A.No. 1011/26

14.10.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Anjali Gautam, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal with connected appeal bearing no. 80/26 on **26.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 1012/26

14.10.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. S.K. Tiwary, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **28.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 1014/26 1015/26
Charu Garg Vs. MCD

14.10.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Amit Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **23.10.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. first floor only of Flat no. F-6/77, Sector-16, Rohini, Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 01.01.2026 and sealing order dated 30.09.2026. **There is no protection to the second and third floor and MCD is directed to take action against the second and third floor as per law**.

This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well

…..contd.2

as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 536/26 & 636/26

14.10.2026

Present : Sh. Waiz Islam and Sh. Arham, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Files are taken up today on the applications of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 15.12.2026. The appeals are of the year 2026. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The applications are dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **15.12.2026** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 681/26
Toni Koti Vs. MCD

14.10.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 02.11.2026 The appeal is of the year 2026. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **02.11.2026** for the purpose fixed.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 763/26 & 348/26

14.10.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments **on 20.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 791/26

14.10.2026

Present : Appellant (who herself is an advocate) in person.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Ms. Anshika, Adv. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Appellant has filed an application to bring on record the additional documents. Let the copy be supplied to the ld counsel for the respondent/MCD.

At request, put up with connected appeal on **23.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 843/26

14.10.2026

Present : Sh. Rakesh Bhugra, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Yogender Singh, AE(B).
Sh. V.K. Mantoo, ld. counsel for the intervener.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener. Copy supplied.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main arguing counsel is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

At request, put up for reply and arguments on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC, interim application as well as appeal on **02.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 969/26
Pooja Gahlot and Anr. vs. MCD

14.10.2026
Present : Sh. Ramesh Chander, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal , Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report and reply to the interim application is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **08.02.2027.**

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. bearing no. 146, from ground to third floor, village Humayunpur, Delhi in pursuance of the demolition order dated 16.09.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 976/26
Suresh Kumar Singla Vs. MCD

14.10.2026

Present : Sh. Neeraj Goyal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. J.S. Khatri, AE(B) . Memo of appearance filed.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of ld. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the ld. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 981/26

14.10.2026

Present : Sh. Rajan Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. Standing counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Ms. Anshika, Adv. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Arguments heard.

No case for interim protection is made out.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **17.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 995/26

14.10.2026

Present : None for the appellant/applicant.

File is taken up today on an application of preponement of date of hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 30.10.2026. The appeal is of the year 2026. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing/preponement the matter is possible. The application is dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 225/23 : Manjit Singh and Ors. Vs. MCD
A.No. 226/23 : Shri Hari Estate Through Trustee Sh. Hemant Gupta Vs. MCD

14.10.2026
Present : None for the appellants.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellants in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/14.10.26

At 2.40 pm
Present : None for the appellants.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellants since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellants on the previous date as well. Even the previous cost has also not been deposited.

It is already 2.40 PM. It appears that the appellants are not interested in pursuing the appeals. The aforesaid appeals are dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 815/23, 821/23 & 822/23

14.10.2026

Present : Sh. Arun, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 815/23.
Sh. Tarang Gupta, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Aradyaa Priyadarshi, Adv. in appeal no. 821/23 & 822/23.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Avnish Kumar, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

An application under Section 151 CPC has been filed by the intervener to place on record subsequent events and documents.

Ld counsel for the appellants seeks time to reply this application. Let the reply be filed within 2 weeks with advance copy to the ld counsel for the intervener.

The appellant has placed on record copies of the certain orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Civil Court. Copy supplied. Since all these orders have been passed subsequently, are taken on record.

The Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 23.07.2026 has directed this Tribunal to dispose of this matter today itself and if in case it is not heard today, then within 3 months.

Since, the appellant has sought time to file reply to the application of the intervener, the arguments on the appeal cannot be heard today.

….contd.2

However, arguments heard on the review application filed by the intervener seeking review of the order passed by this Tribunal dated 18.04.2024.

The intervener has sought review of this order by relying upon the judgment of Hon'ble High Court in the case of '***Meena Shama Vs. Seema Sharma' 2019 SCC Online Delhi 11567***.

Not only that this Tribunal has no power to review, I do not find any error apparent on the face of the record in the order dated 18.04.2024 to review that order. The application is dismissed.

Put up for arguments on the aforesaid application u/s 151 CPC as well as appeal on **30.10.2026.** Date is given as per the convenience of all the parties and it is made clear that no adjournment will be allowed on the date fixed to any of the parties.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 820/23

14.10.2026

Present : Ms. Nisha Dasila, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. S.S. Wadhwa is held up in some other court.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **09.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 299/24, 300/24, 615/24, 616/24, 617/24, 618/24, 619/24, 620/24, 621/24, 633/24, 634/24, 635/24, 636/24, 637/24 & 646/24

14.10.2026

Present : Sh. Harsh Malik, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Vedpal Rana, counsel for the appellants.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 615/24, 616/24, 617/24, 618/24, 620/24, 621/24, 633/24, 634/24, 636/24, 637/24 & 646/24.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 619/24 and 635/24.
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 299/24 joined through VC.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 300/24.

Status report is filed by the MCD in appeal No.299/24, copy supplied.

As per the status report that the property of Pradeep S/o Khima has not been regularized but has been granted protection under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is busy before the Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **16.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 603/24, 613/24 & 69/25

14.10.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Appellant also submits that he want to apply for regularization of his property.

An adjournment is also sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Sunil Chauhan is stated to be held up before Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter, subject to outcome of the regularization application, if any filed, on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **15.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 697/24

14.10.2026

Present : Sh. Sanjeev Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. Standing counsel for the respondent along with Ms. Anshika, Adv, along with Sh. R.D. Chaudhary,

Ld counsel for the respondent seeks some more time to file the status report and standard building plan of the subject property as directed on the last date of hearing.

Let the same be filed on or before the next date of hearing.

Put up for compliance of the last order and arguments on **12.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 130/25 & 142/25

14.10.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **27.01.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 242/25

14.10.2026

Present : Sh. Sarthak Ahuja and Ms. Nitisha Soni, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Previous cost has been deposited by the appellant, however, some time is sought to argue the matter.

At request made by ld counsel for the appellant, put up for arguments on **10.02.2027**.

There is no interim protection in the matter.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 243/25
Mohd. Imran Vs. MCD

14.10.2026
Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/14.10.26

At 2.25 pm
Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous date as well. Even the previous cost has also not been deposited.

It is already 2.25 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 748/25 & 164/26

14.10.2026

Present : Sh. Shahwat Bhardwaj and Ms. Khusbhoo Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that property is already registered under PM Uday Yojna and steps are being taken to get it regularized as per DDA notification dated 06.04.2026.

Subject to outcome of the regularization application, put up for arguments on this appeal on **08.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A. No. 115/26 : Rekha Singh Vs. MCD
A. No. 116/26 : Lokesh Giri Vs. MCD
A. No. 117/26 : Rajeev Tomar Vs. MCD
A. No. 118/26 : Amresh Kumari vs. MCD
A. No. 119/26 : Meena Kumari vs. MCD
A. No. 120/26 : Rajvir Singh vs. MCD
A. No. 185/26 : Raj Kumari Vs. MCD

14.10.2026

Present : Ms. Shachi Jain, Ld counsel for the appellants along with some of the appellants in person.
Ms. Kanta Chaudhary, ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 115/26
Sh. Jitender Singh Rana, ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 116/26.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 120/26 joined through VC.
Sh. Karan Arora, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 117/26.
Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 185/26 joined through VC.
Sh. Abhishek Sharma, ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 119/26. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record
Sh. Sushant Singh, ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 118/26.

Arguments heard. Record produced and perused.

The appellants before me have challenged an order dated 27.01.2022 passed in pursuance to the directions issued by the Hon'ble High Court with regard to removal of encroachment from open space in East Jyoti Nagar and West Jyoti Nagar.

….contd.2

This order has not been passed by the concerned authority under Section 344/343 DMC Act and is an order passed to remove encroachment. Same is not appealable before this Tribunal as the orders and notices stipulated under Section 347-B DMC Act are appealable before this Tribunal.

The aforesaid appeals are dismissed with liberty to the appellants to approach appropriate forum and to seek appropriate remedy as per law.

Appeals stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

Copy of this order be given dasti as requested.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 430/26

14.10.2026

Present : Sh. Ashish Tilwani, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Deepika Kumari, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the respondent as she is held up before Hon'ble High Court in some urgent matter.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **02.02.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 680/26
Bhagyashree & Anr. Vs. MCD

14.10.2026

Present : Sh. Sunil Choudhary, Ld counsel for the appellants joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

1. Photocopy of the MCD record stated to be received by the counsel on whatsapp has been placed on record and it is stated that the original record shall be produced on the next date of hearing.
2. Ld. counsel for the appellant has prayed for interim relief. Arguments heard on the interim application.
3. The appellants have challenged the demolition order dated 16.07.2026 and it is argued for the appellants that as per this order the respondent issued letters for personal hearing for 23.06.2026 and 10.07.2026 but the appellant never received any such communication and no personal hearing was provided which was specifically asked by the appellants in reply to the show cause notice and therefore the appellants are entitled to interim protection. Ld, counsel for the appellants in this regard relied upon an electricity bill showing date of energization as 16.02.2011 to claim that the construction is prior to 01.06.2014 and

Contd…2/-

protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011.

4. Ld. counsel for the respondent on the other hand argued that the proof of service of the personal hearing letters shall be produced on the next date of hearing but the appellants have no prima-facie case as the property was booked at the stage of ongoing construction and further even the purported title documents of the appellants show that she purchased only a plot on 27.09.2022.

5. At the interim stage, the appellants are required to show a prima-facie case, balance of convenience and irreparable loss. The so called title document of the appellants show that they purchased a plot No.677, Agricultural land measuring 100 sq.yds from one Dharmendra Kumar on 27.09.2022. When the appellants purchased an agricultural plot in September, 2022, the question of construction being old and prior to 01.06.2014 does not arise.

6. Coming to the electricity bill. This electricity bill is in the name of M/s. Darshan Investment Pvt. Ltd. through Director Karan Luthra installed at Khasra No.1784/1, 1798/2, 1799, 1800, 1816/2 and 1819/2. Aya Nagar, New Delhi-110074. How this bill is related

Contd… 3/-

to the property of the appellants has not been shown.

7. The appellants purchased the plot from Dharamendra Kumar situated in Khasra No.1784/2 which is not mentioned in the address given in the electricity bill. The appellants cannot take any benefit from this bill.
8. As far as the aspect of receiving letters for personal hearing are concerned, the MCD has sought time to produce the proof thereof and otherwise also the personal hearing cannot be claimed as a matter of right and whether personal hearing is required depends upon the facts of the case.
9. In view of the same there is no prima-facie case in favour of the appellants. The interim application is dismissed. Nothing said hereinabove shall tantamount to have any expression on the merits of the case.

Put up for arguments on appeal on **18.02.2027**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 41/26 (M)

14.10.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The next date before the Appellate Authority is 26.11.2026.

Put up for further proceedings on **01.12.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 373/24

14.10.2026

Present : Sh. Anil Kumar Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal stands disposed of.
Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026

A.No. 555/26

14.10.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.10.2026