A.No. 938/26

29.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ayush Mittal and Sh. Sumit Goswami, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

This is an appeal challenging the show cause notice u/s 343/344 DMC Act and 345-A DMC Act and the appeal against the show cause notice(s) is not maintainable before this Tribunal.

However, the appellant has shown me the vacation notice dated 24.09.2026 wherein it is mentioned that demolition and sealing orders have already been passed against the subject property.

Issue notice to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer/Executive Engineer/AE(B) for the limited aspect of providing the copies of the said demolition and sealing orders, if any passed, to the appellant for next date of hearing.

Put up for further proceedings on **07.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 939/26
Kuldeep Kaur Vs. MCD

29.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ayush Mittal and Sh. Sumit Goswami, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

This is an appeal challenging the sealing order passed under Section 419 of DMC Act by the Dy. Health Officer, Old Delhi Zone, which is not appealable before this Tribunal.

The appeal is dismissed with liberty to challenge the demolition/sealing order as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 946/26

29.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **27.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 947/26

29.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Naveen Arora, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **30.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 948/26
Pawan Kumar Saini Vs. MCD

29.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **08.12.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, the operation of the impugned order dated 02.09.2026 is stayed. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 949/26
Vijay Kumar and Anr. vs. MCD

29.09.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sunil Choudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **09.12.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Shop no. 22, Ground, First and Second floor, Sewa Nagar, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 17.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well

…contd.2

as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 694/25

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Ankit Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD.
Respondent no. 2 in person.
Sh. Dalip Rastogi, ld. counsel for the respondents no. 6 to 11.
None for other respondents.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has placed on record fresh address of R-2, who has already been served and is present today. Same is taken on record.

Speed post postal receipts along with Track Consignment report and affidavit of services of the respondent no. 2 to 5 filed. As per the track consignment report, all have been duly served.

Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 6 to 11 seeks time to file the reply to the appeal. Let the same be so filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the ld counsel for the appellant.

Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD has placed on record the copy of the show cause notice dated 23.09.2025 issued u/s 338 DMC Act to the private respondents and it is stated that because of this appeal, the proceedings in pursuance to this show cause notice has been kept in abeyance.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **11.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/29.9.26

A.No. 374/26

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sachin Bandooni and Mohd. Noorain Jawaid, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Karan Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Ram Kumar, LI in person.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied. Soft copy supplied.

It is stated in this status report that the property could not be inspected as the appellant was not present despite four visits. Same is disputed by the appellant.

MCD is directed to temporary deseal the property on 05.10.2026 at 3.00 pm and to take measurement of the property and to calculate the misuser charges and to reseal the same after taking measurement. The appellant is directed to be present at the time of inspection.

The calculation of misuser charge be also intimated to the appellant so that he can deposit the same before next date of hearing.

Put up for further proceedings/arguments on **30.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 565/26, 566/26 & 567/26

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellants.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. Vijay Sehgal, Ld. counsel for the proposed intervener.

Status report is filed by the MCD in all the three appeals, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **19.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 722/26

29.09.2026

Present : None for the applicant/intervener.

File is taken up today on an application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the applicant/intervener.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 08.12.2026.

Let notice of this application be issued to the ld counsel for the appellant as well as ld counsel for the MCD for the date fixed i.e. **08.12.2026**.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. 08.12.2026 for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 881/26

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD along with Sh. Madan Kumar, AE(B) and Sh.Krishna Keshav, ALO, KPZ.
Sh. Sanjay Pathak, ld. Standing Counsel along with Sh. Deeraj Kumar Singh, Special counsel.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing as well as Under Order XXXIX rule 4 CPC filed on behalf of the respondent.

Issue notice of this application to the appellant as well as to the counsel for the appellant for **05.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 942/26 & 998/24

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Time sought by the MCD to file the record qua the demolition proceedings.

Let the same be so filed and it can be inspected by the ld counsel for the appellant.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on **20.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 246/19

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Madhur Sapra, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD.
Respondent no. 2 in person.

Part arguments heard.

As per the case of the appellant, one Mr. Jitender in whose name, the show cause notice u/s 345-A of DMC Act was issued, was running a denting-painting of vehicles job from the road outside the shop of the appellant bearing no. 1/15, Shopping Complex, near Milan Cinema.

Record shows that there is a portion of about 8 feet in the shape of veranda outside these 3 shops bearing no. 1, 2 and 3 with a flexible gate in front portion.

The said Jitneder filed an application for temporary desealing for removing his goods which obviously must be kept either in the shop or in the veranda. The Inspection report dated 15.11.2016 shows that there was an electricity connection of about 2 KW from which Mr. Jitender was running his denting-painting job.

The appellant as well as respondent no. 2 are directed to file their electricity bills of the year 2016, on next date of hearing. It is stated for the appellant that the shop no.

….contd.2

1/15 was lying locked for almost a decade and there was/is no electricity connection in the shop. Let the appellant to file an affidavit in this regard with complete details on next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **17.12.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 605/16

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Ram Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. R.K. Kashyap, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Further arguments heard partly.

Put up for further arguments on **01.12.2026**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 386/22, 387/22 & 60/23

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellants in appeal no. 386/22 and 387/22.
Sh. Ranjit Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC in appeals no. 386/22 and 387/22.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 60/23.
Dr. Gaurav Manuja along with Sh. Uttam Kumar and Sh. Akshay Dua, Ld counsels for the applicant/ intervener in appeal no. 386/22 and 387/22 and for the appellant in appeal no. 60/23 along with appellant in appeal No..60/23.

MCD was directed to inspect the property on 20.07.2026 and file status report. That status report has not been filed though inspection was carried out which is also recorded in the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court dated 10.09.2026.

MCD is directed to file that status report by the next date of hearing.

Intervener is directed to file the reply of the application of the appellant with advance copy.

Put up for awaiting the status report and for arguments on **20.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 30/23, 440/24 & 291/25

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 30/23.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 440/24.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 291/25.
None for the intervener.

Further arguments heard partly.

At request, put up for further arguments on **02.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No.467/23 & 468/23

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Aman Nath, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Rakesh Chander Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Rakesh Chander Aggarwal has gone to his native village.

Same is strongly opposed.

There is no interim protection in the matter. Let the Action taken report be filed by the respondent/MCD on next date of hearing.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **05.02.2027**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 245/24

29.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments with other connected appeals bearing no. 942/26 & 998/24 on **20.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 271/24

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Pradeep Ahuja and Sh. Pranav Sharma, ld. counsels for the intervener along with intervener.

Part arguments heard.

The appellant is directed to produce the original sale deeds along with their site plan for the ground and first floor on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **29.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 554/24
Seema Goyal Vs. MCD

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Alamgir, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent
Sh. Sriniwas, AE(B) in person.

Arguments heard. File perused.

The respondent booked the unauthorized construction in the shape of ground to third floor with projections on the municipal land vide show cause notice dated 23.10.2017 at Property no. F-119, West Jyoti Nagar, Delhi.

Admittedly, there is no sanctioned building plan and the title documents in favour of the appellant dated 11.08.2008 are in respect of a vacant plot, the construction obviously was raised thereafter and later to the cut-off date of protection under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 which is 08.02.2007.

There is no merit in the appeal and same is dismissed. The demolition order is hereby upheld.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 574/24,
Archana Solanki Vs. MCD

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Alamgir, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Sriniwas, AE(B) in person.

Arguments heard. File perused.

The property no. F-78, Gali no. 9, West Jyoti Nagar, Delhi, was booked vide show cause notice dated 23.10.2017 which was replied by the appellant on 07.11.2017 along with bunch of documents. The demolition order was passed on 06.11.2017 recording that no reply has been received till date.

Though, it is correct that the reply was received after passing of the demolition order, however, considering that there is no exact date as to when the show cause notice was received by the appellant, the demolition order dated 06.11.2017 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply dated 07.11.2017 and documents submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 28.10.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

….contd.2

With the above observation, the appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 575/24
Rajender Singh Vs. MCD

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Alamgir, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Adv.
Sh. Sriniwas, AE(B) in person.
Arguments heard. Record perused.

The respondent booked the unauthorized construction in the shape of ground floor at F-103, Gali no. 10, West Jyoti Nagar, Delhi vide show cause notice dated 23.10.2017 followed by demolition order dated 06.11.2017.

The property is built up only up-to ground floor. The appellant has placed on record electricity bill in the name of her father showing date of energization as 07.03.2003 which is prior to the cut-off date of the protection under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011.

In view of the same, the impugned demolition order is upheld but kept in abeyance in respect of property of the appellant till this Act is in force. The respondent is at liberty to take action once the Act ceases to be in force. Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/29.09.2026

A.No. 576/24
Sohn Bere Devi Vs. MCD

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Alamgir, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent.
Sh. Sriniwas, AE(B) in person.

Arguments heard. File perused.

The respondent booked the unauthorized construction in the shape of stilt, ground to third floor with projections on the municipal land vide show cause notice dated 23.10.2017 at Property no. F-75, Gali no. 9, West Jyoti Nagar, Delhi.

Admittedly, there is no sanctioned building plan and as per the title documents in favour of the appellant dated 26.07.2023, the appellant purchased upper ground floor with year of construction as 2012 and prior to that purchase, there was a single storey ground floor on 06.06.2011. The construction upto third floor obviously was raised thereafter and later to the cut-off date of protection under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 which is 08.02.2007.

There is no merit in the appeal and same is dismissed. The demolition order is hereby upheld.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 577/24
Kusum Lata Vs. MCD

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Alamgir, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Sriniwas, AE(B) in person.

Arguments heard. File perused.

The property no. F-86, Gali no. 9, West Jyoti Nagar, Delhi, was booked vide show cause notice dated 23.10.2017 which was replied by the appellant on 07.11.2017 along with bunch of documents. The demolition order was passed on 06.11.2017 recording that no reply has been received till date.

Though, it is correct that the reply was received after passing of the demolition order, however, considering that there is no exact date as to when the show cause notice was received by the appellant, the demolition order dated 06.11.2017 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply dated 07.11.2017 and documents submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 28.10.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

….contd.2

With the above observation, the appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 578/24
Anil Kumar Vs. MCD

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Alamgir, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Adv.
Sh. Sriniwas, AE(B) in person.

Arguments heard. File perused.

The property no. F-83, Gali no. 9, West Jyoti Nagar, Delhi, was booked vide show cause notice dated 23.10.2017 which was replied by the appellant on 07.11.2017 along with bunch of documents. The demolition order was passed on 06.11.2017 recording that no reply has been received till date.

Though, it is correct that the reply was received after passing of the demolition order, however, considering that there is no exact date as to when the show cause notice was received by the appellant, the demolition order dated 06.11.2017 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply dated 07.11.2017 and documents submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 28.10.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

….contd.2

With the above observation, the appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 579/24
Hemlata Sharma Vs. MCD

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Alamgir, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Sriniwas, AE(B) in person.

Arguments heard. File perused.

The property no. F-79, Gali no. 9, West Jyoti Nagar, Delhi, was booked vide show cause notice dated 23.10.2017 which was replied by the appellant on 07.11.2017 along with bunch of documents. The demolition order was passed on 06.11.2017 recording that no reply has been received till date.

Though, it is correct that the reply was received after passing of the demolition order, however, considering that there is no exact date as to when the show cause notice was received by the appellant, the demolition order dated 06.11.2017 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply dated 07.11.2017 and documents submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 28.10.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

….contd.2

With the above observation, the appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 580/24
Nirmala Gupta Vs. MCD

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Alamgir, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent.
Sh. Sriniwas, AE(B) in person.

Arguments heard. File perused.

The respondent has booked the unauthorized construction in the shape of ground and first floor in property no. F-107, Gali no. 10, West Jyoti Nagar, vide show cause notice dated 23.10.2017 followed by the demolition order which is 06.11.2017. The booking is only for the ground and first floor whereas even as per the affidavit and site plan filed by the appellant, there is some construction on the upper floors till fourth floor as well.

It appears that the said booking was done only on a High Court case without physically inspecting the property.

In these facts, the impugned demolition order is set aside with liberty to the MCD to book the property afresh as per law and considering the existing construction.

With above observation, the appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 581/24
Anupama Singhal Vs. MCD

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Alamgir, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Sriniwas, AE(B) in person.

Arguments heard. File perused.

The respondent has booked the unauthorized construction in the shape of ground and first floor in property no. F-100, Gali no. 10, West Jyoti Nagar, vide show cause notice dated 23.10.2017 followed by the demolition order which is 06.11.2017. The booking is only for the ground and first floor whereas even as per the affidavit and site plan filed by the appellant, there is some construction on the upper floors as well.

It appears that the said booking was done only on a High Court case without physically inspecting the property.

In these facts, the impugned demolition order is set aside with liberty to the MCD to book the property afresh as per law and considering the existing construction.

With above observation, the appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 582/24
Santosh Gupta Vs.MCD

29.09.2026
Present : Sh. Alamgir, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent.
Sh. Sriniwas, AE(B) in person.

Arguments heard. File perused.

The respondent has booked the unauthorized construction in the shape of ground and first floor in property no. F-92, Gali no. 9, West Jyoti Nagar, vide show cause notice dated 23.10.2017 followed by the demolition order which is 06.11.2017. The booking is only for the ground and first floor whereas even as per the affidavit and site plan filed by the appellant, there is some construction on the upper floors as well.

It appears that the said booking was done only on a High Court case without physically inspecting the property.

In these facts, the impugned demolition order is set aside with liberty to the MCD to book the property afresh as per law and considering the existing construction.

With above observation, the appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 632/24

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Shourajeet Chakravarty and Ms. Aprajita Bhardwaj, Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Akash Tanwar, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Atul Tanwar, counsel for the respondent

Arguments on behalf of the appellant heard and concluded.

As the main counsel for the respondent is not available, put up for further arguments on behalf of the respondent and rebuttal, if any, on **03.02.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 639/24

29.09.2026

Present : Ms. Akshita Bhardwaj, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Previous cost not yet deposited.

An application seeking waiver of cost filed on behalf of appellant. Copy not supplied.

Submissions heard on this application.

The cost was imposed as the appellant was seeking adjournment after interim protection dated 13.08.2024 and even today request for adjournment is made. Hence, the application is dismissed. Let the cost be deposited on or before the next date of hearing.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Ojas Singh is held up in Hon'ble High Court in some urgent matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **02.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 834/24

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Gemin Ratan, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Netrapal Singh is not available today due to ill-health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **01.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 931/24
Bhavya Makhija and Anr. vs. MCD

29.09.2026
Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning. Even the previous cost has not yet been deposited.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/29.9.26

At 2.30 pm
Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. Even the previous cost has also not been deposited.

It is already 2.30 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 207/25 & 208/25

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Yash Tiwari and Sh. Vishal Gupta, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Rohit Kumar Modi, ld. counsel for the applicant/ intervener joined through VC.

It is stated by the ld counsel for the respondent that the appellant has not filed the complete copy of the relinquishment deed relied as a proof of ownership.

The appellant is directed to file the complete copy of the relinquishment deed on or before the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **03.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 362/25

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Sanjeev Nasiar, Sh. C.M. Sharma and Sh. Mansoor Malik, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Sanjay, AA&C, Sh. Yudh Beer Singh, ASO, Sh. Inderjeert Singh, AA&C and Sh. Ishu Sehrawat, JSA.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **06.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 556/25
Manider Singh Sethi & Ors. Vs. MCD

29.09.2026
Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard.

1. The appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 04.08.2025 passed in respect of unauthorized construction in the shape of deviations/excess coverage/more dwelling units against sanctioned building plan dated 30.11.2020 from ground to third floor in property no. 7, Palam Marg, Vasant Vihar, New Delhi.
2. The respondent filed status report on 27.11.2025 wherein it was stated that after passing the impugned demolition order, replies have been received from owners/occupiers of various portions of the subject property and hearing notices have been issued to them and it would be prudent if the appellants be also heard again on the show cause notice along with other owners/occupiers.
3. In view of this status report the impugned demolition order dated 04.08.2025 is set-aside with directions to the respondent to hear the appellants as well along with other owners/occupiers and pass fresh speaking order.

Contd…2/-

4. The appellants shall appear before Quasi Judicial Authority on **02.11.2026 at 3.00 PM** and fresh speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of hearing.
5. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 794/25

29.09.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent
Sh. Rudrashish Bhardwaj, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

One last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **18.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 3/26

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Ankit Verma, Ld LAC for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the Ld. counsel for the appellant due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **01.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 283/26

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dinesh Kumar Gupta, ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counselfor the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

The subject shop is at ground floor and as per the sale deed, is measuring about 13 sq.mtrs. Shops at ground floor of area less than area of 20 sq. mtrs., are permitted under MPD-2021 subject to number of dwelling units in the property.

The respondent is directed to inspect the property and to file status report after temporary desealing in respect of the area of the said shop no. 1 in property no. 583, Gali Ghanteshwar, Katra Neel, Chandni Chowk, Delhi and also mention about the number of the dwelling unit and total number of shops in this property at ground floor, on next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting status report and arguments on **05.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 485/26

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Virender Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent, hence the matter is adjourned.

Put up for arguments on **04.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 774/26
Rajneesh Grover and Anr. Vs. MCD

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi , Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

This is an appeal challenging the sealing order dated 10.08.2026 passed in respect of property No.E-74, Greater Kailash-I, New Delhi.

The appellant had applied for revised sanctioned building plan prior to passing of the sealing order and after the show cause notice was received and undertakes to construct this property strictly in accordance with the revised sanctioned building plan if accorded or strictly in accordance to the original sanctioned building plan.

There was also some issue in respect of the concrete pieces of the retaining wall extending towards adjoining property No.E-76. As per the photographs filed along with the status report almost all the extending concrete pieces towards the other property have been removed. The appellant undertakes that he shall remove the remaining concrete pieces if any left and will also ensure that during the further construction shall not raise any extension/encroachment/projections toward the property No.E-76.

….contd.2

This is without prejudice to the contention of the appellant that he has not extended any concrete construction/pieces towards the property No.E-76.

The appellant is bound down by his undertakings recording in this order-sheet by signing the order-sheet today in the court.

The sealing order is set aside.

The property in question be desealed within a week and booking be removed from the online portal.

The appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 83/22, 381/25 & 382/25

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Mukesh Kumar Verma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 381/25 & 382/25.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 83/22.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, all the aforesaid appeals are dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026

A.No. 443/25

29.09.2026

Present : Sh. Krishan Kumar, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks time to place on record reply and documents received under RTI in respect of layout plan of Village Ram Pura.

Ld counsel for the appellant should have taken the steps prior to today with advance copy to the other side. However, in these facts, the appellant to file application to place on record the documents.

Put up for further arguments on **13.01.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.09.2026