A.No. 988/26

12.10.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : None for the appellant.

File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **30.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 996/26

12.10.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Rakesh Tanwar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

No case for interim protection is made out.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **30.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 997/26, 998/26, 999/26, 1000/26, 1001/26, 1002/26, 1003/26, 1004/26, 1005/26 & 1006/26

12.10.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.
Present : Sh. Munish, Ld counsel for the appellants along with some of the appellants.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Arguments heard on the interim applications.

The impugned order records that same have been passed in pursuance to the inspection ordered by the Monitoring Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and for the orders relating to Monitoring Committee, this Tribunal does not have any jurisdiction.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer for this limited aspect. In view of the same, no case for ex-parte stay of the impugned order is made out.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **22.10.2026**.

Copy of this order be given dasti, as requested.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 1010/26
Khazan Singh Vs. MCD

12.10.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Kartik Gaur, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **05.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 15.09.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 331/21

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. Sanjay Mishra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Kartikey Mittal, Adv.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

Issue notice of this application to the respondent for **30.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 265/25

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. Shashwat Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing as well as on application under Order VI rule 17 CPC filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 01.12.2026.

Let notice of these applications be issued to the respondent for the date already fixed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **01.12.2026** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 611/26

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. Sujatha N. Shirolkar, (appellant no. 1) Ld counsel for the appellants joined through VC.
Sh. Abheet Mangleek, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record. Let the same be collected.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **09.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 631/26

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. Sanjeev Bindal, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. P.S. Chauhan, AR of the appellant.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **10.02.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 685/26

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. Ashutosh Kumar, ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Harsh Gupta, Adv.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **15.02.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 730/26
Anuradha Srivastava Vs. DDA

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. Vijay Sehgal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Heard.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal with liberty to file fresh appeal, if need arises.

Statement of ld. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the ld. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for, subject to law of limitation.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 747/26, 750/26, 748/26 & 749/26

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. Harsh Vardhan Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta the respondent in appeal no. 747/26, 748/26 & 749/26.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 750/26 joined through VC along with Ms.Mahima Khera, Adv.

Status report is filed by the MCD in appeal no. 747/26 & 750/26, copy supplied.

The record has been produced in appeal no. 747/26 and 750/26. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld counsel for the appellant has filed fresh documents in all the four matters. Copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the status reports and to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **13.01.2027.**

Interim orders to continue in appeals no. 747/26 and 750/26 till the next date of hearing.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property no. B-64 and 65, Gali no. 19, Bharat Vihar, New Delhi-110059 in appeal no. 749/26 and property no. B-67, Gali no. 21, Bharat Vihar, New Delhi-110059 in appeal no. 748/26 subject property of these

….contd.2

appeals in pursuance of the impugned order. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 751/26

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. G.R. Verma and Sh. H.K. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Reply to the interim application filed on behalf of the respondent. Copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks legible copies of the documents filed by the appellant.

Let the legible copies of the sale deed and documents starting from page no. 117 onwards be supplied to the ld counsel for the respondent.

The respondent seeks time to produce the record.

Put up for awaiting status report and arguments on **27.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No.767/26
12.10.2026

Present : Sh. Vijay Sehgal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Divyansh Nishana, ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Anil Kumar Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Rohit Bhati, ALO, Karol Bagh Zone.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Anil Kumar Shama is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

It is stated for the appellant that the respondent did not temporary deseal the property as directed vide order dated 07.09.2026.

The AE(B) concerned is directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing for explanation for non-compliance of the order dated 07.09.2026 and the respondent is further directed to temporary deseal the property for 24 hours to enable the appellant to remove her goods and reseal the same thereafter.

The property no. 18/1, First floor, Vidya Library, be desealed on 15.10.2026 at 12.00 noon and resealed on 16.10.2026 at 12.00 noon. The appellant during this period shall remove her goods/articles lying in the property.

Put up for arguments on **30.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 950/26

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. Nasimuddin, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Tajinder Virdi, Ld. Standing counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Shailender Singh, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

It is stated for the appellant that the appellant intends to get the property regularized.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **21.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 956/26

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. Rachit Khandelwal and Sh. Mihansa Vashishta, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. Standing counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Ms. Mahima, Adv.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the respondent has raised preliminary objections regarding non-filing of an application seeking condonation of delay as the appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 17.07.2025 and the appeal was filed on 29.09.2026 with a delay of more than one year.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to file such application. Let the same be so filed with advance copy to the ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **11.01.2027**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 972/26
Shekhar Mondal and Ors. Vs. MCD

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. Pradeep Kumar Pandey, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Rajat Mishra, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks time to file the record. Let the same be so filed and it can be inspected by the ld counsel for the appellant.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on **03.02.2027**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Flat no. 160, DDA Janta Flat, CSP Quarters, Safdarjung Enclave, New Delhi, in pursuance of the impugned order dated 16.09.2026.. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 978/26
Mohit Dunga Vs.MCD

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. Kartik Jasral, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Rajat Mishra, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks time to produce the record. Let the same be so filed and it can be inspected by the ld counsel for the appellant.

The appellant in this appeal has challenged the sealing order/action dated 30.09.2026. The appellant today has placed on record the sealing order dated 25.03.2026 executed on 10.06.2026.

Arguments heard on the interim application.

The photographs filed by the appellant clearly show that no unauthorized construction is going on or has been done recently in the shop of the appellant which is a ground floor shop at property no. H-21, Rajouri Garden, New Delhi and the entire unauthorized construction is being carried out by the builder in the other portion of the property. The appellant was compelled to file writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court opposing this unauthorized construction carried out in this property.

It appears that the respondent without verifying the correct facts as to whether any unauthorized construction

…….contd.2

has been raised by the appellant or by anyone else in the shop of the appellant, passed the demolition order and sealing order for the entire property including the shop of the appellant.

In these facts, a prima facie case is made out in favour of the appellant including balance of convenience as well as he will suffer irreparable loss, if his shop is kept sealed till the disposal of this appeal more so because of the festival season.

In these facts, the respondent is directed to temporary deseal the shop of the appellant only till disposal of this appeal in property no. H-21, Rajouri Garden, New Delhi being run under the name and style of Royal Gems and Jewellers. The said desealing be done within 48 hours of receiving this order which is given dasti to the appellant.

The appellant however shall not raise any unauthorized construction in the shop nor will part with possession nor will create any third party interest in the shop without permission of appropriate authority.

Put up for arguments on the appeal with connected appeal no. 970/26 on **06.01.2027**.

Copy of this order be given dasti, as requested.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 46/26 (M)

12.10.2026

Present : Ld. Proxy counsel for the applicant/appellant.

This is an application seeking execution of the judgment dated 29.04.2026.

Issue notice of this application to the respondent/MCD for **05.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 576/18

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. Nishant, grand-son of the appellant in person.
Sh. R.K. Kashyap, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Grand son of the appellant seeks pass over in the matter as the main counsel Sh. Gaurav Gautam is held up before Rohini District Court.

In view of the heavy cause list, the pass over is not possible.

Put up for arguments on **07.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 115/20

12.10.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as his counsel Sh. Rajeev Duggal is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **11.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 323/23

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. Shourya Singh, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Ms. Sapna Rai is out of station due to some family urgency.

Same is strongly opposed.

The appellant is seeking repeated adjournments on one pretext and other. However, in the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing subject to deposit a cost of Rs. 5,000/- with the Registry of this Tribunal.

Put up for arguments on **09.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 446/24, 447/24, 526/24, 668/24, 68/26 & 69/26

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. B.S. Mathur, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Pritish Sabhwal, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 446/24 and 447/24 joined through VC.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. Standing Counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 526/24 through VC along with Ms. Mahima, Adv.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 668/24 and 68/26.
Sh. Pramod Kumar, ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 69/26 joined through VC.

In these appeals, the record was produced on the last date of hearing and was directed to be deposited with the Registry, but same was not deposited.

Let the record be deposited within 4 weeks from today.

Let the AE(B) concerned be present in the court on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **22.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 490/24

12.10.2026

Present : Ms. Rashi Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is un-available today being busy before the Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **15.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 830/24

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. Rohit Khurana and Sh. Kumar Harsh, Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellant in person.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. Standing counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard on the application seeking condonation of delay. This appeal has been filed on 24.09.2024 against the order dated 21.02.2024 and there is delay of almost 7 months in filing this appeal which has not been properly explained, however, with an endeavour to decide the appeal on merits, the delay is condoned subject to deposit a cost of Rs. 5,000/- with the Registry of this Tribunal.

The application stands disposed of.

At request, put up for arguments on appeal on **10.02.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 956/24

12.10.2026

Present : Ms. Nathasha Rani, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Ld. proxy counsel seeks pass over in the matter as the main counsel is busy before some other court.

Similar request for adjournment is made on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **18.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 1051/24

12.10.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Paras Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Yashpal Singh, ld. counsel for the respondent no. 3.

Private respondent does not want to file any reply to the appeal.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **15.02.2027**.

There is no protection in the matter.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 1083/24

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. Raghav Saluja, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Paras Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **08.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 108/25

12.10.2026

Present : Ms. Mantasha Siddiqi, ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Gurmit Singh Hans, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. Standing counsel for the respondent with Ms. Mahima, Adv.

Record has not yet been submitted.

It is stated for the respondent that the appellant also filed two appeals bearing no. 194/25 and 339/23 and the MCD record is tagged in one of those appeals.

The Registry is directed to tag both the appeal files as well as MCD record with this appeal.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Gurmit Singh Hans is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **15.02.2027**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 697/25 & 698/25

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. Himanshu Sihag, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta for the respondent.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **15.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 716/25 & 717/25

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. B.S. Mathur, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Sriniwas, AE(B).

Arguments heard on the applications seeking condonation of delay in both the appeals.

The appellant in appeal no. 716/25 has challenged the demolition order dated 23.12.2022 and in the other appeal has challenged the sealing order dated 05.01.2023. Both the appeals were filed on 28.10.2025 and there is delay of more than 2 and half years in filing these appeals.

The appellant has sought condonation of delay on the ground that neither the show cause notices nor the impugned orders were ever served upon the appellant and the appellant came to know about these orders through status report filed by the MCD in W.P.(C) No. 2365/16 and vide order dated 12.09.2023 the Hon'ble High Court gave liberty to the appellant to avail remedies under the DMC Act. The appellant in the meantime shifted to his village as the property was partially demolished and sealed and thereafter was suffering from ailments and his son was looking after the appellant which continued till 01.09.2025 and thereafter the

Contd…2/-

appellant recovered from his illness and contacted the counsel and therefore the delay should be condoned.

Same has been opposed by the ld counsel for the respondent/MCD on the ground and even as per the case of the appellant he had knowledge since 22.05.2023 of the impugned orders and there is no plausible explanation at least since 22.05.2023. Otherwise, the respondent took partial demolition and sealing action even prior to 22.05.2023 on 16.01.2023 and the appellant is required to explain the delay since then.

I have perused the record and the medical documents of the appellant. Though the appellant has not been able to explain the delay for each and every day since date of knowledge and date of demolition and sealing action, however considering of his illness and the fact that he will not gain any benefit by not challenging the orders and with an endeavour to decide the appeal on merits, the delay is condoned subject to cost of Rs. 5000/- each in the both the appeals. Let the cost be deposited with the Registry on or before the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on appeals on **22.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 751/25
Anita Aggarwal Vs. MCD

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard on this appeal.

The subject property bearing no. C-52/1, Gali no. 8, West Jyoti Nagar Extension, Shahdara, Delhi was booked for unauthorized construction in the shape of ground to fourth floor with projections on municipal land on 14.03.2023 followed by the impugned demolition order dated 23.03.2023.

The appellant has placed on record the occupancy-cum-completion certificate dated 17.03.2025 along with the sanctioned building plan which show that the subject property was regularized after booking.

Since, the property has been regularized, the demolition order stands satisfied.

The appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 30/26, 31/26, 32/26, 33/26, 34/26 & 35/26

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. B.S. Mathur, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with some of the appellants in person.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 31/26 and 32/.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 33/26 and 34/26.
Sh. Paras Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 35/26.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 30/26 joined through VC.

In these appeals, the record was produced on the last date of hearing and was directed to be deposited with the Registry, but same was not deposited.

Let the record be deposited within 4 weeks from today. It can be inspected by the ld counsel for the appellant.

Let the AE(B) concerned be present in the court on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **22.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 121/26 & 126/26

12.10.2026

Present : Sh. B.S. Mathur, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh.Sanjay Sethi , Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 121/26 joined through VC.
Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 126/26 joined through VC.

In these appeals, the record was produced on the last date of hearing and was directed to be deposited with the Registry, but same was not deposited.

Let the record be deposited within 4 weeks from today. It can be inspected by the ld counsel for the appellant.

Let the AE(B) concerned be present in the court on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **22.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 635/26

12.10.2026

Present : Appellants in person.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. Standing counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Ms. Mahima, Adv.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Shiv Charan Garg is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **01.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026

A.No. 42/18

12.10.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.10.2026