A.No. 1028/26, 1029/26, 1030/26 – Ruby Vs. MCD
A. No. 1031/26 - Pushpender Singh Vs. MCD

22.10.2026
Fresh appeals received. It be checked and registered.
Present : Sh. Rahul Bharat, Ld counsel for the appellants.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **02.02.2027**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the properties/flats of appellants bearing no. 138, 141, 140 and 143, Pocket-14, Moonlight Apartment, Sector-20, Rohini, Delhi-110086 in pursuance of the demolition order(s) dated 10.09.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 1038/26, 1039/26, 1046/26, 1047/26, 1048/26, 1049/26, 1057/26, 1058/26, 1059/26, 1060/26, 1061/26 & 1064/26

22.10.2026

Fresh appeals received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sujeet K. Chaudhary, Sh. Anmol Sharma and Sh. Abhishek Taneja, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Arguments heard on the interim applications.

The impugned order records that same have been passed in pursuance to the inspection ordered by the Monitoring Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and for the orders relating to Monitoring Committee, this Tribunal does not have any jurisdiction.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer for this limited aspect. In view of the same, no case for ex-parte stay of the impugned order is made out.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **10.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 1051/26 & 1052/26

22.10.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Narender Bhandari, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Arguments heard on the interim applications.

The impugned order records that same have been passed in pursuance to the inspection ordered by the Monitoring Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and for the orders relating to Monitoring Committee, this Tribunal does not have any jurisdiction.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer for this limited aspect. In view of the same, no case for ex-parte stay of the impugned order is made out.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **10.12.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 1063/26
Ritu Khullar Vs. MCD

22.10.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **04.02.2027**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. third floor of the property no. 40/142, Pkt. 40, C.R. Park, New Delhi in pursuance of the demolition order dated 01.10.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 328/26

22.10.2026

Present : Sh. Harshit Bhardwaj, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

File is taken up today on an application seeking repairs of the subject property filed on behalf of the appellant.

Notice of this application be issued to the respondent for the date fixed i.e. 08.12.2026.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **08.12.2026** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 992/26
AVS Holidays Pvt. Ltd. vs. MCD

22.10.2026

Present : Sh. Sanjay Mishra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Kartikey Mittal, adv.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent submits that one more appeal titled as Vaibhav Gutpa Vs. MCD qua the same property is also pending.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal with liberty to file fresh appeal, if need arises, as per law.

Statement of ld. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the ld. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for, as per law.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 993/26

22.10.2026

Present : Sh. Tanuj Bhadhana, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Kamal Kishore Okharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Time sought on behalf of the respondent/MCD to file status report as well as record.

Be awaited for record and arguments on **16.02.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 997/26, 998/26, 999/26, 1000/26, 1001/26, 1002/26, 1003/26, 1004/26, 1005/26, 1006/26, 1016/26, 1017/26, 1018/26, 1019/26, 1020/26, 1021/26, 1022/26, 1023/26, 1024/26, 1025/26 & 1036/26

22.10.2026

Present : Sh. Munish Singhal and Sh. Devesh Kumar, Ld counsels for the appellants along with some of the appellant in appeals no. 997/26, 998/26, 999/26, 1000/26, 1001/26, 1002/26, 1003/26, 1004/26, 1005/26 & 1006/26.

Sh. Narender Bhandari, Ld counsels for the appellant in appeals no. 1016/26, 1017/26, 1018/26, 1019/26, 1020/26, 1021/26, 1022/26, 1023/26, 1024/26 & 1025/26.

Sh. Anmol Sharma, Sh. Sujeet K. Chaudhary and Sh. Abhishek Taneja, Ld counsels for the appellant in appeal no. 1036/26.

Sh.V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 1016/26, 1017/26, 1018/26 and 1019./26 Memo of appearance filed.

Sh. Abheet Mangleek, in appeal no. 997/26, 998/26, 999/26, 1000/26, 1001/26, 1020/26, and 1021/26. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 1024/26 and 1025/26.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, ld. counsel for the respondent in remaining appeals.

Status reports with annexures filed by the MCD in all the aforesaid appeals. Copy supplied. Notice was issued to the limited aspect of Jurisdiction of this Tribunal since impugned order(s) records they being passed on directions of Hon'ble Monitoring Committee appointed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

As per the documents annexed with the status report, the subject property was sealed as per the directions of the

Contd…2/-

Monitoring Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and the jurisdiction lies with the Hon'ble Judicial Committee.

Ld. counsels for the MCD have submitted that since the impugned sealing order(s) have been passed on the directions of the Hon'ble Monitoring Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and therefore, in view of the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in W.P.(C) no. 4677/1985, titled as '***M.C. Mehta vs. Union of India***', this Tribunal does not have jurisdiction to entertain these appeals as the jurisdiction exclusively lies with Monitoring Committee or the Hon'ble Judicial Committee appointed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

Ld. counsels for the appellants on the other hand have argued that the show cause notice(s) dated 07.05.2026 as well as the vacation notice are completely silent in respect of action being taken by the MCD on the directions of the Monitoring Committee and merely by writing in the impugned order that the sealing order has been passed on the directions of the Hon'ble Monitoring Committee, same shall not take away the jurisdiction of this Tribunal to hear challenge to the sealing order passed under Section 345-A of the DMC Act. It was further argued that the appellants have good case on

Contd…3/-

merits as the alleged misuser is protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 and further is permitted under MPD-2021.

I have perused the record.

The annexures filed with the status report today clearly show that the Hon'ble Monitoring Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has directed the respondent to seal the subject properties and status reports/action taken reports have been sought by the Hon'ble Monitoring Committee where the matter is now listed for 28.10.2026. Only because the show cause notice(s) and the vacation notice do not refer about the action being taken on the directions of the Hon'ble Monitoring Committee, this Tribunal shall not get jurisdiction. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has specifically directed that if any action is taken on the directions of the Monitoring Committee on account of misuse, no court/Tribunal/authority shall have jurisdiction except of the Hon'ble Judicial Committee.

Even if, the appellants have good case on merits, which is disputed, this Tribunal cannot grant any relief to them as this tribunal does not have jurisdiction to hear challenge to the impugned sealing orders passed on the directions of the Hon'ble Monitoring Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India….. Contd. 4/-

In view of the above discussions, all the aforesaid **appeals are dismissed being not maintainable**.

The appellants are at liberty to approach the Hon'ble Judicial Committee.

Appeal files be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 394/13
M.L. Ashiwal & Anr. Vs. MCD

22.10.2026

Present : Sh. Ashish Kumar Bhagat, Ms. Princy Chaudhry and Sh. Nikhil Anand, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent Sh. Sanjay Kumar, Nodal Officer for DDA along with Sh. Harender Singh, Tehsildar, DDA, Sh. Amit Kumar, Patwari, DDA, (SDM Office, Malviya Nagar) Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, Patwari, (ADM Office, South, Saket), Sh. Lalit Bhidoni, Kanoogo, DDA, Sh. Kamal Singh Meena, AE, SDM-5, DDA, Amar, Patwari DDA and Sh. Sanju, Patwari, LAC, DDA.
Sh. A.L. Agnihotrai, ld. counsel for the MCD with Sh. Manish Rajpal, ATP, Town Planning Department.

1. Photocopy of the Khasra Girdawari Village Humayunpur of Khasra No. 639/35 filed by Patwari, copy supplied.
2. Copy of the award no. 1662, Village Humayunpur filed on behalf of LAC, copy supplied.
3. Arguments heard at length.
4. This is an appeal challenging the rejection order dated 11.06.2013 of the regularization application in respect of existing structure of property no. B-4/156 (old no. 79-A) Khasra no. 639/35/1/2 situated in Revenue Estate of Village Humyayunpur, New Delhi. The respondent rejected the regularization application on the following grounds: -

Contd…2/-

> "it is reiterated that as per comments of Town Planning Department site does not form part of regularized layout plan of Krishna Nagar of DDA. In approved layout plan of Safdarjung Residential Development Block-B of DDA, it is shown as a big plot and it seems to be sub-divided plot and as per MPD-2021 sub-division is not allowed".

5. During the pendency of this appeal efforts were made by the appellant to get the said plot incorporated in the layout plan of DDA and those efforts are still pending. As per the award no. 1662, the plot no. 79-A belonging to Kishan Singh was left out of acquisition subject to payment of development charges. Whether the development charges have been paid or not is also a dispute between the parties.
6. The subject property can be regularized if and only if it is included in layout plan and the application for doing the same is pending before the Town Planning Department, MCD.
7. In these facts, there is no reason to keep this appeal pending which is pending for more than 13 years. The respondent shall consider the application of the

Contd…3/-

appellant to include the subject property in the layout plan as per law and subsequent thereto the appellant shall be at liberty to apply afresh for regularization.

8. The appeal stands disposed of.
9. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 415/20, 416/20 & 417/20

22.10.2026

Present : Sh. Rajat Manchanda, Ld. counsel for appellant joined through VC. along with Ms. Somya Narula, Advocate
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld. counsel for the respondent joined through VC in appeal no. 415/20 & 416/20.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 417/20.

It is stated by the ld counsel for the appellant that the appellant Vinod Kumar Sharma in appeal no. 416/2020 has been passed away on 03.10.2026 and he has received the death certificate and will file application to implead his legal heirs.

It is submitted by ld counsel for the respondent/MCD in appeal no. 415/20 and 416/20 that the copy of the application sent through whatsapp is not accessible and let the copy be supplied afresh.

Let the copy of the two applications be supplied today itself.

Put up for reply and arguments on the pending applications as well as appeal on **18.01.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 189/21 & 445/21

22.10.2026

Present : Sh. Brijesh Johar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Ms. Deepika Kumar,Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal No.445/21. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
None for the respondent in appeal No.189/21.

Ld. counsel for the respondent appearing today in appeal no. 445/21 seeks copy of the appeal as well as accompanying applications. Let the same be supplied.

Put up for reply and arguments on the application to place on record additional documents as well as appeal on **28.01.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 228/23

22.10.2026

Present : Sh. Umang Tyagi, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta and Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsels for respondent seek pass over in the matter as they have to rush to some other court in some urgent matter. In view of the heavy cause list, the pass over is not possible and the matter is adjourned.

Put up for arguments on **22.01.2027.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 840/23

22.10.2026

Present : Sh. Vimal Dhingra, Ld counsel for the appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant as he has recently been engaged and needs some time to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **22.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 816/24

22.10.2026

Present : Ms. Kritika Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to ill-health of his daughter.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **18.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 823/24

22.10.2026

Present : Sh. Rakesh Chander Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant no. 2 in person.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Akash Tanwar, Adv.

An application seeking waiver of cost along with affidavit stated to be in compliance to the order dated 18.10.2024 filed on behalf of the appellant. Copy supplied.

I have gone through this affidavit. The appellant was directed to file affidavit vide order dated 18.10.2024 in respect of construction existing at site on the date of booking and as on the date of that order. The affidavit filed today does not contain any of such detail and simply states that appellant did not raise any construction.

One more opportunity is sought on behalf of the appellant to comply with this order.

In view of the same, the application seeking waiver of cost is dismissed.

Interim protection granted is hereby vacated.

Put up for payment of cost and arguments on appeal on **18.02.2027**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 1039/24, 1040/24 & 1041/24

22.10.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **10.12.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 37/25
Shyam Sunder Aggarwal Vs. MCD

22.10.2026

Present : Sh. Paarth Mahajan, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with one intern, present in the court.

Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Manoj Kumar Singh, Work Assistant in person.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Original Dispatch/Diary register seen and returned.

As per this dispatch/diary register, the reply of the appellant to the show cause notice was received on 04.12.2023. The appellant claims that the show cause notice was found pasted by him at his property on 01.12.2023.

I have gone through the MCD record.

There is no photographs of the pasting of the show cause notice at the property of the appellant, which is a single storey structure. The office notes are silent in respect of the date when the show cause notice was pasted. The show cause notice sent through speed post returned unserved on 30.11.2023 because of insufficient address.

The appellant claims that it was found pasted only on 01.12.2023. There is nothing contrary to it in MCD record. If the show cause notice was pasted on 01.12.2023, the sealing order being passed on 04.12.2023, was passed within 3 days from the date of

….contd.2

service of the show cause notice and the reply given by the appellant on 04.12.2023 should have been considered.

In these facts, the sealing order is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply dated 04.12.2023 already submitted and documents to be submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 17.11.2026 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

The property of the appellant be desealed within one week from today.

The appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 396/25 & 399/25

22.10.2026

Present : Ms. Anju Lal, ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Chirag Khurana, Advocate
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, ld. counsel the intervener.

An adjournment is sought by ld. counsel of the appellant as she is not well today.

Same is strongly opposed.

It is stated for the appellant that they have already applied for Fire NOC and same is likely to be granted within 10 days.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to produce such NOC, if obtained and also to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **02.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 79/26 & 81/26

22.10.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.
The appellant wants to withdraw these appeals.
Let the appellant be present for recording the statement.
Put up for withdrawal of the appeal on **01.12.2026**.
Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 90/26, 91/26, 92/26, 93/26, 94/26, 95/26, 96/26, 97/26, 98/26 & 99/26

22.10.2026

Present : Sh. R.D. Kaushik, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Aditya Kaushik, Adv.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 97/26 and 98/26.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 90/26 and 94/26 joined through VC. .
Ms. Jasleen Kaur, ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 93/26 and 92/26 joined through VC.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 91/26 and 95/26.
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 96/26 and 99/26 joined through VC.

The appellant has not paid the previous cost. Let the same be deposited today itself.

The appellants have filed applications to place on record the additional documents in all the appeals except appeal no. 97/26. Copy supplied.

Without prejudice to the rights of the respondent, the documents are taken on record. The applications stand disposed of.

As per the documents filed along with applications, these appellants have applied for registration under PM Uday Yojna and thereafter shall apply for regularization.

It is further stated that appellant of appeal no. 97/26 is out of station and shall soon apply for registration under PM Udya Yojna.

….contd.2

In these facts and at request, put up for arguments on **23.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 586/26

22.10.2026

Present : Ms. Nisha Narayanan, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Lokendra Rana, ld. proxy counsel for the respondents no. 3 to 5 joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as senior counsel Sh. Satish Tamta is not available today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **19.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 677/26

22.10.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent with Sh. Akash Tanwar, Adv. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Put up for arguments on **17.02.2027**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 778/26
Sunita Verma Vs. MCD

22.10.2026
Present : Sh. Paramdeep Singh and Ms. Vaishali, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Abheet Mangleek, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record/

An application u/s 5 of Limitation Act seeking condonation of delay in filing of appeal filed. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard on the aforesaid application seeking condonation of delay of about 5 days in filing the aforesaid appeal. In view of submissions made, the delay is condoned.

The applications stand disposed of.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **21.01.2027.**

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 192/23, 193/23 & 528/24

22.10.2026

Present : Sh. G.R. Verma, Sh. H.K. Sharma and Ms. Sonu Lohia, Ld counsels for the appellant along with some of the appellants.
Sh. Jai Subhash Thakuar, ld counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 193/23 joined through VC along with Sh. Rishabh, Adv.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 192/23 & 193/23.
Ms. Vasu Singh, ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 528/24.
Sh. Praduman Tomar, JCA, from Assessment and Collection Department in person.
Sh. Vijay Kinger, Ld. counsel for the intervener along with Sh. Vinit Tyagi and Ms. Sangeeta Tyagi, applicants in person.

Further short additional written submissions filed in appeal no. 193/23.

Further arguments heard.

The appellants in the appeals have claimed that the cut-off date for protection under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 of the subject property bearing no. F-52A and F-52B, Gali No. 10, Brahmpuri, Delhi-110053 is 08.02.2007 whereas in the written arguments it is claimed that the protection date is 01.06.2014.

The MCD record, impugned sealing order as well as the status reports filed in these appeals are silent in respect

Contd…2/-

of the cut-off date for protection of these properties under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011.

The respondent is directed to file status report with reasons regarding the cut-off date for protection of these properties under this Act.

Put up for further arguments on **27.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 361/23

22.10.2026

Present : Sh. Laique S. Farooqui, Ld counsel for the appellant with brother of the appellant.
None for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026

A.No. 680/24 & 707/24

22.10.2026

Present : Sh. G. R. Verma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, the aforesaid both the appeals stand disposed of.
Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.10.2026