspected by Id counsel for the appellant.

Put up for awaiting the record and arguments on **18.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 726/26

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Brijender Dhull, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning. Even the record has not yet been deposited.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Be awaited for record and arguments on **16.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 748/26 & 749/26

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Harsh Vardhan Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Anil Sharma, husband of appellant Meena Sharma and brother-in-law of appellant Naresh Sharma.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD in both the appeals, copy supplied.

The record has been produced in both the appeals. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the status report and to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **12.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 838/26

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Atul Kumar Sharma, Sh. Sushant Dahiya and Sh. Bhupesh Rana, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. J.S. Khatri, AE(B). Memo of appearance filed.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Part arguments heard on the interim application.

The appellant claims that the show cause notice was not issued in her name and was never served upon her and the demolition order was never communicated to her. She came to know about the same only when the demolition action was taken on 16.07.2026.

I have perused the record.

Though, it is correct that the show cause notice was issued in the name of the son of the appellant Mr. Jogender, who gave reply to the show cause notice, but the show cause notice was pasted at the correct property and the appellant cannot claim that she had no knowledge of the show cause notice. Even if, it was not in her name, she was supposed to contact MCD to confirm as to why show cause notice which is not in her

....contd.2

: 2 :

name has been pasted at her property. Even the son of the appellant, who gave reply to the show cause notice, must have informed his mother-the appellant that a show cause notice issued in his name and pasted at her property has been replied by him.

There is no reason to hold that the appellant did not have knowledge of the show cause notice served through pasting as per Section 444 of DMC Act.

At this stage, the appellant seeks time to get the construction regularized or to vacate the property so that it can be demolished.

In these facts, the MCD is directed not to take any action against the subject property for 30 days from today.

At request, put up for arguments on **02.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 767/14, 81/15, 1034/16 & 409/18

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 767/14 joined through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 1036/16 & 409/18.
Ms. Manjusha Jha, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 81/15 joined through VC.

It is stated by the Id counsel for the respondent that they have no instructions in respect of the meeting of the Standing Committee, if any, held after the last date of hearing.

In these facts, a notice to issued to Chief Town Planner with directions to file the status report in respect of the approval if any granted by LOSEC and if any meeting of Standing Committee has been held or not, for next date of hearing.

Put up for further proceedings/arguments awaiting the status report on **27.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

At this stage, Ms. Sakshi Shedha, Arch. Asstt., Town Planning Department, MCD appeared and filed status report. Copy of status report has been supplied to the clerk of Id counsel for the respondent.

Put up on date fixed i.e. **27.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 371/19

15.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The appellant has not yet deposited the complete cost of Rs. 10,000/- and only half of the same has been deposited.

The appellant is given one last and final opportunity to pay the said cost.

Put up for payment of cost and arguments on **03.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 500/19

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Gurjit Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **15.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 586/19

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Rahul Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Sanjay Kumar, Adv.
Dr. Priyanka, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Part arguments heard.

The application seeking condonation of delay of more than 2 and ½ years is still pending and the counsel for the appellant seeks time to argue on that application.

At request, put up for arguments on this application as last and final opportunity to the appellant on **30.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 143/22

15.09.2026

Present : Ms. Mudita Sharda, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent
Sh. Pratyush Sharma and Ms. Soumya Kandwal, Id. counsels for the intervener.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **02.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 68/23

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Munish Chaudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Manoj Kumar, AE(B).

MCD was directed to file the status report in respect of the regularization application of the appellant.

It is stated by the AE(B) concerned that a letter dated 10.09.2026 has been issued to the appellant directing him to file the regularization application with requisite charges. The appellant states that same has not yet been received. However, soft copy supplied today in the court.

Let the same be complied by the appellant.

Be awaited for further status report and arguments on **03.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 216/23

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. J.K. Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

Notice issued to the MCD for appointment of a fresh counsel has been duly served on 02.06.2026, but neither any counsel is present nor any response has been filed by the CLO office as to who has been appointed in this case.

Let notice be issued to the CLO to appear in person with explanation and also to ensure the presence of respondent's counsel on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **08.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 407/23 & 179/24

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Abhishek Singh, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Id counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 407/23 joined through VC.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
Gupta, counsel the respondent in appeal no. 179/24.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel Sh. Rahul Sharma is held up in Hon'ble
High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter
on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **08.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 557/23 & 642/23

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. S.P. Tyagi and Sh. Bhim Pratap Singh, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Jasman Singh Sethi and Sh. Devansh Gupta, Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

The regularization application of the appellant is still pending since last more than two years.

Respondent is directed to file the status report qua this application with directions to decide the same within 8 weeks from today.

Put up for awaiting status report and arguments on **14.12.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 782/23
Vishwajeet Yadav vs. MCD

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Syed Hassan, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Prithvi Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent
Arguments heard on the appeal.

The respondent vide order dated 11.12.2025 was directed to identify the spot at which the ventilator has been allegedly closed by the appellant.

Ld counsel for the respondent has submitted that as per the message received from the concerned AE, he has to say that the said spot could not be located.

Record shows that the demolition order pertains to closing of ventilator by the appellant in shop no. G-2, Ground floor, Red Rose (49-50), Nehru Place, New Delhi. The respondent itself is not able to identify the alleged spot which has been allegedly closed.

In these facts, the impugned demolition order dated 28.11.2023 is set aside with liberty to the respondent to initiate fresh proceedings as and when the exact spot of blocking the ventilator is identified.

The appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/15.9.26

A.No. 843/23

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Gaurav Kumar Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant
joined through VC.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent
joined through VC.
Sh. V.K.Mantoo, Id. counsel for the intervener.

Part arguments heard on the application u/s 5 of
Limitation Act.

At request made by the Id counsel for the appellant, put
up for further arguments on the aforesaid application as
well as appeal on **07.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 3/24 & 402/24

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **15.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 873/24 & 908/24

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Vinod Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 873/24.
Sh. Tarik Aman, Ld.proxy counsel for Sh. Avishek Kumar, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 908/24.

Part arguments heard.

On request of the appellant, put up for further arguments in respect of ownership and sub-division of the property on **08.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 989/24

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Aditya Bhargava, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Memo
of appearance filed.

Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks time to go through
the record and to argue in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **07.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 188/25 & 189/25

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **12.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 353/25 & 354/25

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Rakesh Tikku, Sr. Advocate with Sh. Monu Kumar, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Ld. Addl. Standing Counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Ms. Anchal Upadhyay, Advocate for NDMC.

An adjournment is sought by the Id counsel for the respondent as he is held up in some other court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **16.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 411/25

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Saurav Gaur, Id. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Sanjeev Kumar is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **07.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 635/25

15.09.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Id. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

As per the status report, the regularization application of the appellant has been rejected.

At request made by Id counsel for the appellant, put up for arguments on **08.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 663/25

15.09.2026

Present : Mohd. Noorain Jawaid, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Sachin Bandooni, counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent.
Sh. Sumit Kumar, Assistant Divisonal Officer, Delhi Fire Service.

Copy of the letter dated 15.03.2024 placed on record by Delhi Fire Service vide which the application seeking Fire Clearance of the entire property no. A-7, Commercial Complex, Mukherjeet Nagar, Delhi was rejected. Copy supplied.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is held up in some other court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **19.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 783/25 & 784/25

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record, which has been deposited after the last date of hearing.

Status report, if any, be filed with advance copy to the ld counsel for the appellant.

At request, put up for arguments on **08.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 163/26

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Deepak Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Ld. proxy counsel. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.
Let it be collected.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **22.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 294/26
Satish Kumar Vs. MCD

15.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Pranjal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.
Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/15.09.26

At 2.15 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Pranjal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous date as well. It is already 2.15 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 303/26

15.09.2026

Present : Ms. Ekta Gambhir, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld counsel for the appellant has placed on record copy of the regularization application submitted on 13.09.2026. Copy place on record, which can be collected the Id counsel for the respondent.

Respondent/MCD is directed to file the status report qua this regularization application.

Put up for awaiting status report and arguments on **12.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 20/26 (M)
Anjali Vs. MCD

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Mahesh Chand, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent.

Arguments heard on the application seeking restoration of the appeal dismissed in default on 23.10.2024. This application has been filed on 22.04.2026. All the reasons of non-appearance mentioned in para 2 of this application are events subsequent to 23.10.2024 and there is no plausible explanation for non-appearance on 23.10.2024 and prior to that on 06.09.2024. There is also inordinate delay in moving this application which is also unexplained and on merits there are no grounds to restore appeal. However, with an endeavour to decide the appeal on merits, the appeal is restored subject to deposit a cost of Rs. 10,000/-, half will go to the Id counsel for the respondent and half will be deposited with the Registry.

The applications stand disposed of.

At request, put up for arguments on appeal on **12.01.2027.**

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 341/26
Haider Raza Vs. MCD

15.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal , Ld counsel for the respondent.
Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the
appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.
Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/15.9.26

At 2.40 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Id counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning
in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.
None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the
previous date as well.

It is already 2.40 PM. It appears that the appellant is not
interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is
dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 458/26

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Harsh Kumar Pandey, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Madhav Saraswat, Ld counsel for the respondent/
MCD joined through VC.
Sh. Ashim Vachher, Ld. Sr. counsel for the proposed
intervener joined through VC.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener. Let the copy be supplied to the appellant.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

The appellant has also moved an application under Order VI rule 17 CPC along with the proposed amended appeal. Copy for the MCD is placed on record and it be collected in due course.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Put up for reply and arguments on the aforesaid two applications on **09.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 296/23 & 297/23

15.09.2026

Present : Mohd. Imran Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant in person.
Sh. Piyush Bhardwaj, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Rajat Rajoria Singh, counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel is not available today due to demise of his maternal-uncle (mamaji)

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **23.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026

A.No. 145/21, 146/21 & 147/21

15.09.2026

Present : Sh. Kunal Khanna, Ld counsel for the appellants joined through VC.
Ms. Manjusha Jha, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, all the three appeals are allowed.

Records of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal files be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.09.2026