A.No. 885/26, 886/26, 887/26, 888/26, 889/26, 890/26, 893/26, 894/26, 895/26, 896/26, 897/26 & 898/26

18.09.2026

Fresh appeals received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. V.P. Rana, Sh. Aviral Jain and Sh. Harsh Malik, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **22.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No.892/26
Ninjax Private Limited Vs.MCD

18.09.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.
Present : Ms. Madhavi, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **01.12.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. ground floor of C-36, Hauz Khas, New Delhi, in pursuance of the sealing notice dated 19.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/18.09.2026

A.No. 911/26

18.09.2026

Fresh appeals received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. S.B. Pandey and Sh. Santosh Kumar, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **16.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 919/26

18.09.2026

Fresh appeals received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Saksham Mittal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **01.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 921/26
Lalita Joshi Vs. MCD

18.09.2026
Fresh appeals received. It be checked and registered.
Present : Sh. Ashish Shonkar Kulshreshta, Sh. Siddharth Grover Acharya, Sh. Bhuvnesh Vyas, Ld counsels for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Lalit Kumar, Adv.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 02.12.2026.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. DDA Flat no. 119, First Floor, Munirka Enclave, New Delhi in pursuance of the demolition order dated 07.09.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/18.09.2026

A.No. 922/26

18.09.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.
Present : Sh. V.V. Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellants.

Submissions heard. File perused.

It is stated for the appellants that the appellants did not receive the show cause notice dated 18.05.2026 nor replied to this show cause notice vide their reply dated 02.06.2026 and they have a sanctioned building plan and raised construction only in accordance to the sanctioned building plan.

Both the appellants are present in the court and the appellant no. 2 admits that reply to the show cause notice was given by him on 02.06.2026, whereas appellant no. 1 claims that he did not reply to the show cause notice. His statement recorded separately to this effect.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **08.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/18.9.26

A.No. 923/26
Vijay Kumar and ors. Vs. MCD

18.09.2026

Fresh appeals received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ravinder Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the notice u/s 348 (4) DMC Act dated 10.09.2025, which is not appealable before this Tribunal. The appeal is not maintainable and is hereby dismissed with liberty to challenge the demolition/sealing order, if any, as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

Copy of this order be given dasti as requested.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 492/25

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. G.D. Mishra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi , Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

It is stated by the ld counsel for the appellant that the appeal pending before the ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, has been dismissed as withdrawn on 14.09.2026 as the portion of the third floor has been demolished by the MCD. File and order of the Appellate Court has not yet been received.

Be awaited for File/order for **08.12.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 305/26

18.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Hardik Bedi, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **20.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 508/26

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Girish gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is stated by the ld counsel for the appellant that the demand draft in respect of misuser charges already prepared could not be deposited yesterday as the server of the MCD was not working and now shall be deposited by Monday.

At request, put up for further proceedings on **23.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 554/26

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Hari Shankar Bhardwaj, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing and seeking interim protection not extended on the last date of hearing, filed on behalf of the appellant. The next date of hearing is 09.10.2026.

Record shows that the interim protection was granted to the appellant on 02.07.2026 which was not extended on 13.08.2026.

The interim order dated 02.07.2026 be continued till next date of hearing.

In view of the above, the application stands disposed of.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **09.10.2026** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 642/26

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Rajesh Sachdeva, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Nishant Walia, Adv.

Status report relating to appeal No.776/26 filed in this appeal, copy supplied.

Record has not yet been submitted. The appellant has filed an application seeking permission to repair the subject property. No permission to repair is required for the repairs permissible under byelaw 6.4.1 of Building Bye-laws.

Application is disposed of.

Put up for arguments on **09.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 651/26

18.09.2026

Present : Son of the appellant in person.
Ms. Harita Mehta, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with one proxy counsel. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

At request, put up for arguments on **21.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 842/26
Kavita Dahiya Vs. MCD

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sanyam Dahiya, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with one proxy counsel. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Part arguments heard on the interim application.

The respondent has passed the demolition order in respect of unauthorized construction in the shape of deviations/excess coverage against the standard DDA plan of flat no. 404, Kargil Flats, Sector-18, Dwarka, New Delhi and as per the appellant, demolition action has been taken twice in this flat.

I have seen the MCD record which does not have the standard DDA Plan and the deviations/excess coverage alleged in the show cause notice and the demolition order have not specified despite directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India given IN RE… directions in the matter of demolition of structures (2025) 5 Supreme Court Cases 1.

….contd.2

The Quasi Judicial Authority is required to follow the directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India given in this judgment and it has been observed in many cases relating to DDA Flat as well as properties having a sanctioned building plan that the respondent books excess coverage/deviations against the standard DDA Plan or against the sanctioned building plan without specifying the same.

Let the copy of this order be sent to the Commissioner, MCD with directions to apprise all the Quasi Judicial Authority that they are required to follow the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its letter and spirit by mentioning the deviations/excess coverage against standard DDA plan in case of DDA Flats and deviations/excess coverage against the sanctioned building plan in case of properties having sanctioned building plan.

Coming back to the present case, the AE(B) is directed to physically inspect the property and to file the status report with photographs and mention the exact deviations/excess coverage existing in the subject property. The appellants shall cooperate in this inspection.

Put up for further arguments on **20.01.2027**.

….contd.3

Till next date of hearing, no further coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public and is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 850/26

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Shahid Ali, Sh. Anwar Khalil, Mohd. Salman and Sh. Prateek Pacherwal, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Akash Tanwar, Adv along with Sh. Nitin Bharti, AE(B). Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Mohd. Faisal Hameed, ld. counsel for the proposed intervener.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the respondent/MCD has drawn my attention to the prayer clause of the appeal wherein the appellant has challenged only the notices and no order appealable u/s 347-B DMC Act is mentioned in the prayer.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks some time to amend the prayer clauses of the appeal.

At request, put up for further proceedings on **06.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 134/19 & 135/19

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. R.K. Pandey, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Mohd. Anwar Awan and son of the appellant.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD.
Mrs. Kajal Chandra and Sh. Suyash Swarup, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 3 along with Directors of the company Sh. Harish Chaudhary and Sh. Om Prakash in person.

Status report is filed by the MCD as directed on the last date of hearing. Copy supplied.

The MCD was directed to file the fresh approved sanctioned building plan if any available, after the directions of the Hon'ble High Court dated 07.12.2020 passed in W.P.(C) no. 6535/2020.

The sanctioned building plans filed today are prior to this date.

MCD is directed to file the status report specifically stating whether any sanctioned building plan has been issued or regularized after 07.12.2020, on the next date of hearing. Let the AE(B) concerned be present in the court with complete file on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further status report and arguments on **02.12.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 202/20

18.09.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The SDM concerned was directed to produce the register showing entry of the Abadi Deh certificate issued at serial no. 1116 dated 12.09.2007 to the appellant.

None is present for the SDM.

The regularization application filed by the appellant of the subject property bearing no. House no. 124, Khasra no. 63, Shiv Mandir Wali Gali, Holambi Khurd, Delhi-110082 is pending only because of non-verification of this Abadi Deh Certificate.

The SDM filed status report on the last date of hearing stating that the office of SDM Narela shifted twice since 2007 and record pertaining to this Abadi Deh Certificate is not traceable and now the record of village Holambi has been transferred to the newly constituted Narela Sub-Division, Alipur from Nayabans.

Since, the appellant is having original Abadi Deh certificate which the SDM could not verify because of shifting of the office thrice, the MCD is directed to consider the regularization application of the appellant on the basis of abadi deh certificate with directions to the appellant to give affidavit in respect of genuineness of

…..contd.2

this abadi deh certificate along with indemnity bond to the effect that if this abadi deh certificate is later on found to be fabricated, the MCD will be at liberty to take action as per law.

MCD is directed to file the status report in respect of regularization application on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further proceedings/arguments on **03.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 451/22

18.09.2026

Present : Ms. Payal, ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal along with Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Previous cost not yet been deposited.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that she was ready to pay the cost through UPI but the Registry of this Tribunal accept cash only.

Let the cost be paid in cash before the next date of hearing.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks one more opportunity to address the arguments.

In the interest of justice put up for deposit the cost and arguments on **22.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 530/22
Anita Vs. MCD

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sachin Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Rajeev Kumar Sharam, AO, Sh. Balwant Singh, LI and Sh. Ashok Meena, EE(B)

Status report is filed by the Administrative Officer, North West Zone, General Branch, MCD, copy supplied.

As per this status report the subject property was sealed under step 3 in compliance of the directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in W.P.(C) no. 4677/85 titled as '**M.C. Mehta Vs. UOI'**.

In view of the same, jurisdiction lies with the Judicial Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

The appeals stand disposed of being not maintainable before this Tribunal.

The appellants are at liberty to seek appropriate remedy before the said Committee.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 31/24

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Shakeel Ahmad, Ld.proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Previous cost of Rs. 5000/- deposited today.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. P.K. Srivastava is un-available today due to bad health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **12.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 248/24

18.09.2026

Present : Ms. Rashi Aggarwal, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal and Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Jugal Bagga and Sh. Sumit Kaushik, ld. counsel for the intervener along with intervener Sh. Chet Ram Kaushik.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Ms. Vaishali Gupta is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **20.01.2027**. If the main counsel for the appellant is not available on the date fixed, written arguments with advance copy to filed on or before the date fixed.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 861/24

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Mahipal Singh Rajpur, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Akash Tanwar and Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Advocates in person.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **30.11.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 394/24

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Vivek, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Sahil Munjhal is not available today due to dental implant.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **21.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 629/24

18.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Mehak Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

However, in the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing subject to deposit a cost of Rs. 5,000/- with the Registry of this Tribunal.

Put up for arguments on **20.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 756/24

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Abhishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant .
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Application seeking condonation of delay already filed on behalf of the appellant.

Copy of the said application has not yet been supplied to the counsel for the respondent/MCD. Hard copy today placed on record. It be collected by ld counsel for the respondent.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Put up for reply and arguments on this application seeking condonation of delay on **20.01.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 792/24

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Aman Jawala, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Naveen Sharma is not available today due to death of father of his associate counsel.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **21.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 876/24

18.09.2026

Present : Ms. Meenakshi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD.
Sh. Sachin Dev Sharma, ld counsel for the respondent no. 2 and 4.

An application seeking condonation of delay filed on behalf of the appellant. Copy supplied today in the court.

Ld counsel for the respondents seeks time to file reply to this application.

Let the Reply to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to ld counsel for the appellant.

Put up for reply and arguments on this application seeking condonation of delay on **21.01.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 887/24
Manav Gutpa Vs. MCD

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Manav Gupta, who himself is an advocate, in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

The appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 05.01.2024 passed in respect of unauthorized construction at ground floor of property situated in last lane, right side, Harsingar Lane, Dera Mandi, New Delhi, on several grounds including non-service of show cause notice dated 28.12.2023.

I have perused the MCD record.

The show cause notice was served through pasting mentioning the latitude and longitude of the property. However, the photographs of the pasting available in the office record do not show where it was pasted. The opportunity of reply and hearing was not provided as there was no proper service of the show cause notice. More so, the particulars of the appellant are also missing as it was issued in the name of the owner/occupier.

In these facts, the demolition order dated 05.01.2024 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply and documents

……contd.2

to be submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant. The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 07.10.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

The appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 113/24 (M)

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Kundan Chandervanshi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

This is an appeal against the sealing action passed in respect of property bearing no. 1/6801 (old no. 11) East Rohtash Nagar, Shahdara, Delhi for violation of the sanctioned building plan dated 13.02.2014 from stilt to third floor.

The record of the sanctioned building plan is reported to be attached with case file bearing CNR no.DLCT11-000592-2019, CC No. 134/2019, State Vs. Anil Dutt Sharma, FIR no. 51/2014, PS- ACB u/s 7/9/13 POC Act r/w Section 120-B IPC, pending in the court of Dr. RuchiAggrawal Asrani, Ld. Special Judge (PC Act) (ACB)-02, Rouse Avenue, New Delhi (NDOH-24.09.2026).

Let the said MCD record along with sanctioned building plan be requisitioned from that court, for next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **14.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 1010/24

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sagar Nandwani , Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. Proxy counsel for Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application of the appellant under Order VI Rule 17 CPC seeking amendment in the appeal is pending. The appellant wants to challenge the demolition order as in the original appeal the vacation notice was challenged.

The application is allowed and the amended appeal is taken on record.

Put up for arguments on appeal on **20.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 1035/24

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Manish Vashisht, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Ananya Singh, Advocate with appellant No.1.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **20.11.2026**. The parties are at liberty to file brief written synopsis with advance copy to each other.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 95/25 & 164/25

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 95/25.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 164/25 joined through VC.
Intervener in person.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **30.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 100/25

18.09.2026

Present : Appellant, who himself if an advocate, in person.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the respondent on the ground that he has recently been engaged.

At request, put up for arguments on **01.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 112/25

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Jaisal John along with ld. proxy counsel Sh. Vidhu Nair, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **21.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 116/25

18.09.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to ill-health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **20.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 346/25

18.09.2026

Present : Dr. Gaurav Manuja wsith Sh. Akshay Dua, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **07.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 574/25 & 575/25

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. G.R. Verma and Sh. H.K. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **21.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 613/25

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Maroof Ahmad and Sh. Gaffar Hussain, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Ld. proxy counsel for Ms. Sunita Sevda, counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel has gone for her Jail duty.

Similar request for adjournment is made on behalf of the appellant as father-in-law of the appellant has met with an accident.

At joint request, put up for arguments on **21.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 626/25

18.09.2026

Present : Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. B.S. Saini is not available today due to marriage in his family.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **08.01.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 170/26

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Abhishek Rana, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel has gone to the hospital for treatment of his wife.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **21.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 269/26

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Arun Vohra, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **13.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 375/26

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. A.K. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sriniwas, AE(B).
Sh. Yatinder Kumar, Ld counsel for the proposed intervener. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Put up for arguments on **07.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 437/26

18.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **21.01.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 804/26
Nadimuddin Vs MCD

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Raghunandan Sharma, Ms. Usha Devi Sharma and Sh. Ayush Chauhan, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant wants to withdraw the present appeal as the same is filed against the order u/s 348 (4) DMC Act which is not maintainable before the Tribunal.

In view of the statement of the Ld. counsel for the appellant, the present appeal is dismissed as withdrawn. The appellant is at liberty to challenge the demolition/sealing order if any.

File be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026

A.No. 372/19 & 398/19

18.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, ld. counsel for the appellants no. 1 to 5, 9, 12, 16 and 17.
Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Abhinav Tyagi, ld. counsel for the appellants no. 6, 7, 8, 9, 13, 14, 15 and 18 through VC,
Sh. Sharadandu Dwivedi, Ld. counsel for the appellants no. 10 and 11.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent no. 1 in appeal no. 372/19 joined through VC.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 1/MCD in appeal no. 398/19.
Ms. Vibha Mahajan Seth, Sr. Advocate along with Dr. Gaurav Manuja, Sh. Anand M. Mishra and Sh. Uttam Kumar, Ld. counsels for the respondent no. 2.

Part arguments heard.

On request of Ld. counsel for the R-2, put up for further arguments on 30.11.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.09.2026