A.No. 958/26
Veena Narang Vs. MCD

01.10.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sandeep Khatri, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.01.2027.**

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Flat no. 8, Sriniwaspuri Mitra Mandal, Cooperative Group Housing Society Ltd., Pitampura, New Delhi-110034 in pursuance of the demolition order dated 14.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 959/26
Bhupesh Kumart Chadha Vs. MCD

01.10.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sandeep Khatri, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.01.2027.**

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Flat no. 01, Sriniwaspuri Mitra Mandal, Cooperative Group Housing Society Ltd., Pitampura, New Delhi-110034 in pursuance of the demolition order dated 14.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 960/26
M.L. Jain Vs. MCD

01.10.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sandeep Khatri, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.01.2027.**

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Flat no. 43, Sriniwaspuri Mitra Mandal, Cooperative Group Housing Society Ltd., Pitampura, New Delhi-110034 in pursuance of the demolition order dated 14.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 961/26
Indu Thukral Vs. MCD

01.10.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sandeep Khatri, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.01.2027.**

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Flat no. 49, Sriniwaspuri Mitra Mandal, Cooperative Group Housing Society Ltd., Pitampura, New Delhi-110034 in pursuance of the demolition order dated 14.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 962/26
Subh Lata Vashist Vs. MCD

01.10.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sandeep Khatri, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.01.2027.**

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Flat no. 34, Sriniwaspuri Mitra Mandal, Cooperative Group Housing Society Ltd., Pitampura, New Delhi-110034 in pursuance of the demolition order dated 14.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 963/26
L.N. Aggarwal Vs. MCD

01.10.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sandeep Khatri, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.01.2027.**

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Flat no. 20, Sriniwaspuri Mitra Mandal, Cooperative Group Housing Society Ltd., Pitampura, New Delhi-110034 in pursuance of the demolition order dated 14.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 964/26
J.K. Jain Vs. MCD

01.10.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sandeep Khatri, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.01.2027.**

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Flat no. 10, Sriniwaspuri Mitra Mandal, Cooperative Group Housing Society Ltd., Pitampura, New Delhi-110034 in pursuance of the demolition order dated 14.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 967/26

01.10.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. K.K. Singh and Sh. Sanjay Kumar, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **23.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 968/26
Vikram Singh Vs. MCD

01.10.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.
Present : Sh. Deepak Rana, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **07.01.2027**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. 103-A, Khasra no. 829/227, Village Gharoli, Delhi-110096 in pursuance of the demolition order dated 12.09.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/01.10.26

A.No. 969/26

01.10.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ramesh Chander along with Sh. Rahul, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **14.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 970/26
Mohit Dunga Vs. MCD

01.10.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Kartik Jasra and Sh. Nakul Khanna, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.01.2027**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant which a shop at ground floor of property no. H-21, Rajouri Garden, New Delhi in the name of Royal Gems and Jewellers in pursuance of the demolition order dated 27.02.2026. There is no protection to any portion of this building and MCD is at liberty to take action as per law. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of

…..contd.2

India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question. Copy of this order be given dasti as requested.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 841/25

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Askrit Tiwari, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing as well as modification of the order dated 23.09.2026 filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 22.01.2027. The appeal is of the year 2025. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is dismissed.

In view of the request made by the ld counsel for the appellant, the Interim protection is restored till next date of hearing.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **22.01.2027** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 663/26

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. R.K. Singh , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Arguments heard on the interim application.

This court while passing ad-interim order did not protect the forth and the fifth floor of the property. The appellant while relying upon one written statement filed by the respondent in the Civil Suit of 2025, has stated that deviation from ground to third floor are compoundable and the appellant has already applied for compounding the same.

The respondent shall consider that application as per building bye-laws, but only after the appellant demolishes every structure existing at fourth and fifth floor of the subject property except the mumty within 15 days from today.

At request, put up for arguments on **23.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 696/26

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi and Sh. Savinder Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Abheet Mangleek, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Ld counsel for the respondent seeks some time to file the status report. Let the same be so filed and a copy of the same be also supplied to ld counsel for the appellant.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **19.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 35/26 (M)

01.10.2026

Present : None for the applicant/appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

This is an application seeking restoration of the appeal, which was dismissed vide order dated 30.07.2026. The application has been filed within a month on 11.08.2026.

For the reason stated in the application, same is allowed and the appeal is restored to its original number subject to deposit a cost of Rs. 2,000/- with the Registry of this Tribunal.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **11.01.2027**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 720/26

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Mohan Kaushik, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD
Sh. Bhuwneshwar Tyagi, ld counsel for the respondent no. 3 and he seeks time to file vakalatnama

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Respondent/MCD seeks time to file the record.

Let the record be filed by the MCD and it can be inspected by the ld counsel for the appellant.

Reply, if any, by the respondent no. 3 be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the ld counsel for the appellant.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on **01.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 739/26 & 765/26

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Rohit Kumar Modi, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Anusuya, Adv.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **04.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 741/26

01.10.2026

Present : Ms. Neeru Chauhan, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Hemant Singh Negi, AE(B). Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record. Let it be collected.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

At request, put up for arguments on the maintainability of the appeal on **01.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 805/26 & 531/26

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Mehta, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks some time to file the record.

Arguments heard on the application seeking condonation of delay in filing appeal No.531/26 filed on 10.06.26 challenging the revocation order dated 15.12.25. There is a delay of around 4 months and 24 days in filing the appeal.. Though the reasons furnished by the appellant are not plausible however, within an endeavour to decide the appeal, the delay in filing the appeal is condoned subject to cost of Rs.5000/- to be deposited with the Registry of this Tribunal.

Part arguments heard on the appeal. As per the order dated 01.02.2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CRP No.74/21 the entire property was sealed as on that day. The appellant got the sanctioned building plan under Saral Scheme dated 08.06.22 and thereafter constructed the property. The appellant as well as respondent are directed to explain as to when the property was desealed to enable the appeal to demolish the existing sealed structure to construct a new building.

Contd…2…

Put up for further arguments on **04.12.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 841/26

01.10.2026

Present : Mohd Ehtesham Hussain, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been produced. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **22.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 919/26

01.10.2026

Present : Ms. Pooja Kumari Gupta and Sh. Gaurav Kumar, Ld proxy counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Anil Kumar Shama, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been submitted. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Ikrant Sharma is un-available today being busy before the Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **03.12.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 930/26

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Deepak Kumar Aggarwal , Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning till 2.15 p.m.
No adverse order is being passed today.
Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.
Till next date of hearing no coercive action be taken against the property of the appellant bearing No.L1/170-B, LIG DDA Flats, Kalkaji, New Delhi. However, this order will not come in the way of any order passed by the Hon'ble High Court or Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.
Put up for appearance of counsel for MCD and arguments on appeal on **15.12.2026**

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 43/26 (M)

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application u/s 151 CPC seeking compliance of order dated 17.04.2026.

Let notice of this application be issued to the respondent(s) for **27.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 954/26
Archana Sharma Vs. MCD

01.10.2026

Present : Appellant, who herself is a counsel, in person.

Copy of the site plan filed along with some more documents. Same are taken on record.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **11.01.2027**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Flat no. 233, Pkt. 13, Phase-I, Mangla Puri, Dwarka, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 24.08.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 965/26
Sheeba Mehfooz Through SPA Holder Syed Taseer Ahmad Vs.MCD

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Gaurav Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Copy of the site plan with affidavit filed. Same is taken on record.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **11.01.2027**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Khasra No. 940/534, Adjacent to Celebration Garden near Plot no. 2, Kanchan Kuni, Madanpur Khadar Extension, Delhi-110076 in pursuance of the demolition order dated 21.9.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 44/26 (M)

Dr. Vanga Siva Reddy Vs. MCD

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. D.D. Dayani, Sh. Prakash Airan and Paripoorn Singh, Ld counsels for the applicants.

1. File taken up on the application filed by one Yashovardhan Rathore being Special Power of Attorney of his parents seeking recalling and setting aside of order dated 13.08.2026 vide which the appeal No.820/25 was disposed of.
2. It is stated by the applicant that this Tribunal while passing the judgment dated 13.08.2026 touched upon the ownership title and share of the co-owners of the land underneath without hearing the applicants who are the owners of the basement and ground floor and were deliberately not impleaded in the appeal. It was stated that the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.(C) No.9802/2024 vide order dated 11.11.2024 relegated the parties to civil court for resolution of ambiguity in the sale deeds and therefore the observation of this Tribunal in this regard is without jurisdiction and should be set aside.
3. Ld. counsel for the applicant in support of his contentions has relied upon the following judgments: -

Contd…2/-

(i). **Budhia Swain & Ors. Vs. Gopinath Deb & Ors. (1999) 4 Supreme Court cases 396.**
(ii). Union of India Vs. Nareshkumar Badrikumar Jagad & ors. (2019) 18 Supreme Court Cases 586.
(iii). Greater Noida Industrial Development Authority Vs. Prabhjit Singh Soni & Anr. (2024) 6 Supreme Court cases 767.
(iv). Indian Bank Vs. Satyam Fibers (India) Pvt. Ltd. (1996) 5 Supreme Court cases 550.
(v). United India Insurance Company Ltd. Vs. Rajendra Singh & Ors. (2000) 3 Supreme Court cases 581.

4. I have perused the record. While passing judgment in appeal No.820/25, this Tribunal made observation in respect of the share of the owners/occupiers of the property No.C-5/14, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi in respect of the land underneath. The Hon'ble High Court in the order dated 11.11.2024 passed in W.P.(C) No.9802/2024 did not relegate the parties to the civil court but only observed that MCD has directed the parties to approach civil court and parties are at liberty to do so. The contention of the applicant that the Hon'ble High Court so directed is incorrect.
5. It is however correct that this Tribunal does not have powers to adjudicate in respect of the shares of the parties in the land underneath. Any observation made

Contd…3/-

: 3 :

by this Tribunal in the impugned judgment dated 13.08.2026 and specifically in para-6 shall not have effect nor have any bearing on any findings of the appropriate forum in this regard.

6. The application stand disposed of.
7. File be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 762/16 & 777/16

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Kamla Prasad, ld. counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Prince Kumar, ld. proxy counsel for Ms. Vasu Singh, counsel for the respondent/MCD.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant as he is not well today.

Even the main counsel for the respondent is not available today being busy in some other court.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **27.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 37/15

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Amanpreet Singh, Ld LAC for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant in person.
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the respondent as he has recently been handed over the brief in the matter.

The appeal pertains to the year 2015. However, in the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **26.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 478/15

01.10.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ranjeet Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Part arguments heard on behalf of the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning. No adverse order is being passed today.

At request, put up for further arguments on **03.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 693/24

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The appeal filed by the appellant against the order of this Tribunal dismissing the challenge to the demolition order is stated to be pending before ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, Patiala House Court and is now listed for 09.10.2026.

At request, put up for further arguments on **17.12.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 394/25

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Narender Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is stated for the appellant that she has applied for reopening her regularization file on 14.09.2026 and is willing and ready to demolish all the non-compoundable deviations in the property. Copy supplied.

Be awaited for the outcome of the said application and arguments on **02.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 598/25 & 599/25

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the counsel for respondent as he is not feeling well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **04.02.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 642/25
Deepak Goel and Anr. Vs. MCD

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Vimal Dhingra, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the DDA, copy supplied.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

As per this status report, the regularization proposal cannot be considered for part and parcel of the building and is required to be filed for the building as a whole.

Ld counsel for the appellant submits that the appellants are making efforts to apply for regularization for the building as a whole.

In view of the same, let the appellants apply for regularization of the building as a whole within 4 weeks from today and the respondent shall decide the same within 2 weeks after receiving the said proposal and after hearing the parties.

In view of the same, the operation of the impugned demolition/sealing order dated 14.08.2025 shall remain stayed and the respondent shall be at liberty to take action in pursuance to the demolition order, if the purported proposal of regularization of the building as a whole is rejected.

……contd.2

The appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 752/25
Saveena Bishnoi Vs. MCD

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Mehul Gupta, ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Daniyal Khan, Ld counsel for the subsequent purchaser of the property. Memo of appearance filed.
Sh. Sagar Kumar (appearing through VC) and Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is stated by the ld counsel for the appellant that the appellant has sold the subject property and is no longer interested in pursuing this appeal.

Ld. counsel for the subsequent purchaser submits that he came to know about this appeal yesterday only and needs some time to take steps to be substituted in place of the appellant.

On query, it has been stated that the purchasers purchased this property on 10.06.2026. More than 4 months have passed since then and the buyers must be aware as to what the buyer is purchasing. As on date, the appellant does not want to pursue this appeal, whereas the purchasers for the last almost 4 months did not bother to move appropriate application.

In these facts, the appeal is dismissed with liberty to the purchasers to take the appropriate remedy as available under law.

…contd.2

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 358/26

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Bhavya Sethi and Ms. Apurva Ambasth, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard on the application of the appellant seeking temporary desealing of the property to bring it to the earlier stage prior to the show cause notice.

Let the property be temporary desealed for two weeks starting from 05.10.2026 at 12.00 noon till 22.10.2026 at 3.00 pm and resealed on 22.10.2026. The appellant in the meantime, shall remove the construction booked by the MCD at second floor.

The property be inspected at the time of resealing and fresh status report be filed by the MCD on the next date of hearing. It is directed that the appellant in the meantime, shall not part with possession, shall not occupy the property and also shall not create any other party interest in the subject property.

Put up for awaiting status report and arguments on **03.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 382/26

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo and Sh. Piyush Mudhal , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Himanshy Tyagi, ld. counsel for the intervener joined through VC.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. The applicant claims himself to be owner of the subject property which is disputed by the appellant. This Tribunal is not competent to decide the issue of ownership and even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10

….contd.2

CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

At request, put up for arguments on the appeal on **11.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 426/26

01.10.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Pravin Dhaware, AE(B).

An adjournment is sought by the appellant as his counsel Sh. Ajay Chaudhary is not available today due to demise of his grand-father.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **23.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 450/26 & 491/26

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Shashi Pratap Singh Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Laqshyaa Saluja, Adv.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Arun Kumar Tiwari, ld. counsel for the intervener along with intervener.

Reply to the application under Order I rule 10 filed on behalf of the appellant. Copy supplied.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10

…contd.2

CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

An application under Section 347C of DMC Act filed by the appellant to place on record the Master Plan for Delhi-2047. Being a Gazette Notification, the same is taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

At request, put up for arguments on **11.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 508/26
Ajay Dhiman Vs. MCD

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Girish Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant in person. .
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

The appellant has deposited the misuser charges and copy of G-8 receipt has been placed on record.

In view of same, let the property bearing no. B-136, near Gurudwara, Sangam Vihar, Delhi-110080, be desealed within a week subject to undertaking of the appellant that he shall not use the same for any purpose other than those permissible under MPD-2021 and MPD-2047.

The appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

Copy of this order be given dasti.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 517/26

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld counsel Sh. Vikrant Arora for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Vikrant Arora is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **22.01.2027.**

There is no interim protection in the matter.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 529/26 & 530/26

01.10.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Sh. Geet Kumar, ld. proxy for the applicant/intervener.

Reply to the application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed. Copy supplied.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

….contd.2

At request, put up for arguments on the appeal on **21.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 558/26

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. J.M. Kalia and Sh. Dhruv Kalia, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Varun Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **26.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 600/26 & 601/26

01.10.2026

Present : Mohd. Uwaiz, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

An application seeking directions to the MCD to produce some documents filed on behalf of the appellant.

Copy supplied.

The appellant has sought list of notified mix-used streets and circular dated 20.07.2022. Copy of this circular supplied. The list of mix-used streets are available in MPD-2021 and can be seen.

At request, put up for arguments on appeal on **11.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 34/26 (M)

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Om Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

This is an application seeking restoration of appeal which was dismissed in default on 10.12.2025.

This application has been filed on 04.08.2026 and there is a delay of around 200 days in filing the application. It is stated that son of the appellant was passed away on 17.03.2024 and because of that the appellant could not pursue the appeal and the same should be restored.

The application has been strongly opposed as the death of the son in March, 2024 has no reason for not appearing in December, 2025.

I have perused the record. The appeal was earlier dismissed in default on 23.09.2024 and was restored on 05.11.2024. The death of the son took place prior to these two dates and there is no explanation for non-appearance after 05.11.2024 till 10.12.2025 when the appeal was again dismissed.

Only with an endeavour to decide the appeal on merits the same is restored again subject to cost of Rs.2,000/- to be deposited with the Registry.

Put up for arguments on appeal on **11.01.2027.**

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 36/26 (M)

01.10.2026

Present : Sh.Markenday Gupta , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Ld proxy counsel for Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record
Intervener in person.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Atul Tanwar is un-available today due to bad health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.

Intervener has filed written synopsis on the restoration application, copy supplied.

Put up for arguments on **19.11.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 532/24 & 71/25

01.10.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Ld proxy counsel for Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 71/25.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld. counsel for the MCD joined through VC in appeal No.532/24.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 145/26

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Sh. Vikas Tomar, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

This appeal is kept for judgment today along with connected appeal bearing no. 146/26. The appeal no. 146/26 is disposed of and the matter is remanded back to the respondent for deciding the same afresh.

The appeal no. 145/26 which is against the demolition order is kept pending for awaiting status report of regularization application.

Put up for awaiting status report on **28.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026

A.No. 146/26

01.10.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Sh. Vikas Tomar, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, the present appeal is disposed of and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
01.10.2026