A.No. 1007/26

13.10.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Bhupesh Kumar Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **30.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 1008/26

13.10.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Shashi Pratap Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **05.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 1009/26

13.10.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : None for the appellant.

File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **25.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 1013/26
Meenakshi And Ors. vs.MCD

13.10.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. K.K. Singh and Sh. Sanjay Kumar, Ld counsels for the appellant along with some of the appellants.

Submissions heard. File perused.

It is stated on behalf on behalf of the appellants that there are 16 appellants having 24 properties, out of them, 6 have already registered their properties under PM Uday Yojna and 11 have applied for registration under this scheme and remaining appellants shall apply for registration on or before the last date notified under this Scheme i.e. 31.10.2026.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **23.10.2026**.

Admittedly, the MCD slept for almost 8 years in taking any action after passing the demolition order. Till next

…..contd.2

date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the properties of appellants bearing plot no. 1, Hari Vihar, Kakrola, Delhi in pursuance of the demolition order passed somewhere in 2018, but copy of which has not been supplied despite directions of the Hon'ble High Court dated 07.09.2026 passed in W.P.(C) no. 12995/2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 437/23

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Taranjit Singh Sawhney, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ranjeet Padey, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

It is submitted by the ld. counsel for the parties that proceedings in the matter have been stayed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.(C) no. 9808/2025 and the matter is now fixed before the Hon'ble High Court for 09.12.2026.

In view of above, be awaited for further orders on **15.02.2027**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 1035/24

13.10.2026

Present : Ms. Ananya Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

File is taken up today on an application filed on behalf of the appellant to cross-examine AE(B) and JE(B) concerned.

Let notice of this application be issued to the respondent for the date fixed i.e. **20.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 362/25
Nitin Saxena and Anr. Vs. MCD

13.10.2026
Present : Sh. Nisar Malik, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta Ld counsel for the respondent.

Cost has been deposited by the appellant.

Vide order dated 06.10.2026, the appellant has already been permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal subject to payment of cost of Rs. 20,000/-, which has already been deposited.

Statement of the ld counsel for the appellant has already been recorded to this effect on 05.10.2026.

In view of the payment of the cost as well as statement made by the ld. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as withdrawn.

So far as the vigilance inquiry directed by this Tribunal on 13.07.2026 is concerned, let the report be filed within 4 weeks from today.

The demolition order is upheld and the MCD is required to take action in pursuance to the demolition order as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 789/26

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Shiv Charan Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing as well as application seeking status report from the respondent about compoundable/non-compoundable deviations in the property on behalf of the appellant.

It is also stated on behalf of the appellant that regularization application of the appellant has already been filed and same is pending. Copy placed on record.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 28.01.2027. The appeal is of the year 2026 In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application qua early hearing is dismissed.

Let notice of another application seeking status report be issued to the respondent for date fixed i.e. 28.01.2027.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **28.01.2027** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 851/26

13.10.2026

Present : Ms. Prerna Rathore, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Reply to the interim application filed. Copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks some time to produce the record. Let the same be filed within 2 weeks from today and it can be inspected by the ld counsel for the appellant.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on **15.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A. No. 858/26 : Pervesh Sehgal Vs. MCD
A. No. 859/26 : Seema Srivastava and Anr. Vs. MCD
A. No. 864/26 : Rajender Singh Rawat Vs. MCD
A. No. 865/26 : Ravi Kumar Goel Vs. MCD
A. No. 909/26 : Mehul Sharma and Anr. vs. MCD
A. No. 910/26 : Ashish Kashyap Vs. MCD

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Krishlaya Parashar and Ms. Deepali Verma, Ld counsels for the appellants.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 858/26 and 859/26. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Sh. Abheet Mangleek, ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 864/26 & 865/26. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Sh. Ojas, ld. proxy counsel for Ms. Tajinder Virdi, ld. Standing Counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 909/26.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 910/26. Memo of appearance filed.

Sh. Vinod Bansal, AE(B) in person.

Status report is filed by the MCD in all the appeals. Copy supplied.

As per the status report filed in appeal no. 859/26, 909/26 & 910/26, the property bearing Flat no. C-10, E-03 and D-6 respectively, Plot no. 32, Oriental Enclave, IP Extension, Patparganj, New Delhi, have not been booked.

In view of the status report, these three appeals are without any cause of action as these flats have not been booked and accordingly, **the appeals no. 859/26, 909/26 and 910/26 stand disposed of.**

…..contd.2

The appeal files of these appeals be consigned to Record Room.

The record has already been deposited in remaining three appeals bearing no. 864/26, 865/26 and 858/26. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **21.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 907/26

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Prashant Diwan, ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Brijesh Dwivedi, Adv.
Sh. Sahil Aeron and Sh. K.D. Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Applicant/Intervener Sh. Gulvinder Khatri in person.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener. Copy supplied.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Time sought on behalf of the respondent to produce the record. Let the same be so produced and it can be inspected by the ld counsel for the appellant.

Be awaited for record and arguments on the aforesaid application as well as appeal on **07.12.2026**.

Date is given as per convenience of the parties.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 83/21

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. M.K. Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

The appellant in this appeal has challenged the vacation notice dated 23.02.2021 and show cause notice dated 01.02.2021 which are not appealable before this Tribunal.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks some time to amend the prayer clause to challenge the demolition order dated 12.02.2021.

At request, put up for further arguments on **12.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 163/22

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Vipin Kumar Ahuja and Sh. Chaitanya Raju Nurad, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Ms. Somya Kandneal, ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Pratyush Sharma, counsel for the intervener.

An application under Order XLI rule 27 CPC filed by the appellant to place on record the additional documents.

Without prejudice to the rights of the respondent, the documents are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

It is stated for the appellant that the regularization application filed by the appellant on 25.05.2022 is still pending and MCD may be directed to decide that application.

This appeal is pending since prior to the date of regularization application, yet the appellant did not bother to inform this Tribunal nor checked with the respondent about the regularization application.

The MCD is directed to file status report qua the regularization application of the appellant on the next date of hearing.

Ld. proxy counsel for the intervener has also sought pass over as main counsel is on the way.

At request, put up for awaiting status report and arguments on **18.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 169/19 : Ajit Kumar Singh Vs. MCD
A.No. 170/19 : Gyan Chand Vs. MCD
A.No. 171/19 : Kiran Devi & Anr. Vs. MCD
A.No. 172/19 : Arun Kumar Singh Vs. MCD
A.No. 173/19 : Indu Tiwari Vs. MCD
A.No. 174/19 : Laxmi Rajvanshi & Anr. Vs. MCD

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Ravi Kapoor, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Risabh Tiwari,Adv.
Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeal no.174/19.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 169/19 & 174/19.
Sh, Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 170/19.
Sh. Nahar Singh Yadav, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 171/19.
Ms. Kanta Choudhary, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 172/19.
None for the respondent in appeal no. 173/19.
Ms. Priyanka Agarwal, Ld counsel for the intervener.

Arguments heard at length.

1. These are six appeals challenging the demolition order dated 16.11.2018 passed in respect of property no. B-144 in appeal no. 169/19, B-143-B in appeal no. 170/19, B-142B in appeal no. 171/19, B-143A in appeal no. 172/19, B-142A in appeal no. 173/19, B-141 in appeal no. 174/19 at Gharoli Dairy Farm Colony, Mayur Vihar, Phase-III, New Delhi in the shape of ground to second, third and fourth floor in these properties.

Contd…2/-

2. The subject properties were booked vide show cause notice dated 20.11.2017 followed by demolition order dated 04.12.2017. The appellants challenged this demolition order before Hon'ble High Court in W.P.(C) No. 6638 of 2018 which was disposed of by Hon'ble High Court on 20.06.2018 directing the respondent to pass fresh order after giving personal hearing to the appellants. The respondent thereafter provided personal hearing to the appellants and passed impugned order.
3. Ld counsel for the appellants has argued that the impugned order is liable to be set-aside on several grounds including that the ground for demolition are misuse of the property whereas the show cause notice was in respect of unauthorized construction and since the order travels beyond the show cause notice, the same is liable to be set-aside.
4. Same has been opposed by the ld counsels for the MCD as well as intervener on the ground that the appellants have raised unauthorized construction on the plots meant for dairy and same is misuse of the property which could not have been transferred to the appellants by the licensee and therefore the appeals are liable to be dismissed.

Contd…3/-

5. I have perused the record. The respondent booked these properties vide show cause notice dated 20.11.2017 in the shape of unauthorized construction. It was followed by demolition order dated 04.12.2017 which were set-aside by Hon'ble High Court in W.P.(C) no. 6638/18. Thereafter the respondent gave personal hearing the appellants and passed the impugned order. In the impugned order the respondent itself records that from the nature and extent of construction, it is not clear as to when the property from ground to third floor cropped up and further the demolition order was passed as the plots meant for dairy farming were used as residential premises which is not permissible under Building Bye-laws.
6. The show cause notice as well as the earlier demolition orders were on the aspect of unauthorized construction booked u/s 344/343 of DMC Act where the present demolition order is in respect of misuse of the property from dairy farming to residential. Same was never brought to the notice of appellants nor they were given any opportunity to reply in respect of the alleged misuse. The demolition order travelled beyond the show cause notice and is liable to be set-aside on this ground alone. It also relevant to note that the

Contd…4/-

respondent itself is not clear as to when this unauthorized construction cropped up.

7. In these facts, all the appeals are allowed and impugned demolition order dated 16.11.2018 is set-aside. The respondent is at liberty to book the property for any misuse as mentioned in this order.
8. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 44/23, 45/23 & 46/23

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. M.S. Khan, Ld. counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Samad Khan, Ld Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ankur Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Ms. Aditi Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the intervener joined through VC.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **09.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 114/23

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Ankit Srivastava, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Nishant Walia, ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent.
Sh. Nikhil, ld. counsel for the intervener joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the intervener as father of the arguing counsel is hospitalized.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **28.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 596/23
Ranbir Solanki Vs. MCD

13.10.2026
Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. proxy counsel for Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/13.10.26

At 2.15 pm
Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. proxy counsel for Ms. Praveen Sharma, counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous date as well.

It is already 2.15 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 752/23

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Lalit Gupta, Sh. Anmol Ghai, Sh. Pooja Sharma and Sh. Yash Choudhary, Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellant no. 1 in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, ld. counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Let the respondent to file the status report in respect of loft, tand, mezzanine floor stated to be existed in the property between ground and first floor specifying as to whether the same has access from particular shop(s) or the same has separate stairs for reaching the mezzanine floor with photographs and rough sketch plan, on next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting status report and arguments on **30.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 638/24

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Sunil Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ankit Singh, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **18.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 569/24

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Govind Kumar, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ankit Singh, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Ld. proxy counsel seeks pass over in the matter as the main counsel is held up before the some other court. In view of the heavy cause list, the pass over is not possible.

Further, adjournment request is also made on behalf of the respondent as main counsel is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

Put up for arguments on **28.01.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 670/24

13.10.2026

Present : None for the parties.

None has appeared either for the appellant or for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **15.02.2027**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 683/24, 841/24 & 842/24

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Saksham Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 683/24.
Sh. Ankit Singh, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent 841/24 & 842/24.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks sometime to file additional documents and to argue.

At request made by ld counsel for the appellant, put up for arguments on **27.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 793/24

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Amarpreet Singh, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Gurpreet Singh, is un-available today due to

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **22.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 915/24

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Vaibhav Sharma, Ld. proxy counsel for Ms. Aditi Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ankit Singh, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the parties as main counsel are not available today being held up in some other courts.

In the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **28.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 51/25
Mrs. Baby Vs. MCD

13.10.2026

Present : Mrs. Fozia Malik, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Nishant Walia, Ld proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that she has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and she may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of ld. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the ld. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 85/25

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Saha Dev, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

MCD has not yet submitted its record. Let the same be submitted on or before the next date of hearing.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to accident of his mother.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **07.01.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 227/25, 240/25, 241/25 & 257/25

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Gaurav Jain and Sh. Rohit Jain, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarawl, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **28.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 256/25

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Vibhash Kumar Jha, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. K.D. Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as he has not yet received the complete case file from the department/MCD.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **10.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 591/25 & 734/25

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Kailash Sharma, Ms. Nishi Jain and Ms. Pushpanjali Tripathi, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent in appeal No.591/25.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 734/25 joined through VC.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **23.11.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 631/25

13.10.2026

Present : Ms. Meenu Kumar, Ld proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Sanjay Kathuria is un-available today being busy in some other court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **29.01.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 815/25

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Kamal Kishore Okharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Intervener through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the intervener as her counsel is out of station.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the intervener to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **25.11.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 237/26
Kusum and Anr. Vs. MCD

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Ashish Kumar Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Shivang Chaturvedi, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is stated by the ld counsel for the appellant that R-2 has passed away and the appellant does not want to implead his legal heirs.

MCD has filed photocopy of the speed post vide which the letter for personal hearing dated 27.02.2026 was sent to the appellant. Copy supplied. It was sent to the correct address of the appellant and therefore, is presumed to be served. Therefore, the notice for personal hearing was duly served.

Arguments heard on the appeal. Record perused.

The show cause notice was issued in the name of Jawahar Lal, who was the brother of the appellant no. 2. The appellant no. 1 replied this show cause notice and notice of personal hearing was sent to her. The demolition order however records that no reply has been received.

Though it is correct that the reply was not accompanied with any document, however, since the demolition order records that no reply has been received, the impugned demolition order is set aside with directions to the

….contd.2

respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply and documents already submitted/to be submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 03.11.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 407/26

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Karamveer Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh.Rahul Chaudhary, Adv.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Jasmeet Singh and Sh. Abhishek Kumar, ld counsel for the intervener.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener. Copy supplied.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

At request, put up for reply and arguments on the aforesaid application as well as appeal on **16.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 415/26

13.10.2026

Present : Ms. Akiriti Maheshwari, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Rachit Gupta, ld. counsel for the intervener.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener. Copy was sent to the appellant by speed post on 09.10.2026.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

At request, put up for reply and arguments on the aforesaid application as well as appeal on **09.02.2027**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 474/26
Shahsheer Ali Vs. MCD

13.10.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous two consecutive dates as well.

It is already 2.55 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 501/26 & 502/26
Sohail Vs. MCD

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. R.P. Singh,. Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Ms. Sidhi Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD as per the last order. Copy placed on record for the appellant. it be collected.

As per this status report, the sealing show cause notice and sealing order was sent through post, but neither postal receipts nor track consignment report are available.

In these facts that the sealing show cause notice was not sent and served and the MCD office does not have any proof thereof, the sealing order dated 09.05.2025 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply and documents to be submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 04.11.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

The appeal bearing no. 501/26 qua the sealing order stands disposed of.

Appeal file be consigned to record room.

….contd.2

So far as the appeal bearing no. 502/26 against the demolition order is concerned, at request, put up for arguments on **03.02.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 249/07

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Arvind Choudhary, AE(B), HQ.

It is stated by the ld counsel for the respondent/MCD that his earlier statement made on instructions from the AE(B) that Village Tikri Kalan has now been declared development area or has been declared as green-belt area under MPD-2047 are factually not correct and same were made only on instructions from the office. It is further stated that the MPD-2047 does not permit any new Motel in Delhi and further even on merits, the case of the appellant is not covered by the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court passed in W.P.(C) no. 6961/2007.

Ld counsel for the appellant submits that matter may be listed for arguments in view of this fresh submissions made by the respondent.

Let the status report be filed in this regard with advance copy to the ld counsel for the appellant.

Put up for arguments on **06.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 714/26 & 715/26

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Kailash Sharma, Ms. Nishi Jain and Ms. Pushpanjali Tripathi, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **23.11.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 311-A/23

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.
Ms. Shikha Walia, ld. counsel for R-2 joined through VC.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls and despite issuance of the notice.

Let a notice be issued to the CLO, MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **17.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 906/18

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.None for the intervener.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal stands disposed of.
Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026

A.No. 269/26

13.10.2026

Present : Sh. Arun Vohra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.10.2026