A.No. 971/26

06.10.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Chetanya Puri, Sh. Jai Vardhan and Ms. Chaahat Khanna, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **28.10.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 974/26

06.10.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Akhil Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **18.01.2027**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 976/26

06.10.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Neeraj Goyal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

House Tax PTR Form for the year 2020-21, 2025-26 and 2026-27 filed by the appellant. Same are taken on record.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **14.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 978/26
Mohit Dunga Vs. MCD

06.10.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Kartik Jasra and Sh. Nakul Khanna, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

At this stage, the appellant seeks temporary desealing of his shop, which is a shop at ground floor in property no. H-21, Rajouri Garden, New Delhi with the name of 'Royal Gems and Jewellers' for removing his articles lying in his jewellery shop.

The respondent shall temporary deseal the aforesaid shop on 07.10.2026 at 12.00 noon to enable the appellant to remove his articles and jewellery lying in the property and thereafter, shall reseal the same on 07.10.2026 at 6.00 pm.

Copy of the sealing order be also provided to the appellant.

….contd.2

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **12.10.2026**.

Copy of this order be given dasti, as requested.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 981/26

06.10.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Rajan Sabharwa and Mohd. Saqib, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **14.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 441/26

06.10.2026

Present : Ms. Ravi Prabha, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Suraj Kumar Modi, Adv.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Brijender Singh, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener. Copy supplied.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks some time to produce the record. Let the same be so filed and it can be inspected by the ld counsel for the appellant.

Put up for awaiting the record and arguments on **27.01.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 506/26

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Vikas Chhabra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Vikesh Kumar, Adv.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Adv. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **18.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 691/26 & 692/26

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. M.L. Chaudhary and Sh. Sujit Kumar, Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta Ld counsel for the respondent.

Common status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Arguments heard on the interim injunction application.

The respondent booked the unauthorized construction in the shape of raising of walls and column for basement vide show cause notice dated 15.01.2025 which was duly replied by one Tinku Lamba, a relative of the appellant. Thereafter, sanctioned building plan was obtained under SARAL scheme on 10.05.2025 and the appellants claim that they raised construction only after obtaining the sanctioned building plan and the respondent issued another show cause notice in respect of unauthorized construction in the shape of ground floor and shuttering at first floor and passed the second demolition order dated 15.05.2026 without even recording that there is a sanctioned building plan of the property.

…….contd.2

The respondent on the other hand claims that once the property was booked on 15.01.2025, the sanctioned building plan was obtained under SARAL scheme on misrepresentation of facts by concealing the first booking and also by suppressing that it is an unauthorized colony where no building plans are sanctioned.

The respondent is directed to file status report in respect of the sanctioned building plan obtained by the appellants on 10.05.2025 and also as to why it was not noticed before passing the demolition order dated 15.05.2026 and what steps have been taken against this sanctioned building plan, on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting status report and arguments on **23.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 723/26

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Armaan Monga, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report has already filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsels for the parties.

The appellant is ready to rectify the non-compoundable deviations in the subject property and seeks temporary desealing of the property for 7 days.

At request, put up for consideration/arguments on **23.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 818/26 & 819/26

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Ayush Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant along with some of the appellants.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Common status report filed by the MCD. Copy supplied.

Record has not been filed by the respondent. Let the same be filed by the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on **27.10.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 941/26
Sh. Anupam Vibhuti Vs. MCD

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Akhil Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Memo of appearance filed.

Arguments on the interim stay application heard.

1. The appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 25.08.2026 passed in respect of unauthorized construction in the shape of deviations/excess coverage against standard DDA plan in property bearing Flat no. 59, Sidhartha Enclave, Sidhartha Nagar, New Delhi-110014.
2. The show cause notice dated 17.03.2025 does not mention the details of this deviations and excess coverage. Prior to the impugned order, the respondent passed demolition order dated 22.07.2025 which was challenged by the appellant in appeal no. 583/25 which was allowed on 04.11.2025 with directions to the respondent to pass fresh speaking order and also to inform the appellant whether deviations are compoundable or not. The respondent in the impugned order stated that the covering of open space near kitchen and internal open space in the flat, terrace covered with temporary sheets and the

Contd…2/-

planters around the flat are non-compoundable. The counsel for the appellant has drawn my attention to the regularization policy of the DDA and prime-facie some of the deviations appears to be compoundable. Further, there was no show cause notice in respect of planters now included in the demolition order.

3. In these facts, the interim application is allowed and the operation of the impugned demolition order dated 25.08.2026 is stayed till disposal of this appeal. This order will not come in the way of any order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India or Hon'ble High Court.

Put up with connected appeal for arguments on **18.01.2027**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 189/17

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Nishant Anand, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. R.K. Kashyap, Ld. counsel for the MCD/respondent no. 1 joined through VC.
Sh. Mukesh Goyal, Ld counsel along with Sh. Akshit, Adv. for the R-5 Pratibha Goenka, R-8 Ritika Goyal, R-9 Asha Sharma, R-10 Anju Gupta, R-11 Rajiv Jindal, R-14 Sanjay Sareen, R-15 Sunil Sareen, R-16 Akash Chopra, R-19 Suman Jain and R-21 Isha Jain.
Ms. Gargi Shukla, ld.counsel for the respondent no. 13.

Reply to the application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the other respondents no. 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14. Copy already supplied.

Arguments on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC seeking impleadment of private respondents no. 2 to 21.

The above-mentioned respondents have stated in their replies that they have no concern with the property no. 3165, Gali Fatak Nanakchand, Mohalla Dassan, Charkhe Walan, Delhi-110006.

The appellant in the present appeal has sought setting aside of the impugned sanction dated 18.02.2016 accorded to the property no. 3165 alone. The other prayers in this appeal are in respect of producing the entire record and files of property no. 3163 to 3165 and to demolish the illegal and unauthorized construction in these two properties.

….contd.2

This Tribunal has powers only against the orders and notices referred u/s 347-B of DMC Act and the prayers in respect of seeking production of records as well as seeking demolition of the unauthorized construction cannot be granted by this Tribunal.

The impugned sanction order is only in respect of property no. 3165 and these above mentioned respondent no. 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 12, 13 & 14 have no concerned with the property no. 3165. The application qua them is not maintainable as they are neither necessary nor proper party for disposal of this appeal. The prayer seeking their impleadment in this application is declined.

Notice to the respondent no. 2 Mr. Abhay Singh Yadav received back unserved with the report 'no such person at this address.'. The appellant is given an opportunity to file his fresh address and to take steps for his service for next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **07.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 758/18

06.10.2026

Present : Ms. Anisha Banerjee, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.
Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Dharmbir Singh, Consultant, NDMC.
None for the HPCL.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **28.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 528/19

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Aditya, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Both the counsels seeks time to file the documents as per the last order.

Let the respondent to produce the entire file of regularization applied by the appellant in the year 2019 on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **25.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 111/21

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Neeraj Kumar, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant in person.
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Satish Sangwan is not available due to heart ailment of his father.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **30.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 255/21, 256/21 & 446/21

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Agarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 255/21.
Sh. Parmesh Bali, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 256/21 & 446/21.
Sh. Rishabh Jain, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Matter could not be settled between the appellant and the intervener.

At request, put up for arguments on **19.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 222/23

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Rajat Aneja, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Rishab Jain, Adv.
Sh. Nishant Walia, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent.
Sh. Awdhesh Rai, ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Rohit Goel, counsel for the intervener.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the intervener as main counsel Sh. Rohit Goel is not available today due to death in the family of his maternal-uncle (Mamaji).

At request, put up for arguments on **19.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 679/23, 680/23 & 340/25
Jagtar Singh Vs.MCD

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Jaspreet Singh Rai, Ld. Senior Advocate for the appellants joined through VC along with Sh. Yashpreet Singh, Adv.
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 679/23 and 680/23.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 340/25.
Sh. Rajiv Sharma, ld. counsel for the intervener in appeal no. 679/23 and 680/23.

Ld. Senior counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 340/25 has placed on record the copy of the circular dated 30.06.2026 relating to revised policy and procedure for regularization of addition/alteration in DDA Flats and it is claimed that in view of this revised policy, the appellant is entitled to get the construction regularized under category III (2) of this policy and in view of the this policy, the appellant may be permitted to withdraw this appeal with liberty to apply afresh for regularization as per this policy.

Statement of ld. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the ld. counsel for the appellant, the **aforesaid appeal bearing no. 340/25 stands disposed of as withdrawn** with liberty to apply for regularization afresh as per latest policy dated 30.06.2026.

….contd.2

The appellant shall apply for the same within 4 weeks from today and the respondent shall decide the same within 8 weeks from the date of receiving said application.

Subject to outcome of this proposed regularization application of the appellant, put up for arguments in other two appeals on **08.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 303/24

06.10.2026

Present : Appellant no. 5 in person.
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as his counsel Sh. Naveen K. Sharma is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **08.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 458/24 & 459/24

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. S.S. Wani and Sh. Youghaveshwar, Ld. counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 458/24.
Ms. Mehak Arora, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 459/24.

Part arguments heard.

The appellant has not filed any affidavit or site plan of the existing structure in the property.

Registry is directed to explain as to how these appeals were listed without fulfilling the mandatory requirement of filing these two documents, on next date of hearing.

The appellant is directed to file the affidavit as well as site plan of the existing structure of the property on next date of hearing and also to explain why the site plan and affidavit were not filed earlier with the appeal.

At request, put up for further arguments on **20.11.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 662/24

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Sanjay, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant Pooja Giri.
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Ld. counsel for the appellant again seeks time to implead all the legal heirs of the appellant on the ground that husband of the deceased appellant was in judicial custody.

Same is strongly opposed as the appellant is enjoying the benefit of interim protection.

The appellant is given one last and final opportunity to implead all the legal heirs of the appellant, failing which interim protection shall be vacated.

Put up for further proceedings on **12.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 797/24 & 798/24

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Manderna, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with husband of the appellant in person.
Sh. Paras Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. A.K. Suri is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **08.02.2027**.

There is no interim protection in the aforesaid matters.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 860/24

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Anil Dwivedi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Saurabh Pandey, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Madan Sagar, counsel the respondent.
Ms. A. Kiran Sharma, ld. LAC for applicant/intervener along with intervener in person.

Some more time sought on behalf of the MCD to file the status report as per the last order as the main counsel is stated to be busy in Karkardooma Courts.

At request, put up for arguments on **02.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 15/25

06.10.2026

Present : None for the appellant.

Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **08.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 152/25

06.10.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Saurabh Pandey, Ld Proxy for Sh. Madan Sagar, ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.
No adverse order is being passed today.
Put up for arguments on **08.02.2027**.
Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 154/25

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Pawan Kumar Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Ld counsel for the respondent seeks adjournment on the ground that he has been recently marked this case after Sh. Ajay Gaur, Adv. has left the panel of the MCD and needs time to go though the record.

Put up for further arguments on **08.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 176/25

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ankit Singh, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Sunil Pandey, ld counsel for the intervener joined through VC along with son of the intervener in person.

Arguments advance by the ld counsel for the appellant.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is held up before Hon'ble High Court in post lunch sessions.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **10.12.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 286/25

06.10.2026

Present : Appellant (who himself is an advocate) in person.
Sh. Avishek Kumar , Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Ms. A. Kiran Sharma, ld. LAC for applicant/intervener along with intervener in person.

The appellant has filed an application under Section 379 BNSS against the intervener. Copy of this application supplied to ld counsel for the intervener. Let the copy for counsel for the MCD be also placed on record

Part arguments heard on the appeal.

The appellant is directed to file original letter dated 27.09.2006 filed as Annexure A-4 with this appeal and is further directed to file affidavit with site plan of the existing structure of the property at third floor and fourth floor of the subject property, on next date of hearing.

Put up for further augments on **02.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 295/25

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Maroof Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Kamal Kishore Okharwal Ld counsel for the respondent.
Ms. A. Kiran Sharma, ld. LAC for applicant/intervener along with intervener in person.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant that appellant has met with an accident and his assistance is required during the arguments.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **02.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 329/25

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Anil Kumar Verma, Ms. Ashu Rani and Sh. Eshaan Verma, Ld counsels for the appellant along with husband of the appellant.
Sh. Nishant Walia, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **08.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 701/25

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Pushpendra, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ankit Singh, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Vikram Aggarwal is not available today due to bereavement in his family.

Similar request for adjournment is made on behalf of the main counsel for MCD, who is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **08.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 261/26

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Ojas Singh Sachdeva and Ms. Akshita Bhardwaj, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Ms. Suman Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

The impugned demolition order has been passed in respect of unauthorized construction in the shape of ground to fourth floor in property no. 29, Gali no. 1B, Durga Puri Extension, Shahdara, Delhi.

The appellant claims that there is a sanctioned building plan of this property dated 08.04.2013 filed along with the appeal as Annexure-E from page no. 92 to 95.

The respondent is directed to file status report in respect of this sanctioned building plan and also comment that if there was a sanctioned building plan then why the booking is of the entire structure from ground to fourth floor, on next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **09.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 344/26

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.
Sh. Jasman Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Dharmbir Singh, Consultant, NDMC.
Sh. Mukesh Kumar Singh, ld. counsel for the applicant/ proposed intervener.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener. Copy supplied.

The proposed interver has not filed any document to show his concern with the first floor of the property. He is directed to file and supply those documents to the other parties within 48 hours.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

It is stated for the respondent that some more record relating to the subject property is to be filed. Let the same be so filed.

At request, put up for reply and arguments on the aforesaid application under Order I rule 10 CPC and appeal on **15.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 451/26, 646/26 & 647/26
06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Jai Batra, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ankit Singh, ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 451/26.
Sh. Abheet Manglik, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 646/26 and 647/26.
Sh. Naman Gupta, ld. counsel for the applicant/intervener joined through VC along with Ms. Mansi Goyal and Ms. Nisha Thakur, advocates.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener in appeal No.646/26 and 647/26. Copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that his reply already filed to this application in appeal No.451/26 may be read as reply to fresh applications as well and he is ready to argue.

Ld. counsel for the proposed intervener seeks adjournment on the ground that he is not prepared with arguments. Same is opposed.

Intervener is given last and final opportunity to address the argument on the application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC.

The interim protection has already been granted by the Hon'ble High Court on 25.09.2026.

Put up for arguments on application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC as well as appeal on **18.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 562/26

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Samman Vardhan Gautam, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Nishant Walia proxy Ld. counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment to address the arguments.
At request put up for arguments on **16.11.2026**.
Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 808/26 & 834/26

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. B.S. Mathur, ld. counsel for the appellants.
Sh. Pawan Rawat, ld. counsel for the respondent

Demolition record has been produced. It can be inspected by ld counsel for the appellant.

Record of appeals no. 742/25 and 743/25 has been tagged with these appeals, but the MCD record is not tagged with these two appeals.

As per noting of the Registry, the record is attached with appeal no. 166/26 and 167/26 which are listed for 23.10.2026.

At request, put up for arguments on **23.10.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 850/26

06.10.2026

Present : Sh. Anwar Khalil and Mohd. Salman , Ld counsels for the appellant.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC with Sh. Akash Tanwar, Advocate.

Sh. Faisal Hameed, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

An application has been filed by the appellant under Order VI Rule 17 CPC seeking amendment in the appeal. The proposed amended appeal has also been filed alongwith this application.

Submission heard. The appellant in the original appeal has sought quashing of only the show cause notices dated 04.06.2025 and 24.10.2025 and notice u/s 433 of the DMC Act dated 24.08.2026. By way of present amendment the appellant intends to challenge the demolition order dated 22.05.2026 which alone is appealable. Since, the amendment sought is essential and will not change the nature of the appeal the same is allowed and the amended appeal is taken on record challenging the demolition order alone dated 22.05.2026.

Contd…2/-

The appellant submits that the proposed intervener has not supplied the documents annexed with the application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC. The same is supplied.

MCD is directed to file status report in respect of any action initiated against the property u/s 338 of the DMC Act.

Put up for reply and arguments on the application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC and interim application on **05.11.2026.** Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 724/24

06.10.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026

A.No. 362/25
Nitin Saxena & Anr. Vs. MCD

06.10.2026
Present : None for the appellant.

Sh. Ankit, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Sanjay Kumar, AA&C, Sh. Yudh Beer Singh, ASO and Sh. Ishu Sehrawat, J.S.A.

Matter today is listed for judgment. The appellant moved an application on 01.10.2026 for early hearing with prayer to withdraw the appeal.

Notice of this application was accepted by the ld counsel for the respondent on 05.10.2026 and time was sought to reply.

Today, some more time has been sought to file reply to this application.

This application simplicitor seeks withdrawal of the appeal unconditionally. The respondent cannot oppose the application as the withdrawal of appeal shall amount to upholding the demolition order and the respondent can be compensated in terms of cost.

As far as the vigilance inquiry directed by this Tribunal on 13.07.2026 is concerned the same is separate proceedings and withdrawal of appeal shall have no bearing on that vigilance inquiry report.

Contd…2/-

In these facts, the appellant is permitted to withdraw this appeal subject to cost of Rs. 20,000/- to be deposited with this Tribunal within a week from today, failing which the judgment shall be pronounced on merits.

Put up for further proceedings on **13.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.10.2026